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> LETTERS

FROM

## A FATHER, TO HIS SON.

## LETTER I.

I NTRODUCTORY.

$$
\text { To A. } A .
$$

Yeducation which has been conducted upon a plan beft adapted, according to my judgment, to the prefent fate of things, and to the fituation you are deftined to occupy. It has been a varied and extenfive plan, comprifing many changes of difcipline, and embracing a large field of intruction. It has, I hope, prepared you both for active and contemplative life; for the ftudy of books, and of men and nature. It has, I fay, prepared you; for the education of the youth can only be preparatcry to the purfuits of the man ; and he who is
beft enabled, from a comprehenfive view of the objects before him, to poffefs himfelf of thofe which are moft worthy of his choice, is beft educated.

For this reafon, I am not afraid of the cenfure ufually paffed upon a copious fcheme of early in-feruction,-that it is calculated rather to make fmatterers in every thing, than proficients in any thing. Let but a folid foundation be laid of thofe clemental parts of learning which employ the memory when that is the only faculty in full vigour, and it is inmaterial how fiight is the fuperftricture firfe erected. I would wifn it rather to refemble the fcaffolding of a creat building, than the finifhed model of a fmall one. Befides that almoft all the banches of knowledge have a mutual connexion and dependance ; it is the only way of preventing narrow prejudices in favour of any one, at the fame time to afford a profpest of feveral, and altemately to excreife the mind upon each. As reafoning confints in the comparifon of ideas, the underfanding cannot be furnifhed with too large a fore to work upon. Nor need it be apprehended that confufion will arife from the early nixiure of a variety of objects in the mind ; or that the time ufually allotted for education will prove iufufficient for acquiring the principles of general knowledge. The phyfical character of the mental and bodily frame in youth, is an aptitude for various exertions, but an impatience of cons
firement to a fingle one. The mind and body can fcarcely at that period be too much employed, provided emplayment be judicioufly varied; and numerous examples have proved, that prodigious acquifitions may be made in very early life, by thofe who have proper objects prefented to them. I know that fome, bave chofen to reprefent thefe acquifitions as fugitive, and as calculated rather: to make extraordinary children, than diftinguihed men. This is undoubtedly the cafe when the ftudies of youth are laid afide in more advanced ycars; but when they are unremitingly followed up, I fee no reafon to doubt that the lead gained at the outfet, will be preferved during the courfe.

You are apprifed, as well as myfelf, that the eftablifhed fyftem of fchool and univerfity education in this country, is as oppolite as poffible to thefe ideas ; but we know that this has happened, not in confequence of a preference founded upon fair comparifon, but either of habits and ways of thinking tranfmitted from generation to generation, or of a neceffity derived from the plans of future life. Where honours and emoluments are only to be obtained by particular acquirements, thefe reccive a relative importance, which mut continue as long as the fame circumfances exif. If Greek and Latin be the only paffports from the fchool to the univerity ; and Greck and Latin fill, with antiquated logic and abfract mathematics, be the means of induction to degrees and
fellowhips, and thence of admiffion to lucrative offices in church and ftate, they will, without queftion, be the leading objects of attention to thofe who are educated for the purpofe of obtaining thefe offices. But their value in this cafe is properly profenicnal, and ought no more to form a rule of eftimation for perfons with different views, than the value of legal and medical knowledge to lawyers and phyficians.

It is a great advantage attending an unfhackled plan of life, that thefe artificial eftimates of things may in good meafure be avoided. There is nothing in your deftination which obliges you to purfue any other courfe of fludy, than that beft fitted to enlarge your mind, and flore it with the moft effentially valuable products of human knowledge. The fciences which will be properly profeffional to you, thofe of ethics and theology, fland at the head of fuch as dignify a rational being. Critical and polite literature is not only valuable for the affiftance it affords in the purfuit of thofe fludies, but for the pure and elevated pleafures it is capable of yielding as an ultimate object. The ftudy of nature under her various forms, which cannot but be peculiarly interefting to one who afpires to an acquaintance with the Author of nature, has in it likewife every quality which can render a purfuit delightful. To all thefe the exertions of your mind will naturally be turned. Their fources will be alike open to you. You have books, leifure,
and friends; but you have no friend who has your improvement more at heart than myfelf. And as the longer traft I have paffed over in the journey of life, has, of courfe, given me a more extenfive acquaintance with fome of its objects than you can yet have acquired, I truft you will not think your time mifapplied in perufing the reflections on various topics, inftructive or amufing, which I mean to communicate to you in a feries of letters. Whether my fentiments do or do not meet with your concurrence, you will, by examining them, be led to that freedom of difcuffion, without the habit of which no difference exilts between of inions end prejudices.

$$
\mathrm{I} \text { am, }
$$

Your truly affectionate father,
J. A.

## LETTER II。

! ON STRENGTH OF CHARACTER.

$$
D E A R \quad S O N
$$

I F I can fpeak experimentaily to any moral benefit in growing older, it is, that increafiog years aug. ment the frength and firmnefs of the character. This is a part of the natural progrefs of the human fyftern, and is probably as much owing to phyfical as to moral caufes. The dimination of mobility and irritability in the animal frane, mult fortify it a.gainft extemal imprefions, and give it a greater ftability in its action and reaction. So far, however, as this is a corporeal procefs, it cannot be anticipated; and the young munt be exhorted to wait patiently for this advantage, till it comes to them in due courfe of time, to compenfate for the many privations they muit undergo. But if an ençuiry into the purely moral caufes of the oppofite defeets can fuggeft moral means of obviating them in fome meafure at any period, it will certainly be worth the pains; for a due degree of
firmne?s and confitency is abfolutely cffential in forming a refpectable character. Let us, then, enter upon fuch an invefligation.

On rutracing my own feelings, I find that the firf and principal cauie of juvenile weaknefs is falfe fiaze. The fhame of being fingular,-the fhame of lying under reftraints from which others are free,-the fhame of appearing ungenteel,are all acutely felt by young perfons in 'general, and require frong principie or much native firmnefs of temper to furmount. Moft of the defections from parties and fects in which perfons have been educated, criginate from this fenfation, which is perhaps more feductive to the younc, than even intereft to the old. It firft makes them hefitate to avow themfelves, and defirous of pafing undiftinguifned in mixed companies; it next leads them to petty deceptions and compliances ; and finifhes with making entire converts of ther, frequently with an affectation of extrao:dinary contempt of thofe whom they have forfaken, in order to prevent all fufpicion of their having been of the number. The beit guard againtt this conduct is a ftrong impreflion of its meannefs. If young men were brought to difcen that cowardice and fervility were the chief agents in this progrefs, their native generofity of fpirit would powerfully oppofe fuch a degradation of character. Sill more might be gained by accuftoming them to fet a value upon the circumfance of flanding apart from the mais
of mankind, and to eiteen as honourable every diftinction produced by the exercife of freedom in thinking and acting. I am aware that there is a danger to be avoided on this fide, too, and that the pride of fingularity is equally ridiculous and difgufful in a young man. But this, I believe, is not the leading error of the times; which is rather a propenfity to fubmit implicitly to the decifions of farhion, and to value onefelf more upon following, than oppofing, the manners and opinions of the majority.

The fear of offending is another fnare to young minds, which, though commonly originating in an amiable delicacy of charaster, muft in fome degree be overcome before a manly fleadinefs of conduct can be fupported. Many inftances have I known, in which the fpecies of adulation called by the Latins of entaiio, has been occafioned by a mere dread of giving offence by contradiction. But fuch a habit of affenting to every thing that may be advanced, is in danger of fubverting all our principles; and we thay come to practife from artifice that complaifance which we perceived to be fo agreeable, when only the confequence of modeft deference. This is an evil attending the practice, otherwife fo inftructive, of frequenting the company of feniors and fuperiors; and it is only to be counteracted by a mixture of free fociety with equals.

Akin to this is the fear of giving pain. It infpires an infuperable repugnance to the delivery of difagreeable truths, or the undertaking of unpleafant offices; things which in the commerce of life are often neceflary to the difcharge of our duty. I. particular, one whofe office it is to apply medicine to the mind, muft, as well as the phyfician of the body, conquer his reluctance to give temporary pain, for the fake of affording lafting benefit. Excefs of politenefs deviates into this weaknefs. It makes no diftinction between faying an unpleafant thing, and faying a rude one. A courfe of fentimental reading is likewife apt to fofter fuch an extreme delicacy of feeling, as makes the painful duties of the heart infupportable. The moft effectual remedy in this ftate of morbid fenfibility, is an unavoidable neceffity of mixing in the bufinefs of the world, and encountering all its roughneffer. To perfons of a retired condition, the beft fubftitute is ftrengthening the mind with the dictates of a mafculine and high-toned philofophy.

The defire of pleafing all mankind, which is the counterpart of the two former principles, is a fertile fource of wealnefs and mutability in fome of the beft difpofitions. It is the quality commonly termed good-nature, and perhaps is in fome meafure national to Englifhmen. Young perfons are not only themfelves prone to fall into excefs of eafy good nature, but it is the quality that moft readily captivates them in the choice of an early friend.

It is impoffible here to blame the difpofition, although it be highly important to guard againft the indulgence of it; for it leads to the very fame imbccility of conduct that falfe fhame and cowardice do. In the courfe of our duties we are almoft as frequently called upon to undergo the cenfure and enmity of mankind, as to cultivate their friendihip and good opinion. Cicero, in enumerating the caufes which induce men to defert their duty, very properly mentions an unwillingnefs " fufcipere inimicitias," to take up enmities. This is, inḍeed, one of the fevereft trials of our attachment to principle ; but it is what we muf be ready to fuitain when occafion requires, or renounce every claim to a ftrong and elevated character.

When young in life, I derived much fatisfaction from thinking that I had not an enemy in the world. A too great facility in giving up my own interef, when it involved a point of contention, and a habit of affenting to, or at leaft not oppofing, the various opinions I heard, had, in fact, preferved me from direct hoftilities with any mortal, and, I had reafon to believe, had conciliated for me the paffive regard of moft of thofe with whom I was acquainted. But no fooner did different views of things, and a greater firmnefs of temper, incite me to an open declaration refpecting points which I thought highly interefting to mankind, than I was made fenfible, that my
former fource of fatisfaction muft be exchanged for felf-approbation and the efcem of a few. The event gave me at firf fome furprife and more concern ; for I can truly fay, that in my own breaft, I found no obitacle to the point of agreeing to differ. It was even fome time before I could conftrue the eftranged looks of thofe, who meant to intimate that they had renounced private friendfhip with me, upon mere public grounds. But enough! At prefent, I can fincerely affure you, that I feel more compunction for early compliances, than regret for the confequences of later affertions of principle. And it is my decided advice to you, who are beginning the world, not to be intimidated from openly efpoufing the caufe you think a right one, by the apprehenfion of incurring any man's difpleafure. I fuppofe this to be done within the limits of candcur, modefty, and real good temper. Thefe being obferved, you can have no enemies but thofe who are not worthy to be your friends.

## L ETTER III.

## ON ATTACHMENT TO THE ANCIENTS.

DEAR SON,

Yo
OU cannot but have remarked, that, even at this period, there are many whofe attachment to the writers of antiquity is little inferior to that of the critics and commentators who immediately fucceeded the revival of literature. Wrapt up in profound admiration of them, they fpend their whole time in ftudying their works, in which they find every fpecies of excellence in its moft exquifite degree; and they look down upon the beft performances of the moderns, as only humble imitations of the great models which the ancients have fet before them. Every deviation from their principles, they confider as a deviation from truth and nature; and prefer a fault fanctioned by their example, to a beauty not reducible to their ftandard. How far all this is owing to a juft preference, or a narrow prejudice ; and if the latter, by what modes of thinking it is prinsipally foftered, cannot be an uninterefting enquiry.

Many writers have employed themfelves in drawing particular comparifons between fimilar works of the ancients and moderns, and I do not mean to add to the number. It will rather be my attempt to deduce from general reafoning fore principles by which their comparative merit may be determined $a$ friori. This may feem a frange mode of deciding upon objects which are capable of being brought to an actual parallel : but when it is confidered how few can come to the examination without prepofferfions in favour of individuals, it may be admitter that this method has its advantages. In face, by the force of early affociations, the beauties of our literary favourites ftrike us. as the charms of a mittrefs do a lover. We can hardly judge of them foberiy-we are all enthufiain, or ail coldnefs. You cannot but have heard, at the recital of the fame piece, fome exclaiming, How divine! and others, What wretched ftuf! - yet both parties pafing for men of tafte. Let us then feek a firmer foundation for our judgment.

All philofophers agree, that man is peculiarly characterized as an improvable being, not only with refpect to the individual, but to the fpecies. It is true, many caufes may for a long time fuipend the courfe of improvement, or even occafion a retrograde motion; nor does the capacity for it in the fpecies extend to every attainment of the individual. Many arts depend fo much more upon exercife than upon rule, that the excellence of a particular artift cannot be tranfmitted to a fucceffor; hence a later
age does not ftand on the foculders of an earlier one with refpect to them. This is very much the cafe with the arts of painting and fculpture. In thefe, after the difcovery of the technical modes of working, and the exiftence of models fufficiently excellent to direct the tafte of the learner, every advance towards perfection muft proceed from individual talents and induftry. With a block of marble and a chifel, and a foul touched with the fire of genius, and habituated to the contemplation of fine forms in art and nature, the Grecian fculptor called into life his Apollo or Venus, and left to future artifts only to admire and imitate.

Among the products of literature, poetry has been thought peculiarly to refemble the arts above mentioned, in foon arriving at a perfection, to which after-improvements of the human fpecies could make no addition. Ingenious difertations have been writen to prove, that a fimple flate of man and nature, as they exit in the firf dawnings of civilization, is the condition mof propitions to poctical attempts; and, in fact, many of the favourite productions of the mufe in various countries date from fuch periods. This theory, fupported as it is, by various plaufible arguments, is, however, in my opinicn, rather clegant than fulid. When language and the art of verffication had reached to a certain pitch of refinement, that poetry which confinted in the defcription of natural objects, and of the fimple affections of the heart, might, indeed,
at once attain excellence ; and the attempts of a more polifhed age to improve upon it, might degenerate into tinfel and conceit. Still, however, as nature herfelf does not alter, and as the fimplent manners are always exifting among a certain clafs of mankind, a writer of true talie may at any time excel in delineations of this kind. Inftances of this are likely to happen, when, aifter long periods of refinement, the rlifh for fimplicity comes round again. This feens to be the cafe among us at prefent; and he mut be a very prejudiced reader, who can prefer the literary taite of the ages of Elizabetla and the Charles's, to that of the prefent day, in refpect to jufnefs and truth. If the pictures of nature exhibited by a Cowper and many other modern poets be compared with thofe of any former are of Englifh poetry, I will venture to afert, that they will be found beyond comparifon the moft chante and exact. It may here be remarked, that a fimple age is never fenfible of the merit of its own fimplicity; but, on the contrary, is fond of laying on with profufion all the ornament it poffefles. This is univerfally true of favages, with refpect to the decoration of their perfons, and all the little apparatus of their cabins. It is equally true of the language and rude compofitions of a people ftiil barbarous, or oniy rifing towards civilization. Their productions, therefore, are lefs uniformly fimple than thofe of an age which can fully conceive the difference between different
fyles, and poffeffes jadgment enough to exhibit each in its purity.

But with refpect to the higher fpecics of poctical compofitions, there can be no poffible reafon to fuppofe that excellence in them will be the growth of an early ftage of civilization, or that it will not in general keep pace with other choice products of the mind in their progrefs towards perfection. Uniformity of defign will not exit bcfore accuracy of conception,-beauty of arrangement before a juft fenfe of order,-propriety of felection, before the principle of congruity,-ftrength and delicacy of fentiment, before a habit of abfract thinking,fplendour of diction, before the large and varied ufe of language. Unlefs, therefore, it were in the power of native genius to overcome impoffibilities, we fhould never expect to fee a capital work, combining all the excellencies of plan, imagery, and fentiment, and at the fame time free from grofs defects, produced in an uncultivated age, or by ant illiterate author.

But, however probable the progreffive improvement of poetry may appear in theory, it will be faid, that its actual progrefs has not correfponded with this fuppofition. For this, however, rainious caufes may be afligned, and efpecially the foilowing. Some works of extraordinary merit, and peculiarly calculated to become popular, appeared at an early period, and obtained fuch a high degree of admira.tion, that they became models in their refpective
kinds, and reftricted all fubfequent cifoits of genius to mere imitation. Thus, from the time of Homer, epic poetry became an artificial compofition, whofe rules were in reality drawn from the prątice of the Grecian bard, rather than from the principles of nature. Lyric and dramatic poctry were in like manner fixed, though at a later period, by Greciais models ; fo that the Roman writers of fimilar pcrformances could not be faid to bring any thing of their own to their works. The fame fackles of imitation have hung upon the poct:y of modern Europe ; whence a fair comparifon of the powers and Genius of different periods is rendered fcarcely practicable. The leading fpecies of poctry, like the cuiers of architecure, have come down to us fubject to certain proportions, and requiring certain omamental ascompaniments, which perhaps have liad no foundation whatever but the cafual praftice of the earliett maftis; nay, pombly, the whole exitance of fome of thic freciec has had the fane accidental origin.

Meantime, the veneration for the arcints has been raifed to the higheft pitch by this perpetual reference to them as moduls; and it has been concluded, that worl:s which have engaged the ftudy, aud called forth the imitation of fo many fucceeding ages, mut poffefs a fupreme degree of excellence. But aiter all, their reputation may have been much more owing to accident than is commonly fuppofed. That the Grecian poets, continually recording the
deeds of their countrymen, and offering incenfe to the national vanity, fhould have been licld in high efteen at home, was natural. That the Romans, receiving all their literature from Greece, fhould adopt its principles and prejudices, was alfo to be expected. But that they fould tranfmit them to fo large a portion of the civiiized world, and this, not only daring the period of their domination, but to new races of men, fo many centuries after the downfal of their empire, muft be reckoneत̉ accident, as far as any thing in human affairs can be called accidental. Had not the Chrifian religion eftablifhed a kind of fecond Roman empire, even more capable of fwaying the orinions of mankind than the firft, it is highly improbable that we fhould at this day have been commenting upon the claffical writers of Greece and Rome. It is, indeed, afonifhing to reflect, by what a frange concatenation of caufe and effect, the youth of Chrifian Europe fhould be inftructed in the fables of Greek and Latin mythology, which were fallen into contempt even before Rome ceafed to be heathen. It certainly has not been on a.ccount of their wiflom and beauty that they have furvived the wreck of fo many better things. They have been embalmed in the languages which contained them, and which, by becoming likcwife the depoftories of Chrifizn doctrine, have been rendered facred languages.

But it is time to give you a little refpite.

## LETTER IV.

## THE FORMER SUBJECT CONTINUED。

FROM the tenor of my laft letter, you have, doubtlefs, perceived the intended application of my argument a priori. And without hefitation I avow that the fuppofition that any kind of intellectual product will not partake of the general improvement of the mind, under fimilar circumftances, appears to me perfecily unphilofophical. While, then, it is acknowledged that mocien tines, in extent and accuracy of knowledge, have far furpafied thofe periods which ought rather to be regarded as the infancy than the antiquity of the world, I cannot fee why the moralit, the metaphyfician, the hiforian, the critic, the crator, and the poet, too, fhould not be benefited by the progrefs. Horace has faid, "that the fource of good writing is good fenfe;" and what is this, but the refult of reafon operating upon experience? It may, indeed, be urged, that there are certain topics, upon which, after men in a ftate of civilization have once begun to think, little additional kuowledge can be gained by experimertal or fci-
entific procefles; and the philofophy of the human mind may be given as an inftance. Every man bearing about him, and viewing round him, the fubject of this kind of inveftigation, no length of time or foreign aid feems wanting to enable him to carry it as far as his faculties will permit. And it is probably true, that fearcely any points of moral and metaphyfical fpeculation efeaped the acute refearch of the numerous Grecian fchools which devoted their whole attention to ftudies of this kind ; nor at the prefent day do many of thefe points feem nearer being fettled than they were two thoufand years ago. Yet, if the ancients treated them with as mach futility and ingenaty as the moderns, the latter will, I believe, be generally allowed to have excelled in clearnefs of arrangement, ard folidity of argumentation ; fo that where certainty is not now attained, there is great reafon to fuppofe it unattainable. And I can fearcely conceive, that many perfons, aiter making themfelves maters of the modern theories refpecting the mind, will think it worth while to retrace the labyinth of ancicat metapbyfic.

The limitation I made of the fuperiority of modern writers to cafes in which the circumflances were fimilar, would probably be made much ufe of by a zealot for antiquity, who would attenpt to fhew, that the language, manners, and infitations of the ancierts gave them, in a variety of inftances, peculias adrantages over the moderns.

As to language, however, let the intrinfic preeminence of the Greek and Latin be placed ever fo high, ftill, with refpect to us, they are dead languages, in which we could not read a fentence fo as to be underftood, or write a hort compofition fo as not to be ridiculed, by an old Greek or Roman. I am far from charging with affectation thofe who fall into raptures with the verfification of Virgil and Horace, or the numerous profe of Plato and Cicero. I am perfuaded that by long attention they have brought themfelves to a perception of fomewhat excellent, though it be a different thing from the real excellence. But can it be doubted, that the fame attention paid to one's own, or another living language, the true pronunciation and all the delicacies of which may with certainty be known, will afford at leart as folid and rational a pleafure? Language and modes of thinking have a clofe connexion with each cther ; and where the latter become more accurate and methodical, the former muft necefarily improve in force and precifion. New ideas muft likewife require new words; as knowledge, therefore, acvances, languages muft become richer, and that, not only in direct terms, but in figurative and allufive exprefions. The former is an advantage in accuracy, the latter in eloquence : and it would be a vain attempt to transfufe into claffical Greek and Latin, the clofe argumentation of a Hume, and the excurfive rhetoric of a Burke.

With regard to the changes which manners and inflitutions have undergone，though this may， in fome few inflances have rendered modern times lefs favourable than the ancient to certain ftudies， as particularly thofe to which great emulation was furmerly attached by means of public rewards and applaufes，yet this ceufe cannot have operated to any confiderable extent upon literature in general． There can never want motives to excel in what is truly valuable；and though the fpecies of en－ couragement may vary，the effed will be fimilar． If oratory among the ancients had more fcope at the bar，with us it lias more in the fenate；and that of the puipit is an entirely new creation．If the plaudits of affembled Greece were animating in a high degree to dramatic attempts，thofe of a modern theatre，enforced by the folid benefits of a third night，are fcarcely lefs fo ：－－though I do not mean to infance the theatre as one of the beft fchools of taite；but neither was it in the age of Auguftus．Horace，you know，complains that， even among the knights，pleafure had migrated from the ears to the eyes；and the Roman ftage might at leaft vie with thofe of the Haymarket and Covent Garden，in proceffions and triumphs． Nay，I cannot but fufpect，that in the mof trilliant times of Greece，the choruffes and the whole jeu de theatre were more addreffed to the love of extraor－ dinary fectacles in a wondering populace，than to the judgment of fober critics．

But I fhall not further purfue comparifons between particular kinds of literary productions, at different periods. My purpofe was rather to fuggeft general principles of judging, which might ferve as a counterpoife to the prepoffeffions ufually entertained on thefe fubjects. In conformity with this defign, I fhall conclude my letter with fome remarks on the caufes which have foftered an unreafonable attachment to the writers of antiquity.

Education has been the primary fource of thefe prejudices. For many centuries, all the literary characters in Europe have been fed and nurtured with the claffics, and have employed the beft years of their lives in attempting to underfand and imitate them. Affociations thus cemented, are fcarcely ever to be diffolved. Every fentiment of the foul is interefted in preferving them, and the paffions rife up to defend the decrees of the judgment. Even the practical fciences, which ought to receive lefions from every day's experience, have for ages been chained to the fehcols of thofe early mafters. In my own profeffion, how many writers of real talents do I find, who hefitate to admit a cotemporary truth when oppofite to the authority of Hippocrates and Galen. At prefent, indeed, this fervitude is pretty well over in our country; but learned foreigncrs ftil take a great deal of unneceffary and fimitlefs pains to reconcile the maxims of modern experience with the premature distates of the fathers of phyfic. Pride concurs
with prejudice in maintaining the value of what we have difinguifhed ourfelves in acquiring; and the credit of thofe acquiftions by which literary honours are obtained, muft be fupported for the fake of the honours themfeives.

This general inpreffion in favour of ancient literature, is fubject to particular caufes of fallacious judgment. One of thefe is, the common practice of confounding the merit of the writer with that of his work; as if fuperior abilities fhould alway3 produce fuperior perfamances. But though the inventor ftands higher in the fale of genius than the improver, yet the workmanfhip of the latter will in many refpects be more perfect than that of the former. This is fufficiently obvious in pieces of mechanifm, and other works of mere utility; where it would be thought a fuange prejudice to prefer the original draught of the moft ingenious artit, to the improved copy of his journeyman. And why fhould not the fame obfervation apply to the mechanical parts, at leaft, fuch as the plan and difpofition, of a literary defign? Although the article of claffical faith, that "Homer was the greateft poet who ever exifted," be admitted in its full extent, the general fuperiority of the liad to the Rneid or Paradife Lof, will not follow as a legitimate confequence.

Another deception is, confounding the merit of a performance with its cafual value. Every thing which conveys information of the manners and fen-
timents of a remote age, is a fit fubject for liberal curiofity ; and thofe remains of antiquity which abound in fuch information deferve the attentive ftudy of the philofopher as well as the philoleger. But this value, in many cafes, arifes more from the faults than the excellencies of a writer, whofe minute details of common occurrences, or references to idle and extravagant fables, may deform his work as a production of genius, while they afford high gratification to the curious antiquary. Had Homer compofed another Iliad inftead of an Odyffey, he would probably have exhibited much more fublimity of conception, and grandeur of defcription, of both which the Odyffey contains very faint traces: but ve fhould have loft a copious fore of information concerning the arts and domeftic manners of that early period, which no other wo:k could fupp'y. The circumfance of language comes under this head of extrinfic value. To trace the progrefs of men's ideas, by means of the exprefitions in which they clothed them-to view terms derived from feniible objects graduaily transferred to intellectual notions, and fimple energies receiving their fucceffive modifica-tions-is highly interefting to the philofophic mind. Hence men of fpeculation have always been defirous of knowing a multiplicity of languages; and they have read with eagernefs very inferior compofitions, if tranfmitted in the tongue of a remote age.

Further: a forcign, and ftill more, a dead language, never gives us its matter with exaclly the
fame impreffions as we fhould receive from it in our own. Many beauties are loft, but, in return, many imperfections are concealed. And, in particular, the air of tritenefs and vulgarity which ever attends pelformances of inferior rank in our native language, is thrown off by allying the matter with words which can never be quite familiar to us. Many a moral fentiment which would make an ordinary figure in Englifh, ftrikes us with the force of a deep maxim in Latin or Greek, and dwells on our memory. This, indeed, is a real advantage arifing from the ftudy of thofe languages ; but it is not to be placed to the account of peculiar excellence in their writers.

To what purpofe have I addreffed to you all thefe obfervations? Moft certainly not to perfuade you to lay afide your favourite claffics, which, befides the foid pleafure and inftrtction they are capable of affording you, are, in fome meafure, profeffional objects of your fudies. Indulge a liberal admiration of their excellencies. Imprint their beauties upon your imagination, and their morals upon your heart. Dut do not be feduced to regard as models of perfection, what were only the experiments of early art-do not think that the powers of men have declined, while their advantages have increafed-and, above all, do not decide by ancient authority, what can be brought to the fair teft ef modern reafon.

## LETTER V.

## ON THE PURSUIT OF IMPROVEMENT.

I OU have frequently, I queftion not, been difgufted with the common cant employed againt all projects for improvement, " that perfection is a thing not attainable here below-that every thing human muft partake of the defects of human na-ture-that it is a folly to aim at impofibilities"and the like. This language, which might with equal truth have been held at every ftage of human advancement, is therefore equally trivial in all ; and he who admits that it would have been an injury to mankind if ten centuries ago it had operated to dificourage attempts for improvement, can give ro fufficient reafon why it would not be fo at the prefent day.

If you confider the perfons from whom this ftrain of declamation proceeds, you will infallibly find it to have its origin in ignorance, weaknefs, or felfifhnefs. Often in ignorance, the declaimer being neither fufficiently informed of the prefent flate of the art or fcience to which he refers, nor difcerning the means for its further advancement. Often in
weaknefs-want of energy of temper and force of underfanding to fupport a vigorous exertion. Oftener than all in felfifnefs, when perfonal advantages are derived from prefent defects, which would be endangered by any attempts to amend them. Every generous and elevated fpirit will inculcate naxims directly the reverfe;-that perfection is the point conftantly to be aimed at, whether attainable cr not ; and that no purfuit beneficial to mankind has hitherto been brought to a tate in which it is incapable of further progrefs. This is admitted to be the cafe with refpect to perfonal advances in religion and virtue, even by thofe who are the leaft inclined to improvement in gencral-for it is affered by authority, which they dare not contradict. It is likewife readily acknowledged, with refpect to moft of thofe arts and fciences, the free progrefs of which does not oppofe the intereft of individuals. And it feems impoffible to affign a reafon why the fame maxims fhould not apply to every fubject in which the human facuities are engaged, provided it does not relate to things manifefly beyond their reach. If perfection be any where attainable, it would feem to be peculiarly in thofe inftitutions which are the creatures of man-in which he has a fipecific end and purpofe in view, involving no vills or powers but his own-which are purely matters of convention between man and man, that may be made whatever he choofes to make them. Such are all the regulations belonging to civil focicty. In
thefe concerns, if the end be firt precifely laid down, and if experience be faithfully confulted as to the fuccefs of different means, it is fcarcely poffible that continual progrefs fhould not be made, as the world advances in reafon and knowledge, towards a perfect coincidence of means and end.

You may probably have met with the affertion, that, " in the fcience of politics, all principles that are fpeculatively right, are practically wrong." This fentence was the fally of a witty writer, who is much more diftinguifhed for faying lively things than folid ones. Like other paradoxes, it will not bear examination. It carries a palpable contradiction on its very face; for in a practical fcience, the proof of the rectitude of its fpeculative principles is only to be found in their agreement with practice. What fhould we fay of a fyitem of perfpeetive, the rules of which gave every figure falfe and diforted ; or a fyitem of menfuration, by which no one meafure turned out right? The reafon affigned by the writer for the oppofition between principles and practice in the infance he adduces, is, that the principles are founded upor the fuppofition that man acts reafonably-which he does not. This remad is evidently an ebulition of fplenetic fatire; but were it jun, the legitimate corclufion Would be, that the principles were erroneous; for if man be really not a reafonable creature, they erred in repreferting him as fuch. To whatever: clafs he belenges it will not be denied that he is
actuated by moives; and thefe motives it is the great bufinefs of thofe who plan fyftems of law and government to difcover. Such fyftems alone can be fpeculatively as well as practically rigitit ; and in them the theory can be no more at variance with the practice, than caule with effect. The writer's afiertion, therefore, is a mere fophifm, which I fnould not have thought worthy of refutation, had I not cbferved it triumphantly repeated, as the mature conclufion of a fage in worldly affairs, by perfons who concur with him in a diflike to appeals to fryl principles in this and fome other matters. The truth is, they believe man to be poffeffed of more reafon than they are willing to allow, and it is his reafon that they are afraid of.

To refolve things into their firf principles is 1 bilo fofthy, the nobleft employment of the mind, and that which alone confers a title to real wifdom. Without a portion of it, the experience of a long life may only ferve to accumulate a confufed mafs of opinion, partly true, partly falle, and leading to no ene certain conclufion. The want of a philofophic mind makes many men of bufinefs mere plcdders, and many men of reading and even of obfervation, mere retailers of vague unconnected notions. Order, precifion, concatenation, analy fis, are all the refults of philofophy. Yet even this word, as you muft have remarked, as well as thofe of improvement and reformation, has been the futject of cbloguy. It has been branded with the epithet of
impious by the bigot, of arrogant by the cautious, and of vifionary by the dull. It has drawn down the anathemas of the ferious, and the ridicule of the light. Above all, it has been treated with that ironical fneer, which is fo common a refource to thofe who are confcious of being deficient in argument. "Thank heaven! I am no philofopher ; I pretend not to be wifer than thofe who have gone before me. I do not boaft of the difcovery of new principles. I muft beg leave to retain my antiquated notions, notwithftanding philofophers call them frejudices." Thefe flowers of polemical rhetoric, which decorate fo many fermons, fpeeches, and eflays, though they have loft the attraction of novelty, are yet of no fmall efficacy in fwaying trivial minds; and the argumentum ad verecundiam to which they appeal, is apt to overpower unaffuming modelty. Such a ftrain of frothy infolence is beft difconcerted by admitting it ferioully as an honeft confeflion of inferiority. I would fay-" I know you are not a philofopher-I never took you for one-your education and habits of life have difqualified you from all pretenfions to the character -your opinions are mere prejudices, and do not merit a refutation."

But if there be thofe who bona fide are afraid of philofophy, becaufe very mifchievous doctrines have been propagated under its name, let then be told, that what they dread is only the ufe of reafon in a large way, and upon the moft important fubu
jects ;* and that if, on the whole, we are better for the gift of reafon, though fome abufe it, we are likewife better for afpiring to be philofophers, though fome falfely and for bad purpofes arrogate the title. A very common topic of railing againt philofophy, is the extravagant and contradictory opinions held by the ancient fchools of philofophers. But with whom ought they to be compared? Not with thcfe who have been enlightened by direct revclation, but with the vulgar and bigots of their own times, who implicitly received all the abfurdities which fraud and fuperftition had foifted into their fyftems of faith. If, by the efforts of unaided philofophy, out of a people thus debafed, could be raifed a Socrates, an Epictetus, an Antoninus, what honours fhort of divine, are not due to it ? Nor have its fervices to mankind in later ages been much lefs confpicuous ; for not to infift on the great advance-ments in art and fcience which have originated from natural philofophy (fince they are queftioned by none), what man of enlarged ideas will deny, that the philofopolyy of the buman mind, of law, of commerce, of government, of morals, and, I will add, of religion, have greatly contributed to any fupcriority this age may claim over former periods? If piilciophy thus employcd hath occafioned fome evils, a more correct and diligent ufe of the fame

* Hujus opus unum eft, de divinis humanifque qerum invenixe.

Sumzc.
will remove them. If erroneous conclufions have been drawn from a premature or partial induction of facts, they will be rectified by a future more extenfive induction. After all, no medium can poffibly be affigned between reafoning freely, and not reaioning at all-between fubmitting implicitly to any human authority, and to none.

We are placed in this world with a variety of faculties, and of objects on which to exercife them. Doubtlefs, there are in nature limits which we cannot pafs ; but what man fhall prefume to mark them out for other men ?-what man fhall fay to his fellow-men, I permit you to exercife your reafon upon thefe objects, but I forbid you from exercifing it on thofe? Many, indeed, have fo prefumed; but the friends of truth and mankind have ever refifted their ufurped authority.

For you, my dear Son, I do not apprehend that you will be backward in afferting the nobleft prerogative of man. Of all improvements, that of your own mind is of the moft confequence to you. It is likewife that the moft in your power, and in the purfuit of which you will be leaft liable to thwart the interefts and prejudices of others. Renember, however, that the fureit mark of progrefs is a full perception of the difproportion between acquinitions already made, and thofe which remain to be made.

Adieu!

## LETTER VI.

ON THE LOVE OF APPLAUSE, EXEMPLIFIED IN THE YCUNGER PLINY。

```
DEAR SON,
```

$\mathrm{I}_{7}$perufal of an author, to note down the general impreffions it left on my mind; and this practice, which İ began axs uiefui to myieif, í have followed with more attention, fince I reflected that it might be rendered of fome utility to my children. It may therefore not unfrequently happen, that fuch remarks afford the fubject of a letter ; and at prefent I mean to communicate to you my refections on the elegant and infructive Epiflies of Pliny.

Dr. Johnfon's obfervations concerniag the fallacy of the common notion, that a man lays open his mind without difyuife in his familiar correfpondence, would be frikingly confirmed by thefe letters, provided they couid properly be termed familiar. But though many of them are addreffed to the moit intimate friends he had in the world, and relate to perfonal topics, yet as we know that they
were publifhed by the writer himfelf, after they had undergone his revifion and correction, we may be affured that their purpofe was not the fimple effufion of his mind. In fact, the evident defign of almoft every letter in the collection is, as we commonly exprefs it, to fet bimfelf off; for they turn upon fome act of munificence which he had performed, fome inftance of his literary and oratorical reputation, his attachment to ftudy, his philofophical temper of mind, his love of virtue, in fhort, upon fomething that may heighten his character in the idea of his correfpondent. His leading foible, indeed, the thirt of applaufe, they very amply exhibit; for he neither wifhed to conceal it, nor could he do it confiftently with his purpofe of obtaining applaufe But we thall in vain look for any touches of nature which may make us acquainted in other refpects with the man. All is fo varnifhed over with fplendid fentiments, and elegancies of thought and expreffion, that no peculiar features are difcernible. The fubject of every letter is a theme, on which the fineft things are to be faid; and we are continually tempted to believe, that the benevolent or generous action he relates, was done for the exprefs purpofe of difplaying it to a friend in its faireft colouring.

Yet fince, from the concurring teftimony of writers, we know that Fliny was in reality a mof exemplary charaiter both in public and private life, another inference to be drawn is, that the love of
admiration, how much foever it may deferve the name of a veaaknefs, is not on the whole unfavourable to virtue. The defire of praife is a motive to do that which we think may deferve praife. This may occafionally, to perfons of a corrupted tafte, lead to endeavours at excelling in trivial and ufelefs performances : but it can fcarctly ever lead to actions manifeftly bafe and flagitious. And on thofe who have formed a juft fenfe of what is praifeworthy, its operation will be beneficial, by engaging felf-love as an auxiliary to virtuous principles.

The age of Pliny abcunded in characters of the pureft virtue. It would feem as if the fhocking and deteftable forms in which vice had exhibited herfelf under the wort of the Roman emperors, had awakened in mankind a double admiration of her oppofite. At the fame time, the refined civility of the age had foftened the rigid morality of the old Romans into a fyltem in which the humane virtues had their proper place. Trained in the beft principles, and early imbued with veneration for the nobleft characters, Pliny courted the public eiteem by an imitation of exalted worth; and if his virtue was not of the complexion of that which can content itfelf with its own confcioufnefs, yet it was fufficiently founded in habit and conviction, to induce him to be what he wifhed to apfear. In every age and country, the public will have reafun to be amply fatisfied, if its men of rank and high effice fhall be Plinies.

The vanity of this writcr appears leaft refpectable when it turns upon literary fubjects. It was his ardent defire to be thought, not only an excellent pleader and rhetorician, but a proficient in every kind of compofition, profe and warfe, light or ferious. That his fondnefs for difplaying himfelf, rendered him extremely prolix, may be judged, not only from his boaffful rclations of pleadings of five or fix hours at a time, and his frequent commendations of good bearers, but from his laboured and diffufe panegyric on Trajan. I doubt not that the patient and even applaufive attention to his long declamations and recitations, of which he fo ofter informs his friends, proceeded rather from a refpeci to his character, and a wifh to pleafe him, than from the real fatisfaction of his auditors. From various paffages in his letters we may difcover that application was made to this foible by perfons who were defirous of ingratiating themfelves in his favour. This is the danger of an excefive love of applaufe ;-not that it fhould vitiate the heart, but that it fhould corrupt the judgment, and lay a man open to the ridicule of the malignant, and the artifices of the defigning.

Farewel!

## LETTER VII.

## ON THE STORY OF CIRCE

```
DEAR SON,
```

THERE was a period of criticifm in which the works of Homer were fuppofed to contain an encyclopedia of human knowledge; and every thing of art, fcience, and wifdom, which after-ages had developed, were afferted to lie in their feeds within the compafs of his hiftory and fable. Under this impreffion, commentators were naturally led to fearch for recondite meanings in every fcene of invention by which he diverfified his poems ; and particularly they fought to improve the barrennefs of his morality, by allegoriing his fictions. The double nature of the heathen deities ferved their purpofe very happily in many of thefe attempts; and there was little difficulty in perfuading the reader that Pallas was wifdom perfonified in infpiring an action of policy, though a few lines before fhe had prompied deeds of valour as the martial goddefs. Sounder criticifm has brought back many
of thefe fancied allegories to fimple narratives. Reafoning upon the character of the age in which Homer lived, and the general ftrain of his writings, it has refufed to admit ideas and defigns manifefly originating in a very different fate of inteile Zual progrefs.

You may recollect our reading together the epifthe of Horace to his friend Lollius, and admiring the eafy good fenfe with which he deduces leffons of moral wifdom from the writings of Homer. Thefe are, in general, fuch as any real hiftory filled with a variety of events and characters might fuggeft ; but from the adventures of Ulyfies he felects two as confeffedly allegorical,

Srenum voces et Circæ poculi nofti;
and the fame opinion of them has, I believe, been entertained by all fucceeding commentators to the prefent day. Of the Sirens' fong, I do not, at this time mean to take notice; but I fhall offer to your confideration fome remarks on the flory of Circe.

The leading circumfances in this narration, of an enchantrefs turning men into beafts by a charmed cup, and of a wife man by virtue of a countercharm refifting the force of her fpells, afford fo plaufible a foundation for a moral allegory on the debafing effects of fenfuality, and the prefervative power of wifdom, that we need not be furprifed at its having been univerfally received as fuch. Accordingly, the Circean cup has become a phrafe in
every cultivated language; and the moft celebrated poets of different countries have imitated or newmodelled the flory with the happieft effect. Yet independently of the general argument againft allegorical interpretation, drawn from Homer's character of writing, there are in the ftory itfelf, when clofely examined, fuch contradictions to the fuppofed moral defign, that we muit either give it up as a falfe notion, or conclude that the author was abfolutely void of the judgment requifite for fuch a fpecies of compofition.

Let us trace the outiine of the fable.
Ulyflez, landing upon the ifland of Circe, fends a paity to explore the country. They arrive at the palace of Circe, who courteoufly invites them to enter ; and all but Eurylochus comply. She fets before them a mixture of meal, cheefe, honey, and Pramnian wine; the fame compofition as Nector prepares for the wounded chiefs in the Iliad. With this fhe mixes poifonous drugs; and after they have all partaken of the refection, fhe frikes them with a rod, and they are inftantly transformed into fwine. Now, what is there in this that looks on their parts like intemperance or grofs fenfuality? Could they have done lefs than accept a civility which had nothing extraordinary in its circumptarces, and in which they did not, as far as appears, exceed the bounds of moderation ? Homer, who is fo copious in the praifes of hofpitality, certainly could not mean to reprefent it as a fault to partake of the hofe
pitable board; and his greateft beroes are by no means backward or abftemious on fuch occafions.

But what follows ? On the return of Eurylochus, who not knowing the fate of his companions, concluded that they were all murdered, Ulyffes bravely refolves to fet out alone in order to explore the event. In the way he is met by Hermes in the fhape of a youth, who informs him of the nature and mode of Circe's enchantments ; and prefenting him with a root called Moly as a prefervative di:rects him, on being touched with the rod, to draw his fword and threaten Circe with death. "Then (fays he) fhe will invite you to her bed; and do not you on any account refufe the offer, fince it will conciliate her kindnefs : but firt bind her with an oath not to plan further mirchief againit you." Ulyfles acts in all points as he was commanded.

What then is this Moly? The commentators dare not call it temperance-that would be too manifert an outrage to the circumftances of the adventure. They make it therefore infirucion or prudence, and thus they are at once conftrained to lower the moral to a mere leffon of caution. Mcly, how cver, would better exprefs the later doctrine of. eleation, and the finlefs privilege of the faints: for Ulyffes, without any merit of his own, indulges with impunity in much greffer acts of fenfuality than his men had done, who were turned into beafls mertiy for following the common dictates of nature. The fequal is fill more iresonsileable to the fun-
pofed allegory of temperance; for Ulyffes ftays a whole year with Circe, fharing her bed, and making merry with her good cheer, without ever thinking of Ithaca, till his men remonftrate with him, and urge his return. It is obfervable, that this part of his conduct is exactly that which the Italian poets have attributed to their intemperate heroes, who are prefented as examples of great virtues with great defects. Critics attempt to obviate this objection to the ftory, by faying that Ulyffes was not intended for a perfect character. But in an adventure meant to exemplify a particular virtue, it would be abfurd indeed to make the principal circumftance a deviation from that very virtue.

On the whole I cannot but be convinced, that Homer in the fory of Circe had no other end in view, than in that of the Cyclops, the Læftrigons, and various others, namely, to gratify the paffion for novelty and leve of wonder belonging to all ages and all readers, by introducing into the travels of his hero, all thofe extraordinary narrations which he had learned from tradition, or the reports of mariners. This purpofe, fo natural in a poet of a rude age, will account, not only for the ftrange matter intermixed with many of his fables, but for their being introduced at all. He who looks for any better reafon for many things that he will find in the early writers, will only facrifice his own judgment to their reputation.

Ycur affectionate, \&c.

LETTER VIII.

## ON NATURE AND ART, AND THE LOVE OF NOVELTY.

DEAR SON,

THE Englifh fchool of the fine arts has ditine guifhed itfelf from every other, by a more univerfal reference to nature as a ftandard, and a bolder rejection of principles of art long and widely eftablifhed. Impatient of rules, little endowed with a capacity for ingenious and elegant fiction, but f.rongly fenfible of natural beauty and fublimity, our men of tafte have fallen into a peculiarity of manner which has its excellencies and its defects. It has foftered an exa\& judgment in reprefentations of nature, whether mental or corporeal ; it has elevated the imagination with the nobleft cbjects, and touched the heart with the moft genuine paffions ; but it has narrowed the range of pleafurable fenfations, and has infpired a faftidious difrelifh of many efforts of ingenuity. By endeavouring to purfue to the firt principles of an abftract philofophy every fpeculation concerning the
fine arts, a habit has been introduced, of refufing to be pleafed where the fource of pleafure could not be clearly traced ; and that ductility of foul towards attempts to amufe, which is fo happy a preparative to their effects, has been repreffed by the pride of reafoning. Perhaps the true philofophy of the human mind has fuffered as much from this fcrutinizing fpirit, as the capacity for enjoyment has done-perhaps the right folution of a fundamental theorem has been miffed by looking too far for it. I intend in this letter to offer to your confideration the varied operations of a fimple principle, which, I conceive, will explain and juftify many things that our national feverity of judgment has queftioned or rejected.

What is the great requifite in all endeavours to entertain ?-noveliy. Satiated and diffatisfied with things within our dally view, we roam in reflefs fearch after fomething either abfolutely new, or novel in form and degree. This peffion, which is in fome meafure univerial to the human race, and which is ever Atronger in proportion to the advancement in knowledge and civilization, might, perhaps, by the acute metaphyfician be referred to fome remoter principle, but practically it is ultimate; and the defres it excites nothing elfe can fatisfy. Inftead of afking, "Who will fhew us any good?" our cry is, Who will fhew us any, thing nev? ?-and he who is fortunate cnough to be able to co this, is fare of a recompence.

There are two fources from whence this defire feeks gratification : naiure and art. In nature, whatever has never before, or but rardly been prefented to us, affords pleafure on that account, which is greatly erhanced when the object is in other refpects capable of exciting agreeable fenfations. This is undoubtedly the nobleft, the mof delicious, and perhaps the mof copicus fource of pleafure; but to many, its enjoyment to any great extent is precluded by circumftances, and probably length of time will exhauf it in all. The inhabitant of a great city, imprifoned within its walls by buinefs or necefity, can only at fecond hand receive the impreffons proceeding from a view of the grand and beautiful of nature's works. And even the vilinger, though placed anid the moft picturcique affemblage of woods, lakes, and mountains, muft inevitably find their charms pall upon his fenfe, unlefs fupported by ney objects of curiofity opening from a clofer refearch into the wonders of creaticn. It is the fame with that part of nature which relates to the mind. The ordinary difplay of paffions and interefts which we behold in real life and in hiftory, proves at length infufficient to fill our minds. We eagerly look out for more extraordinary characters and events; and at laft are compelled to quit nature altogether, and feed our appetite for novily upon imadinary beings.

To art then, in fome form or other, we all reiort fo: a remedy of the talium vite; and nationat
taftes are chiefly characterifed by the mode and degree in which it is employed. It is in the arts termed imitative, that differences in thefe refpects are mon remarkable. It might have been fuppofed, that, referring to nature for their archetyes, they could vary only in the greater or lefs perfection of their imitation. But as this has not been the cafe, it is evident that thefe arts muft have fome additional object. In fact, they are not, in general, intended to give exact copies of nature. Their purpofe is to heighten her, to difguife her, to alter her, perhaps for the worfe, but at any rate to produce novelty. Nature fupplies the form and feature, but art contributes the drefs and air. It is in vain to attempt upon general principles to determine the proportion each flould preferve in the combination. For whether the end be to pleafe or to move, to flatter the imagination or excite the paffions, the fuccefs of the means will greatly depend upon manners, habits, and perhaps phyfical diverfities, in refpect to which no one people can be a rule to another. But I have dwelt too long upon general ideas-let us come to examples.

The drama is of all the efforts of art that which approaches the neareft to nature. It has every advantage conjoined, which the others poffefs fingly ; and indeed in fome circumftances almoft ceafes to be a reprefentation, but is the thing itfelf. Yet how differently have different nations conducted their dramatic fpectacles, and how manifeitly have
they intended variation from nature, where copying it would have been obvious and eafy. The Greeks, as you well know, wrote all their plays in meafure, and pronounced them in recitative with the accompaniment of mufic, and with regulated gefticulation. They covered the flage with a chorus, which was made privy to the moft fecret tranfactions, and interrupted the dialogue by odes of the moft elevated poetry. All this was certainly deviating far enough from reality; yet never were the powers of the ftage over the paffions more confpicuous than in Greece, and never were a people more enthufiaftically fond of theatrical exhibitions. In all thefe points the Romans exactly copied them. Modern nations have in different degrees followed the ancient models. All have adopted verfe as the vehicle of tragedy, and moft, of comedy. They have, at leait in the interludes, affociated dance and mufic. But the Italians, in their operas, have employed throughout the fame artifices of recitative, fong, and meafured action, that were ufed by the ancients. A true-bred Englifhman laughs at all this, or yawns. Some of our firlt wits have not difdained to point their ridicule againft heroes fabbing themfelves in cadence, and lovers expiring with a quaver, But a fenfible Italian furely does not want to be told that this is not nature. He looks for nature in the ftory, the paffions and the fentiments; but by allying it with the charms of exquifite mufic and graceful gefture, he feels that
he obtains fomething more, without lofing any thing. It may, indeed, require time and excrife to acquire a true relifh for fuch exhibitions, and fafhion may have induced many to affect at thefe fpectacles a pleafure which they do not feel, efpecially when the language of the piece is a foreign one. But I think we cannot, without grofs prejudice, doubt that they are capable of exciting genuine raptures, and that, in perfons whofe fenfe of propriety is as juft and delicate as our own. You know that in this matter I claim an unprejudiced opinion, at leaft on the fide for which I am pleading, fince my own taites are perfectly home-bred, and my conviction of the power of fuch arts is founded more on the teitimony of others, than on my own experience. I confers, that I was inclined to laugh at the idea of beroic dancing, till a friend of mine, a jucicious unaffected country gentleman, who had been to fee Veftris in a ferious opera, affured me, that he had received from his action fenfations of dignity, grace, and pathos, furpaffing, any thing of which he had before formed a conception.

What is tragedy among ourfelves ? Is it not a dialogue in verfe, intermixed with all the decorations of poetry? -and is this nature? I am aware that Englifh blank verfe may be fo pronourced, as to be no verfe at all; and this fuppofed improvement was introduced on our fage by Garrick, whofe idea of perfect recitation was that of imi-
tating natural feech as nearly as poffible. In lighly impaffioned parts, and efpecially where fhort and broken fentences copied the rcal language of emotion, this mode certainly gave him an advantage in exciting the fympathy of a common audience. But where the writer was, and meant to be, poetical, I cannot but think that a recitation with the ore rotundo of Booth and Barry, in which a mufical flow was given to fentences by means of retuming fwells and cadences, with a light fufpenfion of the voice to mark the clofe of each lime, lad a finer effect, and better coincided with the purpofe of the poet. It is obvious to remark, that if verfe is not to be pronounced as fuch, it is unneceffiry to write it ; for any pleafure the eye can receive by parcelling out lines into divifions of ten fyllables, muit be merely childif, unlefs it origimally refers to the ear.

In every country but our own, verfe is read with what we call a tone or chant-a fort of modulation between finging and common fpeaking; às it undoubtedly was likewife by the Greeks and Romans. In this mode of reciting, emphafis is, to our ears, almoft entirely loft, as any one will perceive on hearing French verfe read by a native. Yet no readers appear more imprefed with their fubject, or more to intereft their hearers, than the French. We always endeavour to preferve the emphafis, though often to the total lofs of the modulation. Which of thefe methods is beft, cannot eafly be
determined by general principles, but muft be referred to tates and habits already formed. On the whole, however, that nation which derives the greateft pleafure from its performances, has Le 民 attained its end. With this remark, and the corol-lary-that no one nation can be a competent judge of the verfification of another-I conclude my prefent letter, to refume the fubject in my next.

## LEなTER IX。

```
THE FORMER SUBJECT CONTINUED.
```

THE train of thought which I have followed, next leads me to confider the poetical language of tragedy ; another circumftance in which art takes the lead of nature. I know, indecd, thet citics have afferted figurative diction to be natural to perfons labouring under ftrong emotions; but for proof of this affertion, I find quotations from Shakefpear, intead of appeals to fact. One of thefe critics, and of no mean rank, has given as an example of the natural playfulnefs of a lover's imn-gination, Juliet's fancy of cutting out Romeo ail into little flars when he is dead. I do not deny that a certain degree of mental excitement (to ufe modern phrafeology) may, ike a cheerful glafs, vivify the imngination, and impart a glow and fluency of expreffion; but I never knew a real inftance in which violent pafion, like intoxication, did not overwhelm the intellectual faculties, and abolifh all connexion of thought and choice of language. But tragedy cannot confift of ahs and olis, of exclamations and broken fentences. Its purpofe

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}
$$

is to delight, to infruct, to elevate, and abore all, to gratify the defire of novelty: the paffion of tragedy is therefore neceffarily made fluent, inventive, eloquent, metaphorical, and fententious. See how Milton characterifes the tragic writers of the Grecian fchool.

Thence what the lofiy grave tragedians taught, In chorus and lambic, teachers beft Of moral prudence, with delight receiv'd In brief fententious precepts, while they treat Of fate, and chance, and change in human life, Itigh aitions, and high pafions beft defribing.

$$
\text { PAR. REg.iv. } 16 \mathrm{r} \text {. }
$$

It was eridently after this model, that he framed his Samfon Agonifus and Comus, pieces, however ill adapted for the modern Englifn ftage, which will contrute to charm and inftuct the cultivated reader, as long as the language in which they are written exits. Nor would Shakefpear himfeif, though peculiarly ftyled the baid of nature, have afforded a whele fchool of poctry and morals, had his dialogue been a real pattern of that natural fimplicity which is ufually fuppofed to characterife it. To ewery impartial obferver it will be manifeft, that his " brief fententious precepts" are generally brought in with effort ; and that his fublime and uften far-fetched images rather belong to the playwriter, than to the fpeaker. The fweet Racine and the lofty Corneille communicated their own diftinc-
tions to all their characters, and were properly "s defcribers of high actions and high paffions" in their feveral ftyles. In fhort, if tragedy be not confidered as a fublime poem, rather than a mere fable to move the paffions for a moral purpofe, it will be impoffible not to prefer the Gameiter and George Barnwell to any periormance of Shalkefpear, Corneille, or Sophocles.

It would not be a difficult taik to apply this principle of novelty to rarious other fpecies of poetical compofition, and particularly by it to account for the fuppofed neceflity of machinery in the Epic, which can fcarcely have any other reafonalde purpofe than to eacite wonder ; but I fhall at prefent content myfelf with fome remarks on its funciamental importance in Paforal.

The nature and defign of patoral poetry have been very differently reprefented by critics, and their opinions have been refpectively fupported by appeals to the practice of different writers. I have no doubt, however, that the true fecret of the pleafure derived from paftoral, and confequently, of the genuine plan on which it fhould be written, is an univerfal longing after a certain imagined ftate of fociety, which never did exift, but which may readily be conceived, and by its innocence, tranequility, and fimple delights, fweetly confraft with the turbulence and evils of the real world. It is no new opinion that this poetry has a reference to the golden age ; but by this age, I would not un-
derfand any pericd recorded by tradition, but rather a kind of Uiopia, in which the wounded and wearied firit of man has ever delighted to take refuge. In this fancied picture, however, there is a natumal part; fur fuch are the real charms of nature, that even imagination can do no more in decorating a terrefrial paradife, than to collect in one frot, and in their higheit perfection, all the delightful productions of different climes and feafons. More has fometimes been attempted; but the novetity of trees bearing fowers of gems and fruits of gold, has not atoned for its incongruity ; and after all, an orangुe tree is a more beautifuI object. Dut manneres, alas! muft be invented for the fcene. The tender pamon in a degree of purity it never poffeffed, content, difintereftednefs, benevolence, fimplicity, and delicacy, which, if ever they infiried one bofom, certainly never did one hamlet, muft concur, along with fome alloy by way of contraft, sto form inhabitants for the blifsful fnot. Amid fuch a faery peopie, I confefs I do not regret nature; nor at my age am I a.hamed of lofing myfeif in the Arcadian walks of a Paftor Fido and Aminta. .To contaminate a beautiful creation of the fancy with rude manners and coarfe expreffions, mezely becaufe they belong to the miferablefrepherds of this actual world, appears to me a wretched attempt at accuracy. Better difeard this. fpecies of poetry altogether, than render it the vehicle of difguft. Of what value are the ftrifes and
mean paffions of ruftics, that they fhould be decorated with the graces of verfification? and make a part of our moR elegant amufement? Is it to teach us mankind, and prevent our being impofed upon by falfe reprefentations? Alas! we know too well that no farcadia exits upon modern ground, and that vice and wretchednefs prevail in the hamlet as well as in the city. But why might we not for a time be indulged with forgetting it?

Paftoral, in the light I confider it, is rural romance. As in the compofitions which were once fo celibrated under the name of romance, a fet of human beings, trained up in fanciful principles, and elevated to the highett fcale of imaginary perfection, are engaged in a feries of equally extraordinary adventures; fo in paftoral, the model of charafer and the incidents are derived from a fictitious fate of fociety. The natural circumftances, however, of the ratoral life, accord beft with a certain fimplicity of language and manners; whence the conceits and quaintneffes in the dialogue of fome of the Italian paftoral dramas, by violating congruity, offend againit true tafte. Yet, in fact, to refine the language of fhepherds from all admixture of grofnefs, and to decorate it with the fimpler graces of fweetnefs and purity, is almoft an equal departure from reality. But without fome fuch accommodation io our longings after a new and better fate of marlind, the great end of pleafing cannet be accomplificd.

Were I inclined to purfue my fubject at length, I might take occafion, from the illuftration I have employed, to treat on romantic fictions in general, and to inflitute a comparifon between the old romance and the modern novel. But not defiring to detain you fo long on this topic, I fhall only touch upon a circumftance apparently contradictory to that love of novelty on which I have laid fo much ftrefs ; and this is, the preference now fo univerfally given to novels, over the romances which furnifhed matter fo much newer and more marvellous. The reafon of this fact feems to be, that we cre much more creatures of fecing than of imarination; and that nature being predominait in our paffions, all attempts to excite the fympathetic emotions mult fucceed in proportion as they approach her ftandard. I before admitted, that the novelty prefented by nature, is of a nobler kind than that produced by art. Uncommon characters and extraordinary events, therefore, which have a natural foundation, will always intereft more than thofe which are wholly artificial. Now, the writings flyled novels, are intended to imprefs us like the narrations of real occurrences. They even pretend (however falfely, for the moit part) to inftruct us in the knowledge of human life. Their effect depends on a kind of illufion, which makes their perfonages appear to us like familiar acquaintance, whofe fentiments and actions are what we frould expef from the circumfances urder which they
are placed. Romance, on the other hand, tranfports us into a new creation-a world of wonders, peopled with inhabitants exprefsly formed for the feene. They have fundamentally, indeed, the paffions of men ; but fo modified by habits of thinking and acting pecaliar to themfelves, that they do not produce the uftal refults of thofe paffions in real life. An Amadis will fall in love as well as a Grandifon, but will not love like him, or like any other mortal. Yet even Grandifon is not a common character, nor is his hiftory a common one-and hence the novelty of the fable. It is true, the ordinary run of novels exhibit pictures which are little more than old faces new dreffed and grouped; and yet they are provied with avidity by a certain clafs of readers. But the tafie for fuch reading is a kind of falfe appesite refembling that for finuff and tobaceo, which rather feeks the fupply of a want, than the enjoyment of a pleafure.

It is now time to fum up my critical dodxine, which I fhall do in few worc's. This is-that even the pleafure derived from natural objects is confiderably dependent on their rovelty-that art more peculiarly applies to this fource of gratifeationthat even thofe termed imitative, have a puppofe ditinct from copying mature, which is, the allying it with fomething new, as the clothing and vehicle -and that with refpect to the degree i. which thefe additions may be made with a happy effect, it
depends in great meafure upon local habits and affociations.

I may, perhaps, hereafter apply thefe ideas te another topic. At prefent,

Farewel!

## ( 71 )

## LETTER X.

O: PREJUDICE, BIGOTRY, CANDOUR, AND
LIBERALITY.

DEAR SON,
AS the profeffional concern you will have in the opinions of mankind, may fometime or other involve you in controveriy, I fhall offer to your confideration fome reflections ou the true import cf certain words, than which none more frequently occur in controverfial writings, though their application is for the moft part extremely loofe and undeterminate. The accurate ufe of terms is in all cafes important ; but that of the terms in queftion is peculiarly fo, in thofe times of violent and bitter party contention.

The firlt that I fhail mention is Prejunice. Wh: word, according to its derivaticn, implies a judgment prior to excmination ;-it feems, therefore, on its very face, to bear the mark of rafh and unreafonable decifion. But in common language, its meaning is frequently foftened down into an impreffion which a man coes not frruple to avow,
and for that reafon probably does not recognize to be wrong. We readily own a prejudice againf a man or a caufe, if we have grounds from experience for thinking ill of them. And as it is frequently neceflary, in the occurrences of life, to come to a practical determination in a cafe where we have nothing but fuch a prefumption to guide us, we cannot be blamed for following the beft lights we are able to procure. Prejudice in this inftance is only a reafonable analogy, by which we draw inferences of what will be from what has been. I know that a perfon has acquired an office or truft by fraudulent means.-I am inclined to credit an accufation of his having exercifed it fraudulently. A magiftrate has betrayed an outrageous fpirit of party virulence.-I fufpect that he has been influenced by it in his decifion of caufes in which party was concerned. The fuppoiters of a certain fyftem have always avoided difcuffon, and as much as poffible decried the ufe of reafon. I infer that their fyftem will not fland the teft of reafoning. In ail thefe inftances, the juagment I form may in ftricinefs le termed a prejudice, becaufe it refults from preconceptions, not from direct examination of the point in quaftion. But it is cenfurable only when it prevents me from recuring to fuch an examination when in my power; and makes me acquicfce in probability when I might have attained certainty.

Prejudice is Llamable and unreafonable, when
the inferences it daws either do not at all follow from the premifes, or not in the degree it fuppres. Thus (with due fubmifion to the fcience of pbyfiognomy), if I concl.ade a man to be a knave or a fool from the length of his nofe, or the projection ce his chin, I fuffer myfulf to te mifled by an abfurd method of prejudging what cannot be determined by fuch a ruls. Scarcely lefs falfe prejudice would there be in the judgment I fhould form of his character, from his knowa opinions on fpeculative points of philofophy or theology. In ticfe infances the conclufions are totally faulty- the two nemjers of the propofition having no more agreement, than in that line of Pope,
-each ill author is a bad a friend.
In other inftances, the error is only in digree. As perfon maintains a fytem maniferly, to my $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{F}}$ prehenfion, diffructive of all moral obligation, whence I conclude him to be a man of lax morality. But though this be a natural confequence, it is not a certain oxe; fur daily experience proves, that nien may lead the moft exemplany lives with princ.ples apparently calculated to produce an oppofice efect ; fuch principles either not operating at all, o: being counteraited by more powerful ones. Trational and profefional characters lead to erronoous conclufions in a fimilar dugree. When daiva fom entenfive and accurate obfervation, tiey maj jully imasnce the firit opinions we fuim
of individuals ; but when they are adopted as univerfal and irrefragable rules of judgment, and render us inacceffible to all proofs of a contrary tenor, they degenerate into the worit of prejudices. Controverfies political and religious are peculiarly fullied with prejudices of this kind. Every fect and party has its diftinct obnoxious character, impreffed on the minds of its violent antagonifts, who affociate it to every individual of the clafs, however contrary to the manifeft courfe oí his conduct. But I am now got to the confines of another word, which is,

Bigotry. This may be confidered as prejudice combined with a certain malignity. It is not only prepoffeffed in its judgment, but entertains its prepoffefions with paffion, and feels impreffions of ill-will açaint thofe who oppofe them. It refins all aittempts at confutation with pertinacity and anger. An antagonif, in its eftimation, is a foe, to be filenced by other means than argument. A bigot never reafons but when he cannot help it, and thinks himillf outraged by being compelled to defcend into the field of eqqual contef. At the hazard of difcrediting his own ferength and flill, lee is ready to call out for the civil arm to handcuff or knock down his opponent. After the Earl of Nottingham had written a defence of the orthociox faith againft the attacks of Whiiton, and had received for it the folemn thanks of the Univerfity of Oxford in full convocation, he attempted to
put an end to all further controverfy, by introducing into the Houfe of Peers a bill denouncing moft fevere penalties againft any one who fhould henceforth oppugn the eftablifhed doctrines. So mean a thing was bigotry even in a noble champion! The bigot requires to be humanized before he is enlightened, and the correction of his heart mult precede that of his underkanding. Simple pejudice is at once removed by removing the veil which concealed the truth; but bifotry fofters its prejudices as it would protect a chikl or a miftrefs. To fpeak of a fincore bigot is a tautulozy, fince bigotry incluces the idea of fincerity. The bigot is ready to give fubstantial proof of the reaility of his zeal, often amounting to the farvilice of his deareft interetts. Oa the other hare, the epithets mild, mociorate, liveral, rational, can rever in any degree belong to a kigot. It is not bigotry to be firmiy attached to a caufe, and to conceive of it as a thing of the higheft momens ; but it is bigctry to fhut the ears agaiafe ail arguments on the oppofite fide, and to refure cîhers that lỉerty of judgment which we ourfilves affume.

Candour is in fome meafure the oppofite of bigotry ; for its effence confifts in a difpofition to form a fair and impartial judgment on opinions and actions. In the common ufe of the word we feem to include a leaning towards a more favcurable judgment than is frrially true. But this appaars to me to be deviating from the proper fenfe
of candour, into that of charity, which, as the Apofle defcribes it, " thinketh no evil." Now, a perfon cannot have been long and intimately acquainted with mankind, without leeing reafon too often to think a great deal of evil of men's motives and principles of action ; and if he imputcs to them no more than the rules of juft inference warrart, I imagine he is not chargeable with the viclation of candozr.

There is an affectation of candour which I cannot but think very dectimental to the interefts of tuth and virtue. It is, when in fpeaking or writing, a complaifant credit is given to men's own expofitions of their motives, in actions which to the common fenfe of mankind expluin themfelves uron totally different principles. If the hypocritical cant of morals difplayed in manifefos, $a_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{c}-$ locyies, declarations, and other appeals to the public in fufpicious caufes, is, from a notion of candour, to be treatel with deference, what muit be inferred, but that candour is a very weak, of a very worldly principle? Clofly connected with univerfal profigacy, is univerfal indulgence; and if excufes are readily admitted to palliate or explain away manifet volations of honour ard honefty, the great barriers between right and wrong will be in danger of being oveithrown. Certain things which are cuftomari'y cone, are yet fo clearly wrong, that we cannot be made to feel them ctherwife without debauching our principles or undat.
ftanding. If we fee men, whofe general characters we love and efteem, falling, through ftrong temptation, into thefe errors, it is a much bette: exercife of candour to dwell upon every virtue they poffefs, and fet it to their credit in counterbalance to one failure, than to vindicate them from the failure itfelf, by falfe reafonings or improbable fuppofitions.

The word candour may, however, be underftood, as referring chiefly to the qualities of the heart, and implying that wbitenefs or purity of foul, which infpires the defire of maintaining friendly difpofitions towards all mankind; and which in itfelf, at leaft, finds no caufe to judge haiffly of others. And the continuance of this propenfity through all periods of life is highly defirable, fince it will prove the beft prefervative againft virulence and acrimony in controverfial debates, and will tend to heal thofe wounds on focial comfort, which bigotry is perpetually inflicting. This fpirit is fo beautifully defcribed in fome lines of Grotius's poem on the death of Arminius, that I cannot refrain from tranfcribing them.

Cui caritate temperata libertas
Certat manere d.fidentibus concors:
Pixque purus æquitatis affectus,
Damatus aliis, ipfe neminem damnat;
Modet:xaque limitem premens, donat
Nunc verba vero, nunc filentium paci.

Lisfrality is a word perhars of more mueterminate ufe than any of the former. Its proper meaning, when applied to fentiment, feems to be, that generous expanfion of mind which enailes it to look beyond all petty difinctions of party and fyftem, and, in the eftimate of men and thinge, to rife fuperior to narrow prejudices. From its metaphorical relation to bounty, it indicates free allowance, unfinted by rigid rules. The lberal man, like the fenate of ancient Rome, is fond of largely catending the relation of fellow-citizenhip, and loves to admit all mankind to a fraternal fhare of the regrarl of their common Parent. The chief dificulty in adjuning the claims to liberality in controverfial points, arifes from the pretenfions that mere indifferense often makes to it. Wut though it be admited, that without fomewhet of an impreffon of the uncertainty or comparative unimportance of the fubjects about which ciifputants are fo much divided, it is farcely pomble to regard them with a liberal finit, yet this fate of mind is not of itfelf iberality. It may, and uften does, produce an arrogait and contemptuous mode of treating opponents not arrived at fo hanny a degree of laxity, which is as really contrary to the \{pirit of liberality, as the orpofite frionefs can be.

It mut, however, be confffed, that there is in the very nature of fome tenets, fomething fo effentially adverfe to iberality, that they never can be imarined to fubfit torether. A man who is fo
rafortunate as to blieve that ail but thofe cris own way of thinking are doomed to eternal rebrobation, can fearcely, whatever be the native temper of his mind, view with any thing like lberal allowance the opinions oppofed to his own, or the attempts to propagate them. How can he give the hand of fraternity to one whom he fuppofes the inveterate foe of God and man? How can he raife himfelf above differences, which in his own eftimation rife infinitely beyond every thing elfe?

Among the caufes we have for thankfulncis, it is not the leaft confiderable, that we have been taught to regard the whole human race as one family, all capable cf rendering themfelves approved by their common Father, who, in allotting them different portions of light and knowledge, hos certainly not expected from them an uniformity of belicf and pracitce.

I conclude with a brief exemplification of the we of the terms in quefion.

When Jefus preached, Prejudice criod, "Can any good thing come out of Nazareth ?" "Crucify him, crucify him," exchaimed Dirotry. "Wihy, what evil bath he done?" remonftrated Candou". And Liberality drev fiom his words this inference, "In every nation, he that feareth Gol and worketh righteoufnefs, is accepted with lim."
Your iruly aminionate, scc.

## LETTER XI.

ON RELIGIOUS SOCIETIES.

DEAR SON,

## W

E have read together an Effay on Sels and Eftablif/3ments, with an admiration in which we might fufpect an allowable partiality, had not the unbiaffed voice of the public given an equal atteftation to its merit. The truly philofophical view it has taken of the fubject, and the novel and acute obfervations with which it abounds, expreffed with a characteriftic force and brilliancy of language, have fairly entitled it to the rank of a mafter-piece in its kind. The home truths it contains have not, I believe, been univerfally relifhed, but they have commanded the afent of impartial obfervers. Poffibly, however, fome of the ideas given in it concerning Seats, are rather hiftorically than effentially true; and new ftates of opinion and manners may arife, in which different principles muft be called in for the purpofe of determining on their character and fate. Inafmuch
as fects a:e the counterparts of eftablifhments, the foinit of the one mult depend upon that of the other ; and it may happen, that without any manifert change in an eftablifment, its infuence on men's ninds may be fo much altered, as materially to alter the nature of difent fiom it. I will nct fay that this has actually taken place among us; yet in proportion as the full right in every indivicual to choofe his mode of religion is conmonly admitted, as penalties ard difabilitics are foftened or abolifhed, a:d as men are accuftomed to riew with unconcern different fyftems of faith and worflip, it is evident, that the circumfance of beloaging to a fect or an eftablifhnient, will produce lefs effect upon manners and character. In this fate of things, indeed, according to the docrrine of the Effay, the caufe of Seets will infulibly decline; but I know not whether the fpirit ct forming religious focieciss will not, on thee contrary, gain ground. It appears to mee that this is already the fpirit of many feparatits, who, while they hare loit all attachment to fecie, as confiting of winted bodies knowa by particular defignatione, have by no means become indifferent in their choice of relig:ous inftitutions.

By a relisious fociety, in contradikin气tion to a fon, I underfand fimply this-that a number of perfons of a fimilar way of thinking, for no other purpofe than merely to enjoy to the greatelt advaritage their o:va taftes and opiaions in religion,
afociate to form a congregaticn. It is perfectly immaterial to them (further than as they may wifh the prevalence of what they moft approve) whether or no there exift any other fuch fociety in the world. Religion is to them merely a perfonal affair, unconnected with other interefts; and their only motive for affociating in it at all, is that they find a duty or advantage in focial worflip, which compels them to adopt means for its performance. They have nothing to do either with attack or defence, unlefs the grand and univerfal principle of the right of private judgment in matters of religion be called in queftion. In fupport of that, they make a common caufe with all other feparatits, and fo far they act as feliaries; but otherwife, they have nothing more to difcufs with the efablifhment, than with any detached fociety like themfetres. As their purpofe is fimple, they find no reafon to ftand apart from the reft of the world in any thing elfe. Having, indeed, avowed a ferious attachment to religion, by exerting an aclive choice in the mode, they are fenfible that immoralities would appear peculiarly inconfifent in them, and that in things of a dubiows nature, it is more becoming their characters to incline to frictnefs than laxity.

It will probably be objected to this idea of the formation of religious focieties, that they would foon want zeal fufficient to keep them together. But, in the firt place, what in this cafe is the de.
fideratum? -not to increafe the numbers of blind followers of a name or a doctrine, but to provide for the wants of thofe to whom focial religion is really an cbject of felection. To fuch perfons, diffcrences not abfolutely effential, wiil yct appear of fome importance; and as even in things indifferent, we conceive it an eftimable privilege to exert a free choice, it would feem not likely that this liberty fhould be undervalued, in a matter at lealt connected with a thing of fupreme confequence. Then, in fact, many of thofe doctrines upon which feparate congregations are formed, are in a high degree important, relating to nothing lefs than the object of divine worfip, and the conditions of acceptance in a future flate. And while eftablifhed churches, and even ancient feecs, remain fuationary, fome of thefe doctrines are making an accelerated progrefs. While, therefore, religion continues to exert an infuence over the mind, and the fpirit of liberty retains its activity, it can fcarcely be fuppofed, that a fucceffion of voluntary focieties will ceafe to be formed, adapted to the varying or progrefive fate of religious opinion, aithough they are unfupported by the peculiar manners or interefts of a fect. Peculiarity of manners, though it undoubtediy tends to draw clofer the bands of union in a focicty, yet ofiers an adaitional obitacle to thofe who may be inclined to enter it, and difpoles many the more readily to quit it. It has lifewife the bad.
efect of diverting the attention from points of real importance, to trifics; and of narrowing the heart, by carrying into life diftinctions only meant for the temple. It is always better to refer our actions to one great and decifive principle, than to many fubordinate ones. The exercife of private judgment in matters of religion, may well fand upoa its own fingle ground, without calling in the aid of petty concomitants.

The caufe of feparation has gained one confudirabe advantane in the prefent afge, which is, that we fcarcely hear any roore of the fin of folifne, with the apprehenfion of which timid confciences were formenly difurbed. Lone ago, indeed, Jchan ITales faid, in his Tro 7 on Sibijm (never publinhed, lowewer, in his works, till $1 / 21$ ), "wherefoever filie or fufpected opinions are rade a picce of the charch liturgy, he that feparates is not the foljo matic." The impoffibility of fublantiatia- this charge agaiuf a pariy fo as that it might not eafly te rotorted, and the futility of every fheme poopofed for comprebentin, as it was culled, feem to have made the minds of men erfy in this fart:cular. Still further, the fupered fin ififif hes, in the opinion of many, been expunged from the crthlogue; for experience has niewn, that the crure of religion, far from being wakened $t_{y}$ thefe civifions and fubdivifions of its peffefurs, has accuired adational frencth. The more it is made a man's perfomal choice, the greater intereft hee
takes i.1 it; and as focieties differ foun encla other Tuther about modes and articles, than about gronds and fanctions, the man authority of relicion is not fraken by fuct difurences. The anciat come parion of religions intruction to graia frukifying in the earth, will alfo apply in this refpee,
 beco nes mare produtive ly, divifisio.

Yos may think it an ominion that I have ful nothing of the polise? in?leme of feets, and of the lofs that would funtaia by beraking tiem into uncometed fucieticis. I hand not foreot this topic, but I wall kneir that the lefj thit is faid conceming it, the better.

With refpect to the perfon appointed by fuch a fociety to fuperintend the bahinefs and public worfhip, and perhaps of private inferefion, I do not perceive that he has any other general line of conduet to purfue than, by all proper means, to render himielf as acceptable as ponible to his congregation. Their ftyle of manners, if of itfelf unobjectio 1 able, muft be his. They will naturally expuct to find in him the afuetonate and ufeful friend, the agreeable and inftrufive companion ; but he will be under no neceffity, in order to gain their furomr, to employ arts or compliances derogatory from a maniy chanacter. His office and fation have nothing in them which can infpire difrefpect. If he is dependent, fo are all who live by the public; but I fcarcely evar knew an inftance in which the
advantages of education and office did not enable a perfon in that fituation to affume a liberal independence of behaviour, within the limits of prudence and good temper. He need not renounce the world, though, like every man of wifdom and virtue, he renounces its follies and diffipations. He muft, in order to be refpectable, fuftain his character with confifency and decorum, and it is a character which demands fome peculiar facrifices; but for thofe he is amply iademnified, by the opportunity of rifing above the common level, and taling his fation with the graver and weightier part of fociety. He is not precluded from aiming at perfonal influence and refpect from the community at large, by a dignified fuavity of manners, and ufeful and crnamental accomplifments. Were not thefe objects within his reach, I fhould, as a father, be very unwiliing that a fon whom I eteem, fhould engage in the profeflion.

## ( 87 )

## LETTER XII.

## ON REPLY IN CONTRGVERSY。

Hoof an antagonit' in controverfy, is a queftion yo:r ak, with reference to the actual conduct of a diftinguihed perfon whom we both highly efteem. I fhall begin my reply with a fory out of the life of Mclanethon by Camerarias. That great and amiable man was the fubject of much virulent abufe, as might naturally be expected to fall upon one, who in the interefting buinefs of refom, purued a middle courfe, almof equally remote from the extremes on either part. Withen frongly urged by his mof intimate friends to publifi a vindication of his conduct, "I will anfwer you, (faid he) as my litule daughter did me. She had one day been fent on an errand, and faid muca longer than fhe ought to have done. I met her in the freet, and faid to her, Now, child! whai will you fay to your mother when fhe chides you for ftaying fo long ? I will fay aotbing, reopled the poor child."

What is the inference from this ftory ? Is it that Melancthon had really nothing to reply to the charges brought againt him? The probability is, that he was confcious of being able to fay nothing which would produce any effect on minds predifpofed againf him; for the matter of accufation was that prudent conciliatory behaviour which he did in reality approve and practife, and which he neither could nor would difavow; and therefore a reply would have been of no awail. And this confideration, in my opinion, leads to the true rule of conduct in thefe cafes.

A writer publifes his fentiments on a controverted point in politics or theology, and fupports them by the beft arguments in his power. A hotheaded champion rifes on the oppofite fide, who in print fylis his notions impicus or feditious, his arguments trivial and abfurc, infults his perfon, vilifies his fenfe of learning, and imputes to him the worf motives. What matter is there in all this for an aniwer? The writer does not mean to difavow his or nions becaufe an opponent thinks ill of them. IHis arguments are not refuted by the abufe of one who, perhaps, from incapacity or ignorance, is utteriy unable to con preherd them. Of his fenfe and learning l.e has conftituted the public his judges by the ait of publication, and to ther judgment at large he appeals. His motivas can only be known to his own heart ; and afferting them to be good, wiil no more convince his ene-
mies, than the contrary affertion has convinced his friends. If, therefore, he has obtained from nature or exercife a due command of temper, he will preferve a dignified filence, till an attack of fome other kind fummons lim to the field. Now this other lind mult be characterized by one of there two circumfances-the production of new and forcible arguments againt him, or a mifreprefentation in matter of fact of a nature materially to injure his charafer.

With refpeef to the firit infance, a difputant who honefly argues for the fake of truth alone, will either freely retract what he cannot mintain, or will ftudy for new arguments to fupport what he fill believes, notwithftanding the plafibility of the objections raifed againft his mode of proving it. But in each of thefe cafes a reply is his duty ; for filence can proceed cnly from difingenuoufnefs, or from indolence The public whom he addreffed have a right to all the faticfaction he can give them ; and the caufe at inue mant not be left to float in indecifon, if it be in his power to contribute further to its determination.

Falfehood or mifeprefentation is a perfonal reafon for a reply, and often a reay corgent one. Though the laws affume in fome points the guardianfinp of a perfon's reputation, yet the modes ia which it may be affaled are fo mumerous and indefinite, that he muft in great meafure rely on his own protection ;-and furely fow things better de-
ferve protecting. The fages in the healing are have laid it down as a maxim, "Nullum capitis vulnus contemnendum." The fage in human life might with equal truth eftablifh the pofition, That no attack on moral character is to be flighted. Though proceeding from the moft infanous and defpicable of mankind, they are never without fome power of hurting; and filence under them will pafs, in the efimation of a great part of the worid, for an acknowledgment of guilt. If, therefore, an unprincipled antagonif attempts to render a man odious, either by reprefonting him as faying what he never has faid, or ly inventing perfonal Alander or calumiy againit him, it will generally be as prudent as it is equitable, to cite lim to the bar of the public, expofe his difhoneft arts and maIignant intentions, and with frong hand drag him forth like Cacus from the midft of his fire and fmoke, to light and punifiment.

Nor does this advice concern the writer alonc. Any man upon whofe character an unjuft attack is made, will do night to vindicate himelf, provided the charge relate to a matter of fact which can be brought to a decifive ifue. That abufe, indeed, which is levelled at individuals merely as belonging to a particular profeflion or party, and is crily an inference from fuch a fact, merits ititle notice, however it may bear upon moral character. Its effect depends upon a general opinion, which an iandidual cannot aiter. Large bodics of mon thus
cenfured, may thin's it worth their while by public declarations of their principles to give the lie to fuech charges; but for a fingle member to do fo, is always either unneceffary or ufelefs. He muft in thoife points ftand or fall with his party. But accufations which mark out the individual as fuch, are of a different nature. They tend as much to injure a perfon with his frierds, as to encourage the malice of his enemies ; and he mutt not expeet to be fupported againft them upon public grounds. We live in an age, in which the virulence of party-contention, and the facility with which flanders are propagated, render it equally neceffary to be circumpect in our actions, and fpirited in felf-defence. The public is indeed juft and generous when convinced; but calumnies are readily adopted, and the refutation of them always cofs fome exertion. A man fails in the duty he owes to fociety, as well as to himfelf, who, through indolence or apathy, fuffers malignity and falfehood to triumph in the accomplifhment of their purpofe. They fhould be oppofed boldly, fpeedily, and openiv. Every ftep in the conteft Should be clear and decifive ; and principles frould always be aimed at, however hedged in by forms and confequence. Every man capable of doing a fecret injuftice is a coward. He will fhuffle, equivosat:, and forink; but if hell by the firm grafp oftruth and courage, he canot efcape an ignominious experure.

## ( 92 )

## LETTER XIII.

## ON CLASSIFICATION IN NATURAL HISTORY.

IAM very glad to find, my dear fon, that yous receive fo much pleafure from the purfuit of Natural Hiftory. No pleafures are more pure, more unmixed, more eafily procurable ; and the ftudy of nature is in many refpects peculiarly fuited to your profeffion and fituation. You do right firft to follow it in a practical way, making yourfelf acquainted with the appearances of objects, and afcertaining their names and places in a fyitem. But it will be ufeful occafionally to interpofe refiections on the fudy in general, and to take extended views of that economy of nature which is one of the nobleft fubjects of contemplation. In order to lead you into fuch a train of thought, I fhall communicate to you fome remarks on the claffification and arrangement of naturai fubfances, which I wrote down at a time when thefe topics cocupied a good deal of my attention.

When a perfon begins to examine the productions of nature around him, he will firt be fruck with a proception of their infinite rumber and
boundlefs variety. The whole will feem to him a vaft affalulage of objucts, grouped into all pofible kinds of difcordant forms, and prefenting on every fide an inextricalle vildernefs of divernty. But on a more leifurely and attentive furvey, he will prefently deficr: in this mafo of thin s, numerous refemblances and conformities betwecn paticular cljeets, which will cifrofe lim, by a procefs to which he is fcarcely confcious, to feparate them into ciafies, and make a kind of arrangement of them in his mind. This firf rude cinffication will be formed upon fome of the mof remarkalle extericr nualities of the fubjects; and will coly mate cut fome of the greater civifions, fill leaving uadifriminated the minuter diferences which diftinguif one kiadred form of being from ancthe:. Thus, the inrse linglioms (as they are torme1) of nature, wimi for be feparated; the miraral being characterized from its inert and unchanging curality; the esegetulle forn its growth and fuccefive changes; the arimal ficm the fuperadded faculty of voluntary motion. In cach of thefe will prefently be difermed fubordinate divifions; as in the animal creation, the feveral claffes of cuadiurecis, bireds, fifies, and infeets; in cuadupeds, the diftinctions of crrat, fmail, mild, ferocious, graminivorous, and carnivorous. But this mode of proceeding will for a lorg time furnifn only fach general iluas as fall rery frort of the purpofes of methodical amangemert; and it will
not happen till after accurate refearches have been made into the more intimate ftructure of bodies, that marks are difcovered fufficiently numerous and difinct to identify genus and fpecies.

But there is another procefs of arrangement, equaliy natural, that comes at one feep near to the individual. In the common courfe of life, every perfon becomes familiarly acquainted with certain forms of nature, fo as to have the idea of them ftrongly impreffed upon the fenfes. A thing of this kind, therefore, ferves him as a fencard, to which he can refer a variety of other oljects in the way of comparifon, as being like it in fome poirt, and unlike it in others. Thus, when a man habitually accuainted with dogs firt fees a fox, he will conceive of it as a fmall dog, with a fharper nofe and more bufly tail than ordinary ; and by thefe marks he will defcribe it to another man, who, from his previous knowledge of the cog, will frobably recognize the fox whenever lie meets with it. In like manner, the tiger and leopard are faid to be animals of the cat-kind, and thence a tolorable idea of their form and manners is chetained before feeing them. And combinations may be made of parts refembling thofe of cbjects already known, by which a new production may be characterized. Thus we fay that a plant has the leaf of an oak, the flower of a rofe, the fruit of a plum, the fcent of a jeffamine, \&ic. The defeGs of this method are, that, in the fint place, it does not extend far
enough, the fpecies with which perfons are com. monly"acquainted, being too few to ferve as archetypes of any confiderable portion of the works of nature ; and fecondly, that it is inaccurate, fince degrees of refemblance admit of every pofible gradation, and ftrike different obfervers differently. It is, however, qn an union of the two principles of arrangement above-mentioned, that all fyftems of claffification have been forinded.

But before we proceed further, it will be proper to take into confideration the ufes and purpofes of arrangement. Thefe are principally two ; one, to aid the memory by laying up the ftores of knowledge in a regular manner, and applying precife determinate names to every fingle object, fo methodized, that they may be found when wanted; the cther, to afford a fummary connefted view of the natural refemblances and differences between wjects in their moft important crualities. exhibiting the relations between caufes and effects, and thofe gradations of being which conflitute the great chain or fcale of exittence. It is the latter only on which the phitofophy of natural hiftory depends. The former is a mere matiter of nomenclature, neceffary, indeed, but as a means, not an end.

The perfection of arrangement is when thefe two purpofes are united; that is, when the moft important circumftances in the ftrueture or sconomy of natural productions, are fulecied as
the characters on which their divifions and fubdivifions are founded; and this conflitutes what is called a natural metion. When this is rendered complete, we can, not only, on examining the real fubject, readily determine its place in the fyftem, and confequently its name; but e converfo, on being told the name and fyltematic place of the fubject, we can infer the moft effential circumftances of its nature and hifory. To give an inftance of this from Mr. Pennant's Synopfis of Birds: -if I find an unknown bird, with webbed feet, a flat bill, and a broad fringed tongue, I trace it at once by thefe marks to the genus Duck in his fyftem, and by carefully examining the deforiptions of the feveral fpecies in this genus, I can difcover its name, and learn all that naturalifts have faid about it. On the other hand, if I ann told that a bird fo named is of the Duck genus, I am fure, firft, that it is a water-fowl; next, from its welle! ! feet, that it is a fwimmer; and then, from the form of its bill and tongre, that it lives either upoa foft vegetables, or upon fuch animal iced as it can feoop up, and feparate at leifure, but not upon living active prey. Here I linve a delightful perception of that adopeation of means to ends which affords fo convincing a proof of the agency of a defigning caufe in the wonderfal plan of creation; and I alfo difcern one link of that vaft chain which binds together the whole economy of nature.

But it is not in every part of creation that this perfection of arrangement can be obtaised. The fpecies in fome claffes are fo extremely numerous, their general properties are fo uniform, and their peculiar oas fo various and minute, that vee cennot find characters in them fufficient to eftablifin difcriminations at the fame time precife and important. This is particularly the cafe with tle vegetable kingdom; and the diffculty of the tank has given rife to numerous attempts in their clamfication, upon different priaciples. What is absolutely neceffary to the purpofes of utility, is the eftablifhment of divifions and fubdivifions, dif:ngifhed by marks at the fame time ftable, obvious, and numerous; otherwife the votary of this p'eafing ftudy may range over the world of regetation, like Eneas in fearch of his golden branch, without being able, unlefs heaven directed, to identify any one object of which he may have heard or rex'. This, however, can only be effected by an artifcial fyltem, that is, one, the diftinctions of which ase taken from circumftances felected for the purpore of arrangement only, and not on account of their relative importance. The thing wanted is a ni-tural alphabet, compofed of a number of letter:, unmeaning, perhaps, of themfelves, but capab'e, by a vaft variety of combinations, of diftingu hing with perfect precifion all the tribes, families, and individuals of that immenfe nation from each other.

All modern botanifts agree, that it is in the parts of fructification that difinctive marks for the purpofe of arrangement are to be found in vegetables. The great number and variety of thefe afford, by means of combination, an almof inexhauftible fund of differences, accommodated to the feveral orders of divifion and fubdivifion on which accuracy of method depends. It is upon thefe, you know, that Linnæus has founded a fyftem, which its merit has brought into general ufe; and which would want little of abfolute perfection, as an artificial one, if it were as uniform in its application, as it is regular in its principles. But it labours under a defect from which no artificial arrangement can free itfelf; which is, that it frequently thwarts that dift:ibution into families, which nature has pointed out by refemblances fo ftroig, as to render feparation a violence fcarcely tolerable; fo that either his princioles muft be facrificed, or a very obvious deformity incurred by adhering to it. In thefe emergencies, the conduct of the author has not been uniform ; fometimes he has ftood firm ; oftener he has yielded. In the latter cafe, fpecies, in order to keep to their genera, are placed under clafies and orders to which thiey do not belong ; fo that if a learner unfortunately lights upon them before he has acquired a knowledge of the genus, he may hunt through the whels fyftem before he can inveftigate them. It is as if, in a dictionary, a word beginning with the letter A

Thould be placed along with others of fimilar figinification under D. The caufe of this defeet is, manifeftly, that Nature has not attached fo much importance to the circumftances on which his primary and fecondary divifions are founded, as to male them uniform in productions formed is general after the fame model. And, indeed, through the whole of the Linnean clafinications, in all the kingdoms of nature, there runs the fame aitention to minute circumitances, in queft of diftinctive marks, which throws an air of littlenef3 over his fyftems, and gives them the praife rather of ingenious invention, than of coincidence with the fublime plans of creation. Ycu will, I hope, know how to prize them fer their utility in enabling you to aequire the knowledge of naturc, without miftaking an acruaintance with them fur that knowleage.

Farewd!
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## LETTER XIV.

ON BUPFON'S NATURAL HISTORT。
DEAR SON,

SIN my fomer letter on the fubject of Natural Fintory, I flighty characterized the great Mofer ef Aivangemeat. At prefent I mean to communichte to you a few refections on a writer who lolds an equally high rank in a direcly oppofte mode of treating thufe fubjects, the illuftrious Count cle Bufing.

The works of this naturalit and philofopher, univalled in defcriptive eloquence, and filled with curious and eract details of matter of fact, exhiLit alfo continual marks of that cifpofition to theorize which is almoft infeparable from genus. Not fatisfed with beieg the fecreary, he affumes the ofice of legiflator of nature; and frequently cuits the humbler tafk of painting things as they are, for the loftier purpofe of fpeculating how they have been and may be. One leading principle ruas through all his difoufions of this lind;-a difpe-
fition to reduce as much as poffible the number of fpecies, by fuppofing perpetual varieties generated by climate, domeftication, and other incidental caufes. He is ever in fearch of the orizinal fock from whence a number of kindred fpecies hare proceeded; and largely indulges himfelf in fuppofitions refpecting the means by which all the fhades and ramifications of difference have been produced, often highly ingenious, but cften, too, in my opinion, perfecly gratuitous and delufory.

This deduction of numerous prefent forms of nature from a few original archetypes, does nct appear to me, even a priori, a very probable hypothefis. All the parts of nature have a mutual relation to, and dependence on, each other. If it be admitted that a large traet of country has long exifed in the form of fold land, it mult have been clothed with veretaviles accommodated to each foil and fituation. Thefe muft have afforded food and finelter to the infuit race, with which vegetables are every where found to fwarm. Their multiplication to a noxious excefs, muft have been checked by the numerous tirds which derive their chief fubfittence from them. Diadrupeds, though lefs clofly connected with the other claffes of creation, yet malt be fuppofed to have an appropriate place, and may reafonably be imarined to lave cxifted wherever their exiftence was confonant to the general arrangement of things, We view, withcut fuprife, in regions very cikant from our own,
all this general crder of nature exifting, yet made up of fipecies fo different from ours in the differcrit clafes, thet we muft neceffarily refer them to a dilinct origin. Is it a greater wonder that other fpecies fhould be formed upon a model nearly refembling ours? After having gazed with admiration at the Pamdife-birds in an Afratic foreft, or the Toucans in an American one, and recognifed the creative power that originally placed them there, fhall we perplex ourfelves with endeavouring to account how the thrufhes, pigeons, and finches, could get there, and by what means, with a general fimilitude to thofe tribes as they exif with us, the variations which difcriminate them fhould have been produced.

A decided purpofe of what we call Nciure, is to give birth to variety ; and, according to a remark of Buffon himfelf, whatever can exif feems actually to exif. She fports a thoufand ways in colour, flape and proportion, keeping only within the bounds neceftry to fec re the crest purpofes of continuing and propagating exiffence. Why then flould migration be called in to fame an imagined genealogy of kirdred twibes, which in ore country as well as in another, ferve to fill up the graat plan of being? In the vecetable kinglom, where, as migration cannot have taken place, except in cultivated plants, all variations in others mut have been original, fcarcely an infance can be found of perfectly fimilar ipecies exiting in the two greas
continents, even where the generical refemblances are mott ftriking. Eut fo prepoffeficd is Buffon agning the notion of the original formation of nearly refembling fpecies of animals in diftant parts of the world, that where he cannot deny their prefent exitence, and is unable to conceive a natural m.gration, he frequently invents the moft unlikely fuppofition of their conveyance by mein; and, on the other hand, he as frequently rejects, without renfon or authority, the ocular teftimony of travellers to their being found in parts of the world where he does not choofe to admit them.

Of the menns by which changes in original fpecies may be fuppofed to be effected, the principal are climate and dompficction. That both of thefe are capable of producing confiderable effects, we can fearcely doubt ; and carefully to enquire into thefe, and from a ferics of eitablifmed facts to deduce a fcientific theory of this important part of the animal economy, would be a moft valuable addition to phyfiology. But to employ them in the explanation of perplexing facts, at random and wichoat any proper clue of known caules and effects, is rather to propagate error than true feience. Yet this M. de Buffon perpetualiy does, and more efpecially with regard to domefication. Whether by this vague term he underfands flich a perfect fabjection and fubferviency to man as we fee in a horfe, and the dog; or fuch a lax connexion with him as fublifts in the cat and the
pigeon, there is fcarcely a change in form and difpofition which he does not afcribe to it, as hypothefis may require. It can ennoble or debafe, enlarge or diminifh, ftrengthen or enfeeble, juft as fuits the prefent occafion. It has given the camel his bunches and callofities, and has made the horfe fleek and fine-limbed. It has created all the varieties of fhape, fize, and inftinct, in the family of dogs, from the lap-dog to the maftiff, from the greyhound to the fpaniel. It operates even upon the free winged tribes; and contaminates by a touch thofe who only approach it at a diftance. To deny the great effect of fuiting and contrafting breeds, of feeding, houfing, and exercifing the animais which man felects for his particular ufe, would be to betray grofs ignorance or prejudice. But, on the other hand, to extend the operation of known caufes beyond all bounds of proof or analozy, and to apply acords for the purpofe of argument, where the things are totally diffimilar, is to level ail dilinction between imagination and reafon.

If domeftication be wfed as a general term to exprefserey aficciation between man and animals, it is cbrious that to reafon with any accuracy on its cffets, it muft be dividu into different fages. The firf is that in which they are morely fed unconfined; maar repaying himfelf fur this care ty the opportunity of making prize of them more effily when he waats them. Wild rabits and
pheafants are in this degree of dependence on mar. It is but a little fep beyond this to provide them with a detached lodging, as pizeons in a dovecote, or even to confine them within bouncs, provided an ample range be allowed them, as cuer in a park. In al! thefe cafes no other changes in them can be reafonably fappofed, than fome diminution of their natu:al fazacity and active powers, owing to the greater eafe they find in fabfifting, and perhaps, an inprovement in fize and bulk in the individuals from their being better fed. A farther fuge is that of animals kept in the fold and the yard, whofe whole fubfifence and protection depend on man, and who live with him and with their fellow-fubjects in a flate of fociety, but withcat confraint. This is the condition of domeftic fowl, and frine. Amorg thefe, varieties of fize and colour begin to fhew them 2 elves; which, however, are p:obably owing not fimply to their comentication, but to the contrivance of me:1, in fuleating peculiar individuals, or importing foreign varisties, for the purpofe of propagating the breed; for without this care, an unformity foon comes to prevail, with a fet of qualities, derived rather from climate, than from other circumfances.

The moit complete fage of domeftication is that of dogs and of beafts of burcen. Thefe are trained $u p$ to be the fervants or companions of man; and their natural qualities are all directed to this purpofe. They live a life of perpetual conftraint.

To inftinct is fubstituted habit ; to native wants and defires, the will of a matter. Their food, their lodzing, their exercife, the propagation of their fpecies, are all fubject to artificial rules. By thef, variations in fize, fhape, colour, and facultics of all kinds, are carried to their utmoft extent. But in order to keep up to any given flanciard, a continued attention and fuperintendence is neceffary ; for all thefe aequired variations are merely individual, or at leat tehporary, and the fpecies has a perpetual teadency to relapfe to its natual model. From this principle, which I believe is univerfal, it appears an error to affign a remote domeftication of progenitors, as the caufe of fubfifing rarieties in will animals; as it is lkewife probably an cror to impute any confiderable alterations to the very imperfect domefticity in the fages firf deferibed.

No writer in Natural Hiftory dwells fo much as Buffon on the manners, and what may be called the moral character of animals. Thefe fpeculations are extremely curious and entertaining ; though you will readily conceive that in a writer of a warm imagination and lively fetlings they will be very apt to become fanciful and delufory. Those of Buffon will probably often appear to you to deferve this character; though on the other hand it muft be acknowledged in their favour, that his perfonal obfervations have in many inftances becn conducted with the moft patient and
minute attentions; and certainly very few writers have poffeffed equal advantages with himfelf. He warns his readers againft falling into the miftake of attributing to animals the paffions and fentiments of men ; yet I cannot fay, that he always avoids it himfelf. On the whole, Bufion is an author whom all may read with $p^{\prime}$ teafure, but whom none but the informed and judicious can read with unmixed improvement.

Fa:ewel!
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## LETTER XV.

## ON ORNAMENTAL GARDENING.

```
DEAR SON,
```

IN one of my former letters I hinted a future application of the confiderations on nature and art and the love of novelty, to another of the fine arts; and I mean now to perform my promife in fome remarks on Ornamental Gardening.

There is nothing in which the Englifh tafte more triumphs, than in the change it has effected in the whole fyRtem of this art; a change which for more than half a century has been gradually taking place, and may now be faid in this country to be complete. This confifts in entirely banifing almoft every thing which conftitated the artifice and contrivance of ancient gardening, and in their ftead fubftituting a plan of embellifhed nature, imitative of the fcenery of real landfcape, and of which the fundamental law is to exclude every appearance of regularity. You have feen, I dcubt not with pleafure and admiration, fome of the
fineft creations of this kind. To you they had all the graces of novelty; and viewing them as a tranfient fpectator, without the comparifon of a different model in your mind, you have perhaps implicitly admitted the principles on which the new fyltem has obtained fo univerfal a preference to the old. Yet, on reflection, you will readily perceive the great flare faflion muft have had in fuch a general alteration of tafte; and you may be inclined to examine the matter a little more clofely, not for the purpofe of knowing whether you ought to have been pleafed with what you faw-for we ouglt always to be pleafed when we innocently can -but whether fomething very different might not pleafe as much, or more. Let us then enter upon a difquifition of this kind.

The effential idea of a garden, as it has exifted in all ages and countries, is that of a place, where, by the aid of culture, vegetable productions may be reared, more excellent in kind, and more pleafing in diftribution, than the ordinary growth of nature. Even in the mof genial climates, it was found that flowers and fruits would be much improved by care and felection ; that a number of the fineft plants, greatly beyond the natural variety of any diftrict, might be accumulated in one foot, and cleared of all mixture with the noxious and unfiyhtly; while by fome artifice of arrangement, they mirgt be prefented with more advantage to the eye, and formed ints pleafing fpectacles of
novelty. In hot countries, the delicious luxury of cooling fhades and perpetual verdure might be enjoyed to far greater perfection in regular walks, benaath trees felected for beauty and fragrance, and bordered by rills which the hand of art had directed, than in the wild foreft, entangled with brakes, and rendered impaffable by moraffes. In cold and changeable climates, the fhelter of vallis and hedges was abfolutely requifite for the prefervation of delicate vegetables, and during a confiderable part of the year was agreeable to the perfon who wifhed to furvey their beauties.

No pleafure denived from art has been fo univerfal as that taken in grardens. This, in the firft place, was owing to the union of fimple gratifications they afforded; not fewer than four of the fenfes, thie tafte, fmell, fight, and feeling, being mont agreeably affected by horticulture. And if the refinements of ornamental gardening have excluded the objects of the firt of thefe, it has been only to enjoy the reft in a more exquifite degree. For a garden, therefore, to be fragrant, รay, and refrefning, is as effential, as for a loufe to afford facter againt the inclemency of the feafons. Biat the combination of diferent pleafing forms into croups and compofitions of novelty ard beauty, is what has given the art of gaidening a place among the finer inventions of genius. And in judging of the different ftyles of ormamental gardening, we are to enceavour to difcover the
principles beft adapted to produce happy effers of this kind.

Formerly, the pleafure-garden was always confidered as an appendage to the houfe; its plan and decorations were therefore a fubordinate branch of arobiteciure. That it fhould have been fo regardec, was very natural. To enjoy the pleafures of a garden to advantage, it was neceflary that they flould be near. Its fragrance was received into the apartments of the houfe; its walks invited even the indolent to faunter in the fun or repofe under the faade; and its gay forms and colours feafted the eye with vasiety of beauty within the fphere of dininif vifion. Its flights of iteps, walis, porticos, and terraces, gave the aichitect an opportunity of gradually letting down the mafly height of his main edifice, and faading off fon: into verdure. That fomething of this kind is wanted by the eye, will, I think, be acknowledgal by evary unprejudiced obferver at the firt vienv of a modern manfion, rifing uafuntaine from the miaht of a naked lawn. Thus regularily was a fundamental idea in planning a garcen; and inftead of any endeavour to make it refemble a ratural feene, every contrivance was ufed to produce artificial effects with the materials of nature. I can fcarcely admit, however, that the leading principle of the art was,

To form with verdure what the duider formid with fione;
for although trees cut into fhapes, and hedges fafhioned tike walk, have occafionally been introduced as objcets of vulgar admiration, yet better tafte has rather aimed at producing novelties more confonant to the effential character of garden fcenery. Of fome of thefe, nature herfelf may be faid to have afiorded the rude iketch. Thus, a woodbine running from tree to tree, and encircling the tops of bufhes, formed a fort of flowering canopy, which ayreeably fheltered the wandercr from fun and flower. Art caught the idea, and fafhioned an arlour or ireillage, the regular framework of which directed the rambling fprays to weave an impenetrable covering, at the fame time commodious and free. Thus, the velvet carpeting of the turfy down, pleafing to the cye and foft to the feet, was tranferred to the "diy froothfaven green." The advantaceous elevation of the rifing bank, was copied in a terrace. The hady walk between lofty trees in a natural wood, was improved into the fraight clear avenue; and the cafual arcactes of intertwited thickets, fuggefted the chofe wall overarched by benaing hazells. Wall:s of gravel or grafs, laid down by line and rule, interfecing flower-beds and fhrubberies of regular and perhaps fanciful forms, not cnly correfponded with the gencral regularity of the outline by which the garden was bounded, but amufed by perpeciive cffects. Water fpouted up in a jet d'eau was a novelly, and certainly a very elegant
one. The bafon and long canal gave new ideas of liquid extenfion. Ornamental buildings, ftatues, urns, and vafes, intermixed with feenes of verdure and folitude, pleafed by the contraft they afforded to fimilar works of art in the ftreets and fquares of a city. A beautiful plant flooting from the midt of rich carving, over which it threw its eafy foliage, had furcly as good a right to admiration, as the imitation of it in a Corinthian capital.

Thefe, and a variety of other inventions which compofed the enchanted gardens of France and Italy, produced in a high degree the general refult of furprife. The garden was as much a creation of art, as the palace to which it belonged ; and in both, after the purpofes of utility were anfwered (by which, in the garden, I mean the fimple gratifications of the fenfes afforded by the cultivation of vegetables) the remainder was addreffed to the lure of novelty. And as it is the characteritic of nature in all her works, to Shun regularity, fo when ait attempted to produce novelty, regularity of difpofition was the firf thing thought of. The fame difference that exifts between the rocky cave or woodland fhed, and an edifice of fone or timber, was conceived to diftinguih the flowery meadow or thicket, from the cultured garden. This idea was fo obvious, that I think it wants no dcfence; but we are now to confider whether the late refinement of banifhing all regularity, ard employing art only to produce a copy of beautiful
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nature, be capable of yielding, on the whole, 2 greater degree of pleafure.

As an objection to the old ftyle it has been made a kind of univerfal maxim, "That the appeaiance of art always difgufts;" but I do not difcover upon what principle this is founded. The footteps of art. indicate invention, induftry, order-they are the footfteps of man. In moft works of the artift they cannot be concealed; and the very endeavcur to conceal them is fuch an exertion of art as muft difcover itfelf. If then, it is intended by the contrivances of modern gardeniag to delude the fpectator with an idea that the fcenes he beholds are really natural, it is certain that the attempt will not fucceed. Nor, indeed, can the owner of the coftly and laboured plan ever wifh it to fucceed. The pride of art and of opulence will not fuffer this wifa. Yet many of the rules of tafte feem to have no other foundation than to fofer fuch an illufion. When the Poot of the Engli/b Garden thinks it neceffary to give a long receipt in verfe how to make green paint, for the purpofe of rendering invifible the rails which are to feparate the pafture from the lawn, we may be permitted to regret that either the peet or the painter fhould employ their art on an object fo trivial. I am fenfible, indeed, that in this cafe pride finds a gratification from an artifice which is to deceive the fpectator into a belief, that the extent of its poffoflions are only terminated by the
diftant horizon. This is with many the true interpretation of the precept, to "call in the coun-try"-to make it pafs for their own.
But we will quit the deceptions of modern gai: dening, and fairly compare it with the ancient, with refpect to the beauties they are both capable of producing. The free graces of nature, it is faid, and with juftice, yield a perpetual fund of rariety; while the regularity of art cannot avoid a conftant tendency to a tirefome uniformity. Whatever, therefore, there be of novelty in the fingular fcenery of an artificial garden, it is foon exluafted; whereas the infinite diverfity of a natural landicape prefents an inexhaufible ftore of new forms. It is added, that the forms of nature are intrinfically more beautiful than thofe of art; that the flowing ftrokes of the former, compared with the ftraight lines and fiarp angles of the lister, conflitute the effential diftinction between grace and ftifnefs. Even moral ideas are brought in to decide the preference; and a tafe for nature is faid to be equivalent to a love of liberity ard truth; while the votaries of art are pronounced fiaves to formality and conftraint. As I think there are few more impafioned admirers of nature in all her forms than mayfelf, I will ventere to refer to my cwn feclings on the occafion. Thefe infurm me, that the pleafures to be derived from tie variuns feenery of a fine countiy, are, indeed, faperior to any whinh ant cma befow. Archi-
tecture, painting, gardening, all fink to toys before them. But the comparifon is not between a landfcape and a garden, but between one ftyle of gardening and another; and conceiving myfelf to refide in the midit of natural beauties, which I may not at all times be able or difpofed to enjoy, I confider what fupplemental pleafures can beft fill up the vacancy. In this view, a garden, connected with the houfe, lying directly beneath the eye, prefenting forms novel from their regularity, and rich in artificial ornament, offering choice of fun and fhade, of warmth and coolnefs, as the feafon may require, and gradually fubfiding into the uncultured wildnefs of nature-does in reality feem preferable to an imitation of thofe very fcenes with which I fuppofe myfelf already fatiated. This imitation, if it be in a large ftyle, is indeed the thing itfelf. To roll a river through a new channel, to fpread out a lake, raife mountains, fcoop out vales, and plant forefts, is to create a country-a noble effort, certainly, in thofe who have compafs and fortune fufficient for the purpofe, and who inhabit a diftrict fcantily provided with natural charms. But this, in my idea, is a fight beyond gardening ; and if attempted in the limits of a few acres, produces only laboured littlenefs. The tumbling rills of the Leafowes were fuch miniature cafcades, that they appeared more like fage fcencry than objects of romantic nature. And the icvel lawn formed cut of three or four
pafture fields, and dotted with clumps of half a dozen dwarfin trees, while it is perfectly efficacious in communicating to a houfe the cold comfortlefs fenfation of unfheltered nakednefs, can excite no image of the grandeur of a wide cxpanded plain.

I fhould perhaps venture to fuggef an union of fome kind between the two taftes, were I not deterred by the decifive fentence of the Poet, who pronounces them abfolutely irreconcileable; and in confequence, though with manifeft reluctance, cooms to deftruction the venerable avenue of oaks which may have heard the ftrains

Of Sidney's, nay, perchance of Surry's reed, Heav'ns! muft they fall? They muft, theirdoom is paft.

And why :- Becaufe nature abhors a ftraight line even more than fhe formerly did a vacuum. And this, too, is the dictate of the bard who has tranfplanted the unnatural Greek chorus into the Englifn drama!

With fome indignation, but more pleafure, I turn to another Poet, and eminently a poct of nature too, who has confecrated this noble produetion of united art and nature in verfes which, I dare predif, will cutlive the fentence of its deAtruction.

How airy and how light the graceful arch, Yet awfill as the confecrated roof

Re-echoing pious anthems ! while beneath The chequer'd earth feems reftlefs as a fiood Brum'd by the wind. So fportive is the light Shot thro' the boughs, it dances as they dance, Shadow and funfinine intermingling quick, And dark'ining and enlight'ning, as the leaves Play wanton, every moinent, every fpot. Cowper's TAsK.

I cannot conclude my long letter more happily; fo

Adien!

## LETTER XVI.

```
ON POPE'S ESSAY ON CRITICISM.
```

```
DEAR SON,
```

THOUGH it is for the moft part a poor employment to endeavour to point out faults in a performance of reputation, and to diminifh the admiration with which it has ufually been regarded, yet as far as iaculcating the true principles of literature is of any confequence, it is important occafionally to difcufs the merits of thofe wo:ks on which the public tafte is chielly formed. And this is peculiarly juft and proper with refpect to fuch pieces as are themfelves critical, and written with the profefied intention of eftablifling rules for compofing and judging. Amonir works of this kind, few are more difinguifhed than Pope's Ifjay on Criticijin. If the circumitance of its being written in verfe lias, on the one hand, impaired its authority, on the other, it has ferved to make it more read, and to fix its mexims more thoroughly
in the memory. In fact, few pieces are more referred to in the way of quotation; and after the high praifes it has received from fuch names as Warburton, Johnfon, and Warton, its influence upon the opinions of writers and readers cannot be fuppofed incontiderable. Such commendations, indeed, render it a hazardous tak to call in queftion its merits. But my experience of men and books has not ferved to augment my confidence in great names; and if I can give good reafons for the objections I frall make, I fear not that you will regard my attempt as prefumptuous.
Di. Warburton, at the clofe of his Commentary on this Efay, Atrongly cails it to the reader's recc!leition, that its anterer had not attained his twentieth year. This view of it as a juvenile performauce is a very proper oze. It may jufly excite our admiation of the early di:play of pretical powers it exhibits, and thould foggeft every indulgence of candour to its defects; but it hould make us hefitate in attributing to it that comprehemfion of tiew and accurace of conception, which were by no means the moff fiting qualities of the author in the full maturity of his powers. It does rit belong to my purpofe to point out the imperfations with which it abounds as a mere patical compcftion, What I lave to do with, are the falie thoughts and vicious priscipies, which render it a rery unfafe guide ir matters of tafte, notwititaniing the large almisture of maxims
founded on good feafe, and crprefled with the utmot brilliancy of language.

With refpect to the method of the piece, as far as it reaily poffeffes a method not forcibiy held together by the commentator's chain, it may be aifirmed, that the arrangement of matter is fimple and natural, but not very clofely adhered to. Many of the rules and remarks are brought in with little connexion with what preceded, and apparently might be tranfpofed without injury. And after all Warburton has done for Pope, and his difciple for Horace, it is certain that the reader of each poet will fcarccly, without a previous clue, become fenfible of more than a fet of detached maxims, connected only by the general fubject.

Pope begins with an affertion which, if true, would render his work of very confined utility, namely, that critics, as well as poets, muft be born fuch.

Both muft alike from heav'n derive their light, Thiefe born to judge, as weil as thofe to write.

And he further limits the profeffion of criticifm, by requiring that both talents fhould be united in the fame perfon.

Let fuch teach others who themfelves excel, And cenfure freely who have written well.

But furely both thefe are very falfe notions; for nothing feems to be more a matter of accuirement
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than the habit of judging accurately on works of art ; and this habit appears from innumerable infances to be perfectly diftinct from the faculty of practifing the arts. Indeed they have much oftener exifted fiparate than combined.

> Thus in the foul while Memory prevails, The Colid power of Underfanding fails;
> Where beams of warm imagination play, The Nemory's fo?t figures inelt away.

The beauty of imagery in thefe lines, fould not make us blind to the want of jufnefs in the thought. To reprefent Atrength of memory as incompatible with folidity of underfanding, is fo corounfy contary to fact, that I prefume the author liad in his eye only the cafe of extraordinary memory fur nomes, cates, and things which ofier 1.0 ideas to the mind; which has, indeed, been fien difplayed in great peifeftion by mere idicts. For, it is difficult to conceive how the facuity of juidgment, which confifts in the comparion of cifferent ileas, can oit :ll be excerifed without the power of foring up ideas in the minc, ard calling the:a forth when required. From the fecend coupltt, apparent'y meant to be the convere of the frift, one would fuppofe that he comidered the uncerfanding and the imacgination as the fame faculty, clie the counterpart is defective. Further, fo far is it from being true, that imacrination obliterates the figures of memory, that the circumance which
caufes a thing to be remembered is principally its being affuciated with other ideas by the agency of the imacination. If the poet on'y meant, that thofe ideas about which imagination is occupied, are apt to exelude ideas of a different kind, the remark is true; but it hould have been diferently expreffed.

## One Science on'y whil one Cenius fit.

Tha maxim is as fune, as it is difcouraging, and derogatory from the powers of the human mind. It is, perhaps, generally true, that the genus is exclufively fitted for attaining excellence in one cin the great claffes of mental acquifitions, as fcience, ait, invention, \&c. but he who can make himfeli mater of one fience properly fo called, may commonly with equal application attain any cther.
Fiiz? follow Nuture.

This trite rule can be of little whe whithout being opened and exemplificd. It is perfectly cbvious, that in ail the arts which are imitative or deforirtive of nature, fle munt be the archetype; but the proper mamer of fudying nature, and transerring its images to each particular fpecies of the works of art, varioufly combined, contrafted, and perhaps heizhtened and altered, is the great defideratum on which their true theory and pactice is founded. We fhall foon fee, that Pupe cuts fhort ail difcufions of this kind, by reducing his general
precept to the fingle pratical direction, Imitate the ancients.
Whei firft yoning Maro, \&ic.

That Virgi, not only in his general plan, but in moft of the fuborcinate parts, was a clofe copyif of Homer, is undeniable, whatever be thought of the fupponition that he fet cut with a defign of crawing from the fources of nature, and was diverted from it by the difovery that " Wature and Homer were the farme." The modern idolatry of Shakefpear has elecated ${ }^{2} \mathrm{im}$ to the fame degree of authority among us; and critics have not been wanting, who lave confdently drawn from his characters the proofs and inuftrations of their theories on the human mind. But what can be more unvorthy of the true critic and phlofopher, than fuch a: implicit reliance on any man, how calted foerer his gerius, efpeciaily on thofe who lived in the infancy of their art? If an epic poem be a reprefentation of rature in a courfe of heroie aftion, it muft be fufceptible of as much raticty as nature hereif; and iuruly it is more cefirable that a poet of original genius foould give full fcope to his inventive powers, under the reftrictions of fuch laws only as ate founded on rature, than that he frould fetter himfelf with ruies derived from the practice of a predecefor. When Pope praifes the ancient rules for compofition on the ground that they weee " difecrered nct deris"d," and were
only " nature methodized," he gives a juft notion of what they ought to be. But when he fuppofes Virgil to have been properly "checked in his bold defign of drawing from Nature's fountains," and in confequence to have confined his work within rules as ftrict

As if the Stagyrite o'erlook'd each line,
how can he avoid the force of his own ridicule, where a little further in this very piece, he laughs at Dennis for

Concluding all were defperate fots and fools Who durft depart from Arifoole's rules?

Such are the inconffitencies of a writer who fometimes utters notions derived from reading and education, fometimes the fuggeftions of native good fenfe!

Sume beanties yet no precepts can declare, For there's a happinefs as well as care.

If the meaning of the writer here is only, that rules will not fand intead of genius, and that a poct's greateft beauties are rather the refult of a happy flow of fancy, then the careful purfuit of precepts, the truth of the remark is indifputable. But if, applying to the critic, he means to tell him that certain poetical beauties are irreducible to rational principles, and cniy to be referred to luck, chanse, a brave diforder, and fuch other umeming
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nctions, we may affert that he was inceed young in the philofoply of criticijm. He appears, however, to have been in the right train, when he fays, that where the lucky licence andwers its purpofe,
———that Licence is a rule;
but he confufcs all again by the often-quoted masim,

> Great Wits fometimes may glorioufly ofend, And refe to fanlis true Critics dare not mend.
for he fought rather to have concluded, that fuch fucceifful deviations from common practice are not facils; and that the true critic finould enlarge his rules to the comprehenfion of thefe real, though unufual, excellencies. So much, indeed, does he perplex himfelf berween vencration for ancient rules, and regard to the practice of eminent pcets, that the whole paffage is full of contradictions, which colt his commentator much fruitefs pains to reconcile, and oblige lim to take helter in a comparifon between the fublimities of poctry, and the myferies of religion, "fome of which are alocve reafon, ard fome contrary to it."

Pope goes on to obferve, that though the ancients may make thus free w.th their own rules, yet that modern witers flowld copy this inculgence with caution, and nct without " their precuent to plead." On the contrary, a Lierel m) s
of reafoning would allow more freedom to the noolerns, who poffefs fuch fores of new ideas, to deviate from ancient rulcs, than to the ancients who made and acknowledged them.

Thofe oft are firatagems which errors feem, Nor is it Homer nods, but we that dream.

Either Steele or Addifon, in one of his periodical papers, humouroufly defires his reader, when he finds him dull, to fuppofe he has a defign in it. This doctrine is here ferioufly inculcated with refpect to the arcients ; but its abfurdity is fo manifeft, that we may regard it only as the lively fally c? a young author who was fond of faying finart things, without being folicitous about their truth. A jucicious poet may defignedly under-zurise fome parts of a long work, or, rather, he will find it impoffible to be every where equally brilliant, but he will never with defign write what is childin and infpid, if he thinks it to be fuch.

Hail Bards triumphant, born in harple days !
This noble eulogy on the poets of antiquity is not to be admitted without many exceptions and limitations; efpecially if it is memt to extend to all that unequal and moticy affemblege of witers known by the tille of the claffes. Of thefe, many are walued and read mercly becaufe they are arcinnts; and even thie moft excullent afford fufficient foone for manly criticifin, which van neve: arrive
at folidity of principles, if it is obliged to regard the negligences and defects of great writers with filent reverence.

True Wit is Nature to advantage drefs'd, What oft was thought, but ne'er fo well exprefs'd; Something, whofe truth convinc'd at fight we find, That gives us back the image of our mind.

The poet in cenfuring the narrow and partial tafles of fome critics, begins with that for conceit, or a glitter of dazzling thoughts rifing one after another without meaning and connexion. This is, falfe wit ; as a contraft to which, he gives a definition of the true, in the preceding lines. But he has evidently, by this purpofe of contrating the two kinds, been led to a defcription which exhibits none of the peculiar features of wit, as other writers have reprefented it, or as he himfelf ufually undertands it. By this definition, any juft moral fentiment, any exact picture of a natural object, if clothed in grood expreffion, would be wit. Its teft being an agreement with images previou!ly exiting in our own minds, no other quality is requifite to it but truth. Even uncommonnefs is not taken into the character; for we muit often have thought it, and be abie to recognize it at figbt. Nor has he given any diftinct idea of that adven. tageous dirfs which makes a natural thought witty. No drefs can fuit fume thoughts fo well as the mort fimple. Ezated fensimerts of the heart, and
fublime objects in nature, generally frike moft when prefented in language the leaft ftudied. Indeed, he ufes, within a few lines, the very fame metaphor of drefs, in expofing the finica! tafte of thofe who value a work for the fyle rather than the fenfe ; and the fact certainly is, that the moft confeffedly witty writers have often been litile folicitous as to the manner of exprefing their notions.

Pope evidently entertains a different conception of wit from that of the defmition above quoted, in the lines immediately following.

As fiades more fwectly recommend the light, So modef plainnefs fets off farightly wit, For works may have nore wit than does them good, As bodies perifh thro' excefs of blood.

Now, " modeit plainnefs" is no foil or contraft to wit as characterized in the definition, becaufe it may be the moft "advantageous drefs" for a thought. Again, that wit which may fuperabound in a work, muft be a different thing from " natural imagery joined to good expreffion," for in thofe, what danger can there be of excef? ? He was certainly now recurring in his mind to thofe brilliant flafhes, which, though often introduced with falle judgment, are not, however, falfe wit.

The two characters of lad critic and bad poet are grofsly confounded in the paffage relating to poetical numbers; for though it be true, that vulgar readers of poetry are chiefly attentive to the me-
lody of the verfe, yet it is not thay who acmime, but the faliry verfificr, who cmploys monotonous fyllables, feeble expletives, and a dull routine of unvaried rhymes. Again, an ordinary ear is capable of perceiving the beauty arifing from the found being made an echo to the fenfe-indeed it is one of the moft obvious beauties in poetry-but it is no eafy tafk for the poet to fucceed in his attompts to render it fo, as Pope has fufficiently proved by the miferable failure of fome of his examples in illuftration of the precept.

> The pos'r of mufic a'l our hearts allow, Ard what Timotheus was, is Lryden now.

Mufic, properly fo called, and the melody refulting from verfification, are thincs radically diffrent in their nature and principles, though perpetually confounded in the figurative language of poets and writers on polite literature. Nor, indeed, do we poffefs terms by which thefe two linds of pleafing founi can wall be feparately defcribed. The names and characters, however, of peet and mufician, are fufficiently difcriminated; and Pope has committed a grofs error in confounding them in the prefent infance. There is no refemblance between the manner in which Alexander was affected by the mufic of Timotheus, and that in which we are affected by the footry of Dryden deferiptive of that event. The frift was, as flory relates, an inflance of the powers of pure fourd, kilfully
moculated and changed. The latter is a moft animated picture of fucceflive difplays of pafion ; and much more refembles the effect of a hitory-painting, than of a piece of mufic. The mere verfification is a very inferior point in Dryden's Ode, though it is a principal one in Pope's rival Ode on St. Cecilia's day. Alexander's Feaft fet to Handel's mufic may, indeed, be paralleled to the performance of the Grecian; but then Handel, and not Dryden, is the modern Timotheus. It is ludicrous enough, that Pope's comparifon of ryden to a harper, fhould come fo near to the idea formed of Pope himfelf by a crowned head, who is reported, on hearing the poet greatly extolled in his prefence, with a view of attrating his notice, to have afked, if Mr. Pope were a fiddler.

Fools admire, but men of fenfe approve.
This prudif fentence has probably made as many formal coscombs in literature, as Lord Chefterfield's opinion on the vulgarity of laughter, laz among men of hish breeding. As a geneal maxim, it has no foundation whatever in truth. Pronenefs to admination is a quality rather of temper than of underfianding ; and if it often attends light minds, it is alfo infeparable from that warmth of imacination which is reçuifite for the ftrong perception of what is excellent in art and nature. Innumerable inftances might be produced of the rapturous acmiration with which men of genius
have been fruck at the view of great performances. It is enough here to mention the poet's favourite critic, Longinus, who is far from being contented with cool approbation, but gives free fcope to the moft enraptured praife. Few things indicate a mind more unfavourably conflituted for the fine arts, than a flownefs in being moved to the admiration of excellence; and it is certainly better that this paffion fnould at firft be excited by objects rather inadequate, than that it fhould not be excited at all.

After properiy exhorting his critic to candour and good-nature, the poet is, however, indulgent enough to point out fome topics on which he may be as four and fevere as he pleafes. The firt fault given up to his rage is Obfenity; and coubtleis, if the critic think it worth his while to direct his formidable antillery again? fuch an obvious viclation of propriety, no friend of virtue and decorum will reftrain him. It was not, however, peifcelly decent in Pope to exprefs fuch a rigid zeal on this fubje:t, when feveral of his own juvenile pieces, ftill preferved in all editions of his work, are by no means free from the blemifin he fligmatizis.

The next devoted crime is Impicity. Now, a perfon may be very converfant with the rules of poetical criticifm, without being able eaacly to determine on the validity of a charge of impiety; and there is reafon to fufpect that our young lawgiver was himfelf in this cafe. He fays,

The following licence of a foreign reign Did al. the dregs of bod Socinus drain ; Then unbelieving Priefts reform'd the nation, And taught more pleafant methods of falvation.

Socinian is a very potent term of abufe, and has, at various periods, been applied with fingular advantage by thofe who wifhed to render their antagonits odious ; yet the religion Socinus profeffed will bear comparifon, in point of fervency and purity, with that of the moft faintly names upon record. As to the " more pleafant methods of falvation," we are told by the right reverend annotator (a much better authority on this fubject than the poet) that they were the dities of Chriftian morality, which fucceeded the doctrines of grace and fatisfaction held in the preceding age. Now, that thefe new divines offered falvation upon eafier terms than their predeceffors, by fubftitutirg practice to belief, and a man's own efforts to vicarious fatisfaction, is not a very obvious fact; nor is it a neceffary confequence of fuch tenets, that "vice fhould find a flatterer in the pulpit." "Such Monfters," whatever the poet might think, are not to be fubdued by the thunders of belles-lettres critics, but by the adamantine weapons of found argument.

Here I clofe my remarks on this performance. It would be no difficult tafk to adduce from it many more inftances of fhallow judgment on books and things, either incidentally mentioned, or defigned
as exemplifications of his rules; but my purpofe was to fhew you how little it deferves the high eftimation in which it has been held as a didactic work. This, I truft, has fufficiently appeared, from the vague and inconfequent manner of thinking on fundamental points, difplayed in the cited paffages. The character of a confummate critic at twenty is what Pope may well refign, and ftill retain enough of juft reputation to place him in the moft confpicuous rank of Englifh literature.

Farcwel!

## LETTER XVII.

BN TEE ANALOGY BETWEEN RENTAL AND BODILY DISEASE.

DEAR SON,

ITT has been afferted, that evcry man's way of thinking takes a tinge from his profeffion or manner of life. Of the truch of this remark I am perfonally fenfible, from the habit I have formed of applying medical ideas to moral fubjecis. It is, indeed, nothing new to regard all mental vices and defeets as fo many difecfes of that part of our frame; and moraifts of all ages have been fond of ruasing comparions between maladies of the boly and the mixd. Yet I cannot but think, that fonetaing filll remains to be done in the practical application of the doctrine; and that it is of importance, both with refpect to the fuccefsful treatment of mental difeafes, and to the prefervation of our tranquility under a view of the evils of life, that this refemblauce frould be ftrongly impreffed on our thoughts.

One confequence would undoubtedly be the refult ; that we fhould not expect to cure thefe diforders by trifing and cafual remedies, but fhould fix our confidence folely on fome vigorous plan, confinting in the refolute application of oppofites, upon the medical maxim, contraria, contrariorum sfe remedia. It is the want of power or refolution to put in practice this grand principle of the healing art, that renders moral diftempers in general fo inveteate. What can be relied upon to oppofe ftrong natural inclination, confant example, and confirmed habit, but fome agent equally powerful, which fnall, not in the way of perfuafion, but by coercive force, be employed to draw over the mind to a contrary ftate of feeling? Where this can be put in practice, there is no cafe of moral depavity fo defperate 23 to be without the hope, nay, perhaps, without the certainty, of a cure; where it cannot, the flighteft vitiation is hardy to be remored. It is not without experience that I fpeak in th:s matter. More than once has $i t$ happened to me to be confulted as a friend on occafion of the difcovery of very ruinous tendencies in young perfons. In thefe inftances, diffuading all petty expedients, I recommended fuch a total change of external circumftances, as would of neceffity induce as complete a change of views and habits;-and the event juftified my advice. That this was a right method, was, indeed, fufficiently obvious; but it might not be fo obvious that it was the only
right one ; at leaft, parental indulgence is frequently glad to fhelter itfelf under the plaufibility of fome lefs decifive mode of proceeding. But to one who has a jult notion of the operation of motives upon the mind, it will be very apparent, that as long as thofe which are induced for the purpofe of remedy continue inferior in force to thofe which nourifh the difeafe, no benefit whatever can be expected from their application. Actions which we would avert will either be done, or not be done. They will infallibly be done, if the motives for them preponderate; they will not be done, if the contrary takes place. There is no medium; and fuch is the power of habit, that every inftance either of yielding or of refinting, favours a fimilar termination when the trial next occurs. Whence may be demonftratively fhewn the weaknefs of expecting any advantage from the mere repecition of eforts that have already proved unavailing.

You are better acquainted than myfelf with the fcholafic controverfies concerning liberty and neceffity. I frequently hear them called mere logomachies, and fuch I am inclined to fuppofe they are, when carried to their utmoft degree of abflraction. But that they are not entirely without practical effects upon common minds, I am from obfervation convinced; and in particular, I have no doubt that the tendency of the popuiar notions concerning man's f:ee agency, is to infpire too much contidence in the eficzey of the feebler aids
to morality, fuch as precept and argumentation. By thofe who entertain exalted ideas of the felf. determining power of the foul, it is readily conceived, that placing before it an irrefragable fyllogifm i.a favour of virtue can fcarcely fail to enable it to refift all the allurements of vice. But the post could long ago pronounce, "Video meliora, proboque, deteriora fequor;" the true interpretation of which is, that conviction of the underflanding is not the ftrongelt motive that can be prefented to the human mind.

A perfon cannot have furveyed mankind with an attentive eye, without perceiving in many cafes fuch an imeffitible feries of caufes operating in the formation of character, as muf convince him of the actual exiftence of a motral neceifity; that is, of fuch an overbearing prepcllency of motives tending to one point, that in mo one infant of a man's life could he be fuppofed capable of a courfe of action different from that he has really achopted. Purfue an individual belonging to any one of the ftrongly-marked claffes of fociety from the cradle to the grave, and fee if the procefs of fixing his character has not been as regular and unalterable as that of his bocily conftitution. Take one of there, too frequent in this great metropolis, who may be faid to be fuckled with vice and infamy, the breed of a protitute and houfebreaker, bors and cducated in the precincts of St. Giles's. With the frit ufe of language he learns blafphemy
and obfcenity; his little hands are praftifed in picking pockets, and his infant underftanding in framing tricks and falfehoods. His early pleafures are dram drinking and debauchery of every fpecies; and when not roufed by appetite or compulfion, he paffes away the time in the ftupidity of floth. He fees nothing before him but acts of rapine, cruelty, and brutality. Chaftifements teach him craft, and inflame his paffion for mifchief. Not only the duties of religion and the obligations of virtue are things utterly beyond his comprehenfion, but he is a perfect ftranger to all the comforts of decent life. Thus by the all-powerful force of education and habit, he is formed into the character of a ferocious beaft ; certain to end his life by violence, if it be not fooner cut off by the confequences of intemperance.

This, it will be faid, is an extreme cafe; but cven in the oppofite rank of fociety, among thofe who, as we commonly fay, may live as they like, inftances may be found of equal fubjugation to the lavr of neceffity. Take the heir to a large entailed eftate, brought up while a child in a houfe cifiningu:hed for riotous luxury and irreguiarity. Let him be nurfed in ideas of felf-confequence, fattered by obfequious fervants, and indulged in every caFrice of appetite and pafion by weak or negligent parents. Transfer him to a public fchool, with a large ailowance of pocket-money; and thence, whea rinty to manhood, to fome gentol collese in
an univerfity. Then fend him on his travels, acm companied by an ignorant mercenary tutor. Let him make a due ftay in every corrupt metropolis in Europe, the refort of his idle countrymen ; and finifh by fudying the town in his own. Lafly, return him with a complete apparatus of guns, horfes and hounds to his native woods, there to refide the uncontroled lord of a herd of tenants and dependants, with no other object in life than to take his pleafure and maintain his hereditary fway. Is it in the nature of things poffible that this man fhould turn out any thing elfe than a lowminded, brutal, tyrannical debauchee ?

The phyfician knows that certain modes of living will infallibly bring on certain difeafes, which will defcend from parents to children, and can never be extirpated as long as the original caufes prevail. The moralift may equally foretel certain vices as the confequence of certain conditions and manners in fociety, which will prove unconquerable while circumflances remain the fame. The morbid tendency in both cafes is too ftrong to be counteracted by common remedies. Nothing but a total change of habit, effected by means equally powerful and long-continned with thofe which bred the malady, c a work a cure. To eftaulifn fuch an alicrative plan has been the aim of all the great refomers of mankind. It was thet, you know, of our mof levered frienci, Mr. Howarl, who was falify fenfible vilat a coribination of conedive
powers was neceffary to produce any confiderable and lafting effects upon perfons long hardened by criminal courfes. But fuch coercive methods can only, in the common fate of things, be applied to thofe who have made themfelves the objects of legal punifhment. For the reformation of a whole people, and efpecially of the higher claffes, nothing can be relied upon but one of thofe graud remecial prosefles, which are probably within the moral plan of Providence. Nations whom a long courfe of profperity has renderel vain, arrogant, and luxurious, in whom increafing opulence has generated increafed wants and defires, for the gratification of which all barriers of honour and juftice are broken down, who are arrived at that ftate in which, according to the energetic expreffion of the Roman hiftorian, they caa neither bear their vices nor the remedies of them;-are only to be brought back to a right fenfe of things by fome fignal cataftrophe, which fhall change the whole form of their affairs, and oblige them to fet out afrefh, as it were, in the world. A conviction that fuch events are nece?fary, and that they are kindly intended as remedies of greater evils than they immediately occefion, is the only confideration that can tranquilife the heart of a benevolent man who lives in a period when thefe awful operations are in a peculiar manner carrying on.* It may reconcile him

* So. tneri. Hoc parum eft : debuit fieri. Docernuntur ifta, non accidunt.

Senec. Epift.
to the various delays and fluquations in the progrefs towards a final event which he cannot but ardently defire. It may convince him that nothing is lof ; that no evils are without their correfpondent benefits; and that when he wiffes for a fpeedy fettlement of things by the quiet operation of reafon, without any of the harf methods by which fubborn vices are to be forcibly cradicated, he wifhes for an impracticability as great, as the furgeon who would hope to cure an inveterate cancer without the knife or the cautic.

Thefe are times, my Son, in which reflections of this kind are particularly feafomable. You are capable of giving them their due force; and even fhould you find yourfelf totally miftaken in your expectations as to the refult of fuppofed remedial procefes, ynu are provided with principles which will emable you to acquiefes in the humble confdence that, however difant, the time will come, when all evils both netural and moral fall receive their fimal cuze.

## LETTER XVIII.

## ON SPLEEN AND LOW SPIRITS.

O not be alarmed, my dear Son, at the fubject of my prefent letter. It is not becaufe I have obferved in you any indications of a tendency to lozv Sprrits that I make them my topic, but becaufe I know them to be the malady that moft eafily beiets perfons of a literary turn and fedentary profeffion. And however youth and variety of purfuit may at prefent fecure you againft their attacks, the time will probably come, when it will require fome cffort on your part to refift an enemy, whofe affaults become continually more and more pertinacious, with lefs and lefs power to repel them.

So general, indeed, is the evil of low fpirits in certain conditions, that I confider it as the grand leveller of human life-the malignant fpell that renders all the diftinctions of rank, knowledige, and underftanding, almot totally inefficacious in creating thofe differences of degree in happirefs that fhould feem almeit neceffarily to refult from
them. It is that which makes the fplendid palace and luxurious banquet of the nobleman lefs pleafant to him than his poor hut and coarfe meal to the labourer;-which defeats the well-imarined fchemes of enjoyment from liberal curiofity and literary leifure;-which infufes liftleffnefs and difguft amid the moft fudied refinements of public amuiement ;-which, in fhort, fooner or later, gives convincing proof of the vanity of expecting to live happily by living only to be entertained.

This malady, under the name of Spleen, has been the fubject of a variety of publications, ferious and humourous, moral and medical. Among the reft, it has given title to one of the mofl original poems in our language, replete with wit, imagery, and obfervation of mankind in an uncommon degree. I need fcarcely tell you that I mean Grecr's poem of the Spleen. The author feems, like Horace, to have roved through the regions of philofophical fpeculation without any decifive choice, till at length he fettled in a refined and rational epicurifm. His favourite maxim is, to let the world glice by, viewing its hifting fcenes as objects of amufement, without being enough interefted in any to feel acutely from difappointment. His is the philofophy of good-humoured fpeculative indolerce; and if a man wants excufes for fitting ftill and avoicing every caufe of trouble and vexation, he can no where furniih himfelf with happier cquotationg, Who has not heard of

Reforming fchemes are none of mine,
To me:1d the world' a va't defign,
Like theirs, who ftrive in little boak
To tug to them the fhip afloat, \&c.

## The principle of this, that

Zeal when bafled turns to Spleen,
muft be ad nitted to have fome foundation in faet ; and may juftly be pleaded againt the indalgence of eager wihes and extravagant expectation is publl: projeets; yet I cannot but think, on the coliar hed, hat to inculcate indifference to all thore objects wanch are moft capable of roufing the foul, and giving employment to its noblet faculties, is not the beft advice for keeping off that liftlefs laguor which is the pareint of fpleen. In fhort, though the perufal of Mr. Green's poem may prove an effęual remedy for an occahional fit of low fpirits, yet I an of opinion, that the courfe of amulive fpeculation it fo pleafingly fuggets, with the vacation fiom all cares aad ducies, pablic and private, will not anfwer as the general regimen argai.ft this difeafe of the mind.

Were I to treat medieally on this fubject, I foould lay a very particular firefs upon temierarce as the grand prophylaitic : and I frould make tie word import mach more than its ufual hignication. A plentiful dianer every ciay on a vaisty of difmes, with a bettie of wine to wafh it down, feems i.1 the comnon opinion perfectly compatible with a
plan of friet temperance ; and if it be preceded by a regular morning's ride to get a hearty appetite for this dinner, every thing is thought to have been done that men could do for the prefervation of health and fpirits. Let gout and hypochondria come when they will, the mode of living is not to be blamed-the one is hereditary, the other conflitutional. This doftrine may pafs for orthodox in the medico-moral cafuitry of a viftation or cor-poration-feaf ; but it is neveithelefs indubitably true, that fuch a grood liver has no more right to expect equal and unclouded fpirits, than a minifter of fate has, an unfotted reputation and clear confcience. But I fhall dwell no longer on this topic, and proceed to that part of the regimen which relates more immediately to the mind.

This refls upon a fimple foundation; for were I aifed, upon what circumfance the prevention of low firits chiefly depended, I fhould borrow the ancient orator's mode of enforcing the leading princ:ple of his art, and reply, employment, enaployment, employment! This is the grand panacea for the tectium voitn, and all the train of fancied evils, which prove fo much more infepportable than real ones. It is a medicine that may be prefented in a thoufand forms, all equally efficacious. It may be compounded of all the different proportions of mental and bodily exertion; nay, it ray be fclely the one or the other, provided it be cmplament, - For I will not kefitate to affert, that to have the
hind addently engaged in a puefuit that totally excludes exercife of the body, is much more favourable to the fpirits, than a languid misture of both.

Wre are apt to pity a perion occupied by humour or neceffity in a talk which we think dull and tirefome. Oar compaffion is here mipplaced. No tafk heartly entered upon can be tirefome, and a bufongs is alvays betier than an amerment. I have no duabt that Dr. Johafon was much happotr while compilarg his dictionary, than in tae luxarions indolence of Streatham. And what but a confotorf nefs of the necefity of anfloyment to his comfurt could have induced him, in the lift years of lis melancholy life, to make ferious prorofls for a trandation of Thanus? A late tranfator of Homer, whofe admirable origial productions hare led many to lament that he foould have been fo employed, las in truly pathetic languare taken an affectionate leave of his long work, as the fireet fulace of many and many an hour, which by it means was made to rुlide by uncounted. Anc, I fear, the ianate nelacioly of fenius has rendered him too good a judre of the value of fuch a relief. For anfwecing this parpofe, the fpecies of employment mut be one which does not Arain the faculties to their highef pitch; for fuch an exertion can be fupported, by common minds, at leaft, only during a fhort proportion of time. A fleady equable occupation, requiring rather care and diligence, than flights of fancy or the powers of in-
vention, is the proper fapp'e (if I may fo call it) of a well-employed life.

With refpect to the numerous body of thoie who may be idle if they pleafe, they will find confiderable difficulty, as well in the choice of proper employment, as in the exertion of refolution enough for the vigorous performarce of a fpontaneous tafk. A majority of them will, therefore, be doomed to the intrufions of Spleen, at interva's when neither active pleafure nor bufncfs preferves the mind from its attacks. But this is no cther than the neceffary confequence of fituations of life wholly artincial, and which make no part of the original plan of human nature, They who are amititious of fations in which there are no cutics to perform, no incitaments to exertion, mufe nct eupect to pofefs that confant cheerfuluefu, which is the folace of toil, and the reward of ufeful ac-tivit:- Providence certainly never intenked to make fuch a difference between creatures of its hand, as that fome foou'd live only to enjoy, whe'e others lived only to be the miniftres of the enjoyments. Though in an advanced farge of fuciuty many muit be exempted from the fentence of eating their bread in the fweat of their brow, yet it is an immutable decrec, that the oil of gladncfs Atall brighten the face of induftry alone.

For myfelf and my children, there is no danger left we fhould come to want motives for the regular employment of the facultics betowed upon us

Let us not murmur at the kind operation of fuch a neceffity. For how much virtue and happinefs are not men indebted to that conflitution of things, which impores upon them an obligation to act and to refrain!

Farewel!
P. S. Since I wrote this letter, I have been perufing a Difcourfe in which the benefits refulting from employment are confidered with reference to the great fyftem eftablifhed by the Deity, whereby perfonal and general happinefs are in fo admirable a manner made to coincide. It is there particularly fhewn, how occupation contributes to our happinefs by inducing a temporary forgetfulnefs of felf; nothing being fo much the bane of enjoyment, as the reference of our actions to the felffh principle. This excellent piece, which I cannot too warmly recommend to your attention, is Dr. Priefley's Sermon on the Duty of not living to owrfles.

## LETTER XIX.

## ON CONSOLATION.

```
DEAR SON,
```

Y
OUR intended profefifon refembles mine in this refpect, that it is a duty frequently belonging to each, to adminifter consolation under the febereft diftrefs human nature can feel-that arifing from the lois of friends by death. In mine, indeed, the office is rather fontaneous than profeffional ; and the house of difeafe is generally quitted by the phyfician when it becomes the houfe of mourning. But where attachments of friendflip have made us fomewhat more to a family than mere feed attendants (and no profefion fo much favours thole attachments) we cannot hurry away from the fcene of affliction. Though our art has failed, our counfel and fympathy may be advantageounly employed to alleviate human miry ; and callous indeed mut his heart be, who is capable of reffing his confolatory aid on the plea, it is not my buinefs. In fact; few periods will be found
better acquainted with practical confolation than the medical faculty; and if any experience I may have acquired in this matter can be of fervice to you, to whom it will be truly a profefional concern, you will thank me for communicating it.

With refpect to the confolatory views that religion affords, highly as I think of their efficacy, particularly of that derived from the habit of fubmitting to the difpenfations of Providence in full confidence of their kind purpofe, I fhall not at prefent touch upon them. It is unnecefiary for me to fuggeft fuch confiderations to you. I fall confine myielf ftrietly to topics which refer to this world, and to cur own powers in fubduing the impreffions of grief. But as we cannot expect to be fuccefful in removing effects, without a thorough knowledge of their caufe, it will be neceffary to begin with confidering what is the real caufe of the forrow we feel from the lois of friends.

I am very far from agreeing with thofe who refer all our fympathetic emotions to filf. I am fie $e$ that the feelings with which we behcld the fufferings of a fellow-creature are generally void of the remoteft reference to cur own condition. While, then, a dear friend is lying before us in the agonies of a fevere difeafe, our fympathy is pure ; it is direfted to him, withoat any mixture of felfifn confilerations. Dut when the ftruggle is clofed by death, the cafe is entirely changed. If his life was o litte confeguence to our happinefs, the mind
inftantly feels relieved of her burden ; and the tender regret which remains, is rather a foothing than a diftrefsful fenfation. It is thus we feel when the infirmities of a good old age are brought to their period, and when long and hopelefs difeafe, which deftroyed all the ends of living, receives its final cure. But when our dearef interefts were at flake in the life of our friend, the inftant of the total extinction of hope, is that of the moft exquifite pang of grief. The very rage and form of forrow then rifes ; and the fenfe of lofs rufhes upon the mind in all the black colouring of defpair. Here it is impoffible not to recognize a felffo caufe of grief. It may, indeed, be fomewhat tinged with remaining pity for the fufferer: but the great object of pity is felf; and the feeling of deprivation is in fubftance the fame as that proceeding from the lofs of any other worldly comfort. The real meafure, then, of affliction on fuch occafions, is the degree in which the mourner's happinefs was dependent on the life of the deceafed; and if we were able exactly to eftimate this for another perfon, we might certainly foretel the range of his prefent and future diftrefs. Such an eftimate, however, is difficult to make; for the fources of enjoyment, and confequently of regret, are fo different to different perions, that what appears a fanciful and capricious caufe of forrow to one, fhall affect another as fomething the mof folid and durable. Yet there maft, on the whole, be a cer-
tain proportion between loffes in the common mode of calculating them, and the pain they occafion; and thougls in the very frit movements of grief this proportion may not appear, we may fardy reckon upon its final operation. A fond mother of a numerous fami'y, whofe infent at the breaft is taken from her, may for a fhort period feel a fenfe of lofs equal to that from lofing her hufband or eldeft fon; becaufe the chind was, for the time, the object of her moft frequent attentions and careffes. But this ftate cannot be of long duration. Her happinefs in its main points was no more dependent upon fuch an infant, than that of a child upon its favourite bird. He weeps bitterly when it is flown, but a new one to-morrow makes him forget it.

The extent of the lofs being therefore the true meafure of the grief refulting from it, the natural and fimple confequence muft be, that all effectual confolation muft fring from the means offered to the mind for repairing the lofs. As a merchant who has feen his richly-freighted veffel perih befere his cyes, can receive no comfort equal to that of collecing fome wrecks of the treafure driven to land ; fo the mourner, depaived of the deareft object of his affections, to whom he looked for the chief folace and cleafure of his life, can only feel relief from the contemplation of fome remaining fource of happinefs, which may afford a fubtitution, refembiing in kind, however inferior in degree. The proper office, then, of a friend who
undertakes the arcuous tafik of confolation, is to difcover and prefent to the riew of the fufferer every object from whence a reparation of the lufs may be derived. I am aware, indeed, that in the firft movements of generous forrow: there is a delicacy of fentiment which fourns the idea of compromifing its feelings, and regards it as a fort of viclation of the daad, to fubmit their value to aay cool calculation of utiitty. It delights ia exaggeratiar every circumfance which heighens the lofs; and prides itfelf, as it were, in regarding it as isreparable. To this "infirmisy of noble minds," all due indulgence fhould be fown, but without lofing fight of what, after all, is the true principle. The griei being fundamentally felnh, muft receive its cure from confderations which apply to felf; and thefe, howerer gradually and indircely, muf at length be brourht forwards. It is a fortunate circurtance when the commanding language of duty can $b=$ made to ccincide with the fouthing fuggeftions of comfort; for no delicacy can be pipaded againin an appeal to dutr. The mourner dares not fay or thick, Miy grief for the deceafed abfolves me from all the clains of furviving objects whom rature has committed to my care.- But duty prompts active exertions, which are the fureft prefervatives againft the moft baneful effects of forrow. Hance fome of thofe cales which feern of all the moft deplomble, are found to be lefs injurious to the mind in their confequences, than otlers

Wriere the lofs is in appearance lighter. It is feldom that the widowed mother of a large and unprovided family is abfolutely overwhelmed by her calamicy ; whereas the wealthy parent deprived of a favourite child frequently finks into the palfying defpair of melancholy.

Let him, then, who aims at adminitering a confolation beyond the reach of cuftomary forms, begin with putting himfelf as nearly as poffible in the fituation of the aflicted perfon, and fearching oul the points on which grief really bears, afply his attention to difcover what will eafe it there. The widower, fitting in gloomy folitude, or lookin:; widfully on a group of children deprived of a nuther's cares and tendernefs, wants a companion for his lonely hours, and a helper in parental and domeftic concerns. Let bin, as far as he is able, beccme that companion; and let him employ his thoughts in finding out friends or relatives who may in fume meafure fucceed to the maternal office, and resulate the difordered fate of family affairs. For the defolate widow, loft in the perplesitics of bufinefs, and terrified with her forlorn unffeltered condition, let him difentangle complicated accounts, obtain the bett council in dubious proceedings, mufter all the connexions of kindred and filendhip, and intereft them in her behalf, fet bufore hir confoing profpects of future expectations, and flew her that the world is not that wildernefs of defpar to her and her children which
in the firt paroxyfms of grief fhe imagined it to be. Her lofs is perhaps the greateft that a human being can fuftain. Its fubftitutes therefore flould be fought with the greateft diligence, and from the moft various quarters.

To parents weeping over the untimely grave of a beloved child, the confoler fhould call to mind their remaining children, and fetting them full in their view, he fhould fay, Here are your comforts -here are your cuties! Thefe are enough to fill your hearts and occupy all your attentions. By due culivation, you may ubtain from them more than a compenfation for what you have luft. The tree has indeed, been mutilated, but it may be brought to yield as much fruit as if ail its branches were entire. To thofe whofe on'y hope is blaftd -whofe profpects of a nifing generation to cheer and honour their declining years is for cver clofed -.et it be tenderly yet firmly urged, that they live in a world filled with reiations of every kiid between man and man-that the ties of friendimip, neighbourhooc, and country, full fubfint in their full force-that the duty of not living to curforves is in all cafes binding, and if faithfully performed, will not fail to, repay itfelf by heartfelt pleafures. Afk them what they would liave been had they never poffeffed a child. Would the world here been a blank to them, containing nothing worthy of their care and attachment? Cuelly difapponted as they have been-ruiacd as are all their
plans of remaining life, yet it is in their power to fet out anew, and create to themfelves thofe objects of intereft which would naturally have engaged their attention had they been childlefs. Are their minds itrong and their views elevated? prefent to them fome large object capable of employing all their exertions in the purfuit, and of fatisfying their reafon in the end. Urider worfe than the death of an only child, Howard took into his protection all the friendlefs of' mankind, and was confoled. Are their mituds weak and their taftes trivial ?- their child was little more to then than a play-thing, and a thoufand other play-things may fupply its place.

Thus in all cafes of lof 3 , fome fubflitution may be found, which, if it does not obliterate the c.lamity, yet lightens it. The flroke of misfortune never falls fo heavy as was expected. It is a!leviated by a variety of things which food for nothing in the computation, but which kind nature, ever flucious of our hap,inefs, feizes upon, and employs to fubdue her bittereft foe, obdurate grie. If great forrows overwhelm us, little joys uite to buyy us up again. This procefs may in general be relied on as of fure operation; and, in fact, re.ders the offee of confoier only one of temporary neceffity. Dut during the fint accefs of gwef, it is freque itly one of high importance ; and on its filiul exectuion much of fiture peace and com-
fort may depend. You remember the pretty metaphor of Shakefpear ;

Being that I flow in grief, The fmalleft twine may lead me.

The firft impulfe in fuch a fate may be of great moment to the direction of after conduct. One requifite, however, for performing fuccefsfully the office of confolation, nature alone can beftow-a feeling and benevolent heart. In that, I fear not your deficiency. That it may enable you in this, as in all other duties of your ftation, to act to the full fatisfaction of yourfelf and others, is the moft çordial wifh of

Your truly affectionate, \& ic.

LETTER XX.

```
ON THE INEQUALITY OF CONDITIONS.
```

```
DEAR SON,
```

IN my perambuiations of this immenfe metropolis, where human life appears under all its forms, and the excefs of opulence is clofely bordered on by the moft fqualid poverty, many are the reflections that occupy my mind, often to the temporary forgetfulnefs of my bufinefs and way. Of thefe, fome of the moft painful arife from the contemplation of the prodigious inequality among mankind, and the fate of indigence and degradation to which fo large a portion of them appear condemned. Between the inhabitant of the fplendid fquare, and the tenant of the gloomy alley, the apparent difference is fuch, that if we take our ideas of the nature and deftination of man from the one, they feem no more applicable to the other, than if they were beings of different orders. One appears the fpoilt child, the other, the abandoned outcaft of this world. There is, indeed, a clafs
between the two extremes on which the mind may dwell with more complacency; but if this be made a ftandard for the fpecies, our perplexities are only increafed by obferving the double deviations from it. After thus brooding over a chaos of confufed thought, I feem at length to difcern the forms of things with more diflinctnefs; and the fatisfaction this affords me is fuch, as to make me defirous of communicaing it to you.

The firft point abiolutely requifite to be fettled in order to view the actual condition of mankind with proper feelings, is, how far it is a neceffary one. Some benevolent philofophers, fhocked and cifguned with the flate of fociety as it appears in all large combinations of men, have taken refuge in the fuppofition that it is all artificial and unna= tural. They have gone back to the favage concition, and affociating their own refined ideas with the fimplicity of that ftate, they have formed a. picture of human life, poffefing the moral advantages of civilization, without its vices and inequalities. But as long as this is no more than a feene of fiction, though drawn by the moft mafterly hand, it deferves no regard inithe decifion of a. queftion within the reach of real obfervation.

In order to form true notions of what! man effentially is by his nature, the only fure way of proceeding is the fame that we fhould adopt in ftudying the nature of any other animal. Confult his hiftory for a long feries of ages. See what his
leading character has ever been, and conclude with confidence that fuch it will ever be. If the operation of his faculties and propenfities have at all times tended to certain effects, there is the fame reafon to fuppofe that they will ever continue to do fo, as that any other of what we call the laws of nature will remain inviolate. Bees will ever conftruct combs; beavers will raife dams; rooks will form fettlements; and men will build cities. The principle of congregating is fo ftrong within him, that it will ever determine the condition of the bulk of the fpecies. For, confider what effects neceffarily flow from it. Men affembled in focieties mutually fharpen each others faculties, and open new fources of enjoyment, and confequently, of defire. To the arts of firt neceffity, fucceed thofe of convenience, of elegance, of fplendour. Arts fuppofe artifts ; both the contriving head, and the labouring hand. The firt, being a rarer quality, will be more valued than the fecond. In the fame manner, all the other nore urcommon and valuable qualities both of mind and body will raife their poffeffors above the ordinary level, and fecure them particular advantages. Thus, property will be acquired, will produce laws and government for its fecurity, will accumulate, will be allied to magitracy, and in confequence will enforce and augment the natural inequalities among men. All thefe things are in the infeparable relation of caufe and effect to each cther ; and to
expect the firft without the fecond, or to fit downin fruitlefs lamentation that we cannot have all we wif, without fomewhat that we diflike, is childifh and unrcafonable.

Men, therefore, by the conftitution of their nature, will ever tend to unite in large maffes; and thefe maffes will fall into the grand divifions of rich and poor, high and low, governors and governed. This is abfolutely unavoidable, for even abolifhing at once all the arts and conveniences of civilized life would not reftore men to equality. Diftinctions of power and influence fubfift in the favage horde as well as in the luxurious city. But taking fociety with this neceffary condition, there is fill ample room for the operation of human wifdom in increafing its advantages and diminifhing its cvils. Thefe remedial attempts are part of man's nature likewife; and they are carried into effect by the employment of the very fame faculties which, directed another way, have occafroned the inconvenience. If thefe are negligently or unfaithfully ufed, the condition of fociety becomes much worfe than it might have been. Thus, if inftead of counteracting by civil regulations the ftrong tendency to inequality, it be favoured and perpetuated by them, every evil proceeding from this fource will, of courfe, be aggravated. And, in fact, the greateft differences that we obferve in the apparent happinefs enjoyed by: different nations, principally arife from the tencency of their
political inflitutions to augment or reftrain the difparity of conditions. Every good government contains in it a levelling principle; for what is the purpofe of equal laws, equal rights, equal opportunities of profiting by, natural and acquired talents, but to annul artificial diftinctions, and caufe the race of life to be run fairly? In return for the protection afforded the rich, it loads them with heavier proportional burdens; and it provides fome legitimate mode by which the will of the many faall make itfelf known and refpected, in order to counteraet the grafping projects of the few.

Eut, it may be faid, what, after all, have thefe contrivances done ?-have they in any country, confiderably advanced in arts and commerce, prevented thofe evils of great inequality which you began with lamenting? Much lefs, I acknowledge, has been effected than might have been hoped. But before we enquire further into the profpects of future improvement, let us refiect upon one thing that bas been done for the melioration of human life in its loweit form ; and this is, the abolition of domefic Pavery throughout all the civilized countries of Europe. Recollect, that in ail the ancient ftates, which boafted the moft loudly of their faecdom and ifunomy, the menial fervant, the artizan, the cultivator of the earth, was a flave, who held life and all its petty comforts at the arbitrary plafure of a fellow-mortal, often brutai,
violent, and needy. Image to yourfelf, ftreets refounding with the lafh and the cries of the tor-tured-fields covered with herds of men in chains, and their drivers-dungeons and racks in every private houfe-age fuffered to perifh in filth and famine, and youth the prey of luft and cruelty. Is any thing on this fide the Atlantic fo bad as fuch a ftate? And has not this bleffed change been effected by amending the principles and informing the underftanding of men?

We may now, with hearts fomewhat relieved, enter the clofe court and funlefs alley,

Where the pale artift plies the fickly trade ;
where the mechanic, the day-labourer, and thofe employed in the numerous vile, but neceffary, offices in a great city, have their abode. The fallow dingy countenances, uncombed locks, and beggarly apparel of thefe people, difguft your fenfes, and their manners equally fhock your moral feelings. You fhrink back, and are almoft ready to renounce the relationflip of a common nature with fuch beings. The idea of their prefent and future exiftence makes you fhudder, and all the fplendours of opulence which fhine at the expence of fo much wretchednefs, are dimmed in your eyes. But when you confider that thefe are the reprefentatives of half a million of human beincs in this metropolis-that fuch they ever have been, not only here, but in every other feat of
arts and commerec-you will be almoft compelled to conclude, that their cafe cannot be fo bad as it feems. Far, far be it from me to infult poverty by declaiming on its advantages! We have had too much of that cant. It is impoffible honeftly to fuppofe that the perfons I have been defcribing, enjoy an equal fhare of the comforts of this life, however philofophically we eftimate thofe comforts. But I can never bring myfelf to believe; that the neceffary condition of a majosity of the human race is a decidedly wretched one. With refpect to thofe I am now confidering, a great proportion of them certainly are not ceflitute of a variety, of the things that make life defirable.

Survey them more clofely. They have a home, a fanily, kindred, neighbours; converfe, righte, a certain liberty of action, and no inconfiderable flare of fenfual gratifications. The circumfances: that difguf you in beholding them, do not difguft themfelves-habit has rendered them callous to the evils of ditt and tatters. When I: acknowledge that it has alfo made them indenfible to moral depravity; I perhaps confefs no more-than would betrue of the madess of life in: the: higheft ranks of fociety.. Their vices are, inded, grofs, and obvious; but you, I am fure, are not: one of thofe whor eftimate the noxious qualities of a vicechiefly from is groffefs. They have their- virtues too, and of a kind as undifguifed as, their vices. They, aro
ever ready to help one another in diftrefs, and loudly unite in decrying every thing unmanly, cruel, and villanous.

Still, their condition is attended with many ferious evils, which, if they can be remedied, certainly ought to be; for to the happinefs of fo large a portion of fociety, every other corfideration ought to give way. But in order to produce any favourable change, it is firt requifite to diftinguifh the necefary circumfances of their fituation, from the cafial. The necefiary, are thofe connected with that inferiority of fation which, I have attempied to fhew, muf be the condition of a majority in all human focieties, and more efpecially in thofe where the powers of the mind are moft cultivated. I am of opinion, therefore, that it is not in the power of merely political inftitutions to do more for the advantages of the lower claffes, than fecure them from oppreffion, and prevent their interefts from being facrificed to the avarice and ambition of the higher. Whether this can be done much more effectually than is already done by the conftitution of our own country, I fhall not enquire; but I am ready to confefs, that my expectations of benefit are not turned towards changes in that quarter. It is on the removal of fome of the cafual evils attending the condition of the poor, that my hopes of feeing the world happier chiefly depend; among which I reckon grofs ignorance
bad morals and pernicious habits. That it it within the reach of human induftry to produce great amerdment in thefe particulars, and that, even in a metropolis fo enormous and licentious as this, I no more doubt, than that all remaining flavery might be abolifhed, as the paft has been. A comparifon of different nations and focieties, already affords full demonftration of the great differences in this refpect that different care and management will create. The labouring claffes of all towns are not left ignorant of every principle of religion and morality, and void of all encouragement to practife economy and the decencies of life. To the difgrace of this enlightened country, it has been one of the moft remifs in attentions of this fort ; but I truft a fpirit is awakened which will fuffer it to be fo no longer. In promoting a reform of this kind, every man, however contracted his fphere of action, is able to advance the public good; but efpecially, thofe who have devoted themfelves to the improvement of morals, poffefs both the ability and the influence requifite for the work. To you, who even during the courfe of your education exhibited an ardent zeal in this caufe, I need not recommend it further, than by expreffing my confidence that your attempts will not fail of fuccefs, if not fo much as you would wifh, perhaps more than you would expect. Evils, no doubt, moral and natural, will remain as long as the world remains; but the certainty of the perpetual ex-
iftence of vice, is no more an argument againft attempting to correct it, than the fame certainty with refpect to difeafe, is a reafon againft exercifing the art of medicine,

Adicu!

## LETTER XXI.

## ON THE PREVALENCE OF TRUTH.

## DEAR SON,

" axiom that for ages has adminittered confolation to thufe reafoners, whofe efforts in a favourite caufe have not been crowned with prefent fuccefs. That the foundation of this axiom is fulid, I am by no means inclined to difpute; and far be it from me to attempt extinguifhing that hope, which has prevented fo many generous friends of mankind from flaking into defpondency. Yet if its application huve in any in tances led to expeCtations which probably can never be realifed, or if a confident reliance upon it have damped the ardour of due exertion, it may be ufful to reduce it within the limits of frict reality. In fact, the affertion that " truth murt always finlly prevail," appears to me much too general, and not to be acquiefced in xithout many difinctions and linitations. The grounds of fome of thefe will be the fubject of my prefent litter.

Of the obftacles to the prevalence of truth, there are fome apparently fo connected with the nature and condition of man, that a majority of the fpecies muft ever labour under their influence. Such are, efpecially, thofe proceeding from the operation of ungoverned paffions and defires, during which the mind is never permitted to exercife that calm judgment which is abfolutely neceffary for the inveftigation of truth. Every fubject which Atrongly excites the emotions of hope and fear, is liable to this caufe of error. The medium through which it is viewed, is fo ruffled, that it tranfmits all objects falfe and diforted. In cafes like thefe, the Species receives no improvement, and each individual has the whole procefs of melicration to go through for himfelf. He mitt by his own exertions acquire the gue regulation of his heart, as much as the free ufe of his limbs, and the attainments of his predeceffors afford him no affitance. As a man born in the eighteenth century is no better able to endure cold, hunger, and fatigue, than one born in the firlt, fo meither can he better refift the imprefions of terror and defire.

Now, mainy of thofe fubjects in which falfe opinions are moft prevalent, lay fuch hold on the weak parts of man, lis paffions and affections, that he is in general incapacitated from making proper ufe of the experience of part ages, and feems doomed to run a perpetual round of the fame fullies and mintakes. This is the caure why
reafon has not been able to do more in aboiifhing fupertition. Various fpecies of it have occafionally been rendered unfafhionable by ridicule or detection; but the principle itielf fiill keeps its hold in the human breaf, ready to feize every opportunity of regaining all the influence it may have lof. In countries the moit enlightened by fcience and letters, it is wonderful how much fupertition is confantly lurking among the vulgar of all ranks, nay, among the enlightened themfelves: for where the temper difpofes to it, both learning and fcience may be made to aiford additional materials for it to work upon. A faith in omens, prophefies, and horofcopes, in fortunate names and numbers, in warnings and apparitions, in fupernatural cures, and other fraudulent pretenfions refpecting the principal objects of hope and fear, is no more likely a.t the prefent day to be cradicated, than it was at any former pericc. Reafon has no greater power over thefe delufions; than the Roman fenate had over the influence of the Chaldean foothfayers: Genus hominum (fays Tacitus) quod in civitate noftra et vetabitur femper, et retinebitur." It has rendered them in a certain degree difcreditable, and reduced them to operate more in fecret than formerly, and more individuals have been freed from their fway; tut he mult know little of the actual fate of things, who fuppofes their prefent influence to be inconfiderable, or, perhaps, diminifhing. It might, in-
ceed, be imagined, that caufes which had gradually been producing a certain effect, might confidently be expected to go on producing it in a greater and greater decree ; but I far this will not be found to correipesed with the real march of human afiane, which, ia mary cafcs, more refombles the motion of a pendulum, which, having fwang to a certain height, thencefurth moves in a contrary diecetion. Thus it feems as if fuperfition, after having been weakened by the repeated attacks of wits and philofophers, was at perent recovering its frength. It has cbviouly mot with encouragement from perfons of fome note, who have probabiy feen a comexicn between that fate of mind whel makes men fibmifive to fuperticious belief, and the dociity neceffary for the reception of fyltems of futh which they were interefled in fa. porticg. Myneries of all forts are allied, and one formu'a of arguing ferves equally in favour of all-" Becaufe there are certain truths which you cannot help admitting, though apparently contradiciony to reafon and analugy, you have no right to oiject to thofe we offer you on the ground of fach contmaidion." Thus all a friori conclufions concerning truth and faichood we intercepted, and mankind are left to contend in each individual cafe with the artifices of fophifm and impofure.

I have often thought it a very hazardous mode of argument which the fiends of roligion, even
the more rational, have been accuftomed to ufe in their controverfies with unbelievers. "If (fay they) there be no providence, no future ftate, no obligation to divine worfhip, you muft, however, acknowledge that no danger can enfue from acting as if there were. But if, on the contrary, thefe things are real, we hold that there is the greateft of all dangers in acting as if they were not." Confider what ufe may be made of this kind of reafoning by papilts againft proteftants, and by the narrower fects of the latter againft the more liberal. "You aknowledge that a man may be faved in our church if his intentions are upright, and his morals pure; but we deny that falvation is poffible in yours on any conditions. Common prudence fhould therefore induce you to adopt that which both parties allow to be fafe, rather than that which one alone (perhaps the leaft numerous) thinks to be fo." By thus introducing' prudential confiderations into cueflions of truth, fects, in order to gain proflytes, are encouraged to become as dogmatical and uncharitable as poffible, and to aim at frightening men into their narrow pale as the only place of refuge. This, in fact, is an advantage which bigotry has long poffeffed, and probably will ever pofifis, over moderation. Exclufive pretenfions, whether refpeiting this world or another, will ever find powerful fupports in the hopes and feare of manlend; and he who anionefes both
thefe pafions will act with double the porrer of him who applies only to one.

For a fimilar reafon, all thofe fyftems of faith which offer men eternal felicity upon eafier terms than thair own endeavours-that fhift, as it were, the load of refponfibility from them, upon characters of myfterious dignity, who are to be repaid by the cheap ferrices of unbounded homage and adoration-that inculcate fears which no confcious rectitude can calm, and nourifh hopes that no felf-examination can warrant, will fearcely fail of rendering themflues acceptable to the multitude, fo long as they are fupported by fatisfactory authority. And how is this authority, once received, to be maken? If it depend on hiffrical evidence, can a whole people be expected to enter into an examination of events believed at the time of their paffing, and delivered down unqueftioned through many generations of their ancettors? Is not this continuity of belief the beft evidence they poffefs for the truth of all their national records? If it refers to interpretction, will not the fame arguments which have determined the general fenfe of a writing in times pal, continue to operate in any future attempts to interpret it? I fuppofe, in this cafe, the fame fair intentions, and the fame collateral aids, to exit in both periods.

But nations have, in fact, changed their fyftems. They have; but not, I conceive, from the
unaided operation of roafon and argument. In all remarkable changes of this kind, we fhall difcover, befides the more immediate interference of divine power, fuch a concurrence of circumftances, as was capable of a coercive action upon men's minds, and which cannot at pleafure be renewed by thofe who may wifn to produce fimilar effects.

For the capability of receiving truth, there muft alwajs be certain preparations. I do not reckon freedom from error one of thefe, for then truth would be abfolutely uaattainable; no man being without falfe opinions, who had not already imbibed true ones. But I mean certain qualities, moral and intellectual; which beftow a fitnefs to be acted upon by argument. One of the moft effential of thefe, is the fair honeft deffre of difcovering the trath, and following whitherioever it may lead. But how large a portion of mankind is precluded from this ftate by previoully determined interefts and partialities! How few, even among the pretended enquirers after truth, can fay with the ever-memorable John Hales, "For this, I have forfaken all hopes, all friends, all defires, which might bias me, and hinder me from driving right at what I aimed." On the contrary, are we not very fure, that when perfons of certain defcriptions engage in what they call an inveftigation of truth, they have before-hand decided what conclufions to eftablifh, and without fuch a deciion would neverhave undertaken the tafk?

Further, how much diligence, how much fudy, what freedom from diftractions, what renunciation of coinmon pleafures and purfuits, are not neceffary for the fuccefsful fearch after truth! It can be little lefs than the whole bufinefs of a man's life-" Vitam impendere vero." Ought we then to blame the ancient philofophers when they linited the power of acquiring intellectual truth to a few, and propofed it as the noble prize to be contended for by a number felected from the vulgar? Truth of no kind is of eafy acquifition-that truth, I mean, which is the refult of examination: for true opinions ftumbled upon by chance, and only by following the authority of great names, is no certain poffeffion, and will readily give place to error more highly patronized. Truth in fcience is only arrived at by laborious experiment and patient deduction. Hiftorical truth requires for its inveftigation perfect impartiality, and an acquaintance with every poffible inlet to fraud and miftake. Moral truth demands a heart capable of feeling it. Religious trutli is not attained without an union of the requifites for all the cther fpecies of truth. Have we, then, any well grounded reafon to hope that the majority of mankind will ever come to a general perception of what is fo obfeured by d:ffculties in the cetail ?

If you foould think the doctrine of this letter fomewhat inconfiltent with my fermer one $O n$ the

that was to fhew the ratural progrefs tewards perfection in every practical art on which the human faculties are in earneit employed-and the advantage to be derived from that reference to general principles which is properly termed philofithy. To free men from thofe waisnefes of their nature which cppofe the adinifion of abfract truth, is a rery di.jerent attompt; which, however, is not to be given up in defpair becaufe it cannot be fo fuccefofal as we would wifh.

Truib will prevail-how far? As far as it is purfued with a proper temper, and by perfons properly cuailifed. Place before fuch men an object of controverfy capable of being decided according to truth. But that falfe opinions on fubjects which warmiy intereft the pafions of mankind will ever ceafe to fway the mulitude, is what I dare not promie myfuif, A fiactuar example of the differeat fitnefs of different men to receive truth is aforded by the modern impofure of Animal Manetifn. When its pretenfions were fitmitted to a bowd of philofophers in France, its futility was ciearly and unanimoufy eftiblhed. Still, howeve, that clafs who are the proper fubjects of deeeption were deluded by its bold promites, and myiterious reafonings; and among them the delufion in fome meafure fill fubfits. It cannot, however, fand long ; but its votaries will remain juft as prone as before to fall into another plaufible diclufion.

Meantime, fuch is the intrinfic value of truth, that no other encouragement is wanted to animate to the vigorous purfuit of it, than the difant hope of attaining it for ourfelves, and proparating it among a felect few ; for in få, of all the differences between mortals, the different degree in which they are poffeffors of truth is incomparably the greateft. Nor can it be doubted that a large thare of it is within the reach of man, though not of all men. Like the inoculation of the fmall pox, it confers indifputable benefits on thofe who receive it ; yet too few will probably ever receive it to produce ftriking effects upon the whole fpecies. Let truth be fairly offered to the world without the ve:l of myftery, in her own naked radiance. If the world fail to recognize her, and leave her to a few enamoured votaries, let them confole themfelves with the affurance that Truth, like Virtue, is her own reward.

Farewel!

## ( 179 )

## LETTER XXII.

## ON SECOND THOUGHTS AND MIDDLE COURSES.

DEAR SON,

66
SECOND Thoughts are beft," fays a frequent-ly-quoted proverb. Confidered as a prudential maxim, its truth, I believe, cannot be controverted ; for there are few points of evil to be avoided or advantage to be gained, in which mature deliberation is not better than hafty decifion. But that they are bef, in the fenfe of being more conformable to moral or natural truth, in my opinion, is fo far from reality, that I fhould more readily acquiefce in a propofition nearly the reverfe-that firg impreffions are moft to be relied on. This, however, I do not mean to affert without limitation,

Where a mind is well prepared for the reception of truth, by rectitude of intention, and a habit of accurately conceiving what is prefented to it, a queftion of moral conduct is almoft always beit decided by the feclings immediately confequent upon flating the cafe ; and after-thoughts, in fuch infances, pre wfualy the foplintry of felf-intercft
or partiality. I afk myfelf, fhall I make a folemn profeffion of what I do not believe. No! (cries indignantly Firft Feeling) -better to farve! Come (fays Second Thought) let us confider the matter calmly ; for there are many reafons why it would be convenient to make this profeffion. Examine its words-fee if they will bear no other fenfe than the mont obvious. At any rate, will not the end jutify the means? It then begins its ingenious operations, and, in conclufion, the thing is done.

I have promifed a man my fupport-fhall I keep my word? Certainly? Can you doubt of it ? Would jou be a rafcal? But I wifh I could difengage nyyfelf, for really I do not like the man. His politics or religion are different from what I took them to be; and I fhouid do more good by difcouraging him. Befides, cvery promife is by its very nature conditional, and he has virtually bruken his part of the conditions. Indced! Then ufe your difcretion.

In this manter it is that every triumph, in a heart not vitiated, is gained ly cowardice, meansefs, and feiffhnefs, over firit, honour, and generoftry. Confcierce is never dilatory in her wamings. She pronources clearly and inftantly, and her fint voice is the tare oracle. Ily prolix and varied repetitions of the çuchion, with fore:gn circumftances introdaced for the purpofe of perplexing, the refponfe may at length be radered
almoft ary thing we wifh it, and confcience may be cheated into acquiefence in the mot abominabec conclufions. It is thus, that in our corporeal mechanifm, a deleterious fubitance taken into the ftomach, excites infant and violent efforts for its expulfion; bat afeer a due repetition of ciofes, properly proportioned and combined, the ftimulus ceafes to be felt, and abhorment nature becomes reconciled to the inftrument of her deftruction.

It was upon the fyftem of Second Thoughts that the famous morality of the Jefuits was founded. They eftablifhed it as a rule, that in a cafe of confcience, if a probable opinion, or one fupported by the authority of a fingle grave doctor, could be brought in favour of inclination, againft an opinion confeffedly more probable, it was fufficient to juftify a determination conformable to it. And they took good care that their cafuits fhould be furnifhed with probable opinions of all forts for the ufe of thofe who pat their confciences under the direction of the fociety. The following edifying ftory is related by one of their gravet fathers, from whom it is copied in the celebrated Provincial Letters. "A man who was carrying a large fum of money in ordar to make rifitution by command of his contefor, called at a bookfeller's fiop by the way, and alking if they had any thing new, was fhewn a new sylem of Mural Theolocy. Turning over the leaves carclefsly, he happened to light on his owa cale, and fuard that he was not obliged to
reftitution; fo that having got rid of the burden of his fcruple, and retaining the burden of his money, he returned home lighter than he went out." Such lucky occafions of fecond thought, the pious author attributes to the fpecial interference of God's providence, by the minitry of a man's guardian angel.

The fpeedieft decifions of Reafon, as well as of Confcieace, are frequently the foundeft. Extravagant projects, abfurd propoficions, inpudent pretenfions, are rejected with foorn when firt offered to the mind ; and it is only in confequence of rehearings, at which fraud and fophiflry are adrocates, with wiles, like thofe of Comus, "baited with reaions not unplaufible," that they at length work their way. Many high clairs there are upon our acquiefeence, which the foul of man wculd fpurn with contempt and loathing, did it abide by its fpontaneou:s decifions. It may be affirmed to have been the chice bufinefs of fcholaftic learning for many ages, to atifie this voice of unbiaffed reafon, and inure men to form determinations contrary to firt convictions. How many mighty volumes could I point out to you, the whole purpofe of which is to reconcile the mind to fome manifett contradiction, or to difprove fome feli-evident truth ! I remember to have read, that in the condemnation of fome Janfenit book, the heretical propofitions were fo injudicioufy felected, that a great prince, into whofe hands they were put, miftook thern fer
articles of faith, and was edified by the perufal. Can it be doubted that here the text was nearer the truth than the comment, and that the prince judged better than the doctors? I have known inftances, in which pofitions felected out of a political work for the purpofe of obtaining its judicial condemnation, have affected impartial readers in a fimilar manner.

By thefe ubfervations, however, I am far from wifhing to inculcate a hafty decifion on controverted points in general. Where the quefion relates to matter of fact, a very patient inveltigation is frequently neceffary. Where it concerns a matier of expedience, it cannot be fafely decided without minutely balancing its probable advantages ard difadvantayes, and confulting pait experience in fimilar cafes. But where it refers to principles, and mult be tried by its conformity with certain notions, if not innate, at leaft early and very generally ad. mitted into the human breaft, it is probably beft judged of when prefented naked to the mind, unmived whth extrancous confiderations, and with no other preparation than to render it perfecliy intelligitle.
" The middle way is the fafeft," fays another common proverb. If this was adopted from the " medio tutiffimus ibis" of Ovid, it fhould have been remembered that his was a particular precept, not a general maxim. In reality, the middle courfe is very often the worft that can be followed in afo
fairs of the world, combinining the inconveniences, and mifing the advantages, of the two extremes. It is commonly the paltry expedient of weaknefs and indecifion to get over prefent dificulties, by deelining infead of confronting then-a compromife between right and wrong, between wifdom and folly, between enterprize and indolence, which generally incets vitit the fate of imbecility. In nooz emergencies, two direcily oppofte fyfters of ation prefent themfelves to our choice. Each has its appropriated character, its favourable and unfavourable circumfances. Each may fucceed; but ouly when followed fully and cecidecly. Every leaning towards its oppofite adds to its dificulties, and endangers its failure. This cornct be better illufuted than by milimry tranfactions. A General finces himfelf unexpeftedly in face of a fuperior caemy. He has no choice but to fight or retire; Lut the movements for each are incompatible; one requircs bold advance, the chise, filent retreat. One, however, appears to him too hazardons, and the other, too difgraceful. He therefore takes a midale comre, in confequence of which he fights to no purpofe, and his retreat is intercepted.

One cannot be at all converfant with bufinefs, without feeing parpetual inftances of the mifchief done by this fpirit of throwing in a little of this, and a little of that, in order to fecure a mediun. A perfon in a public affembly propofes a vigorous meafure, and after fome oppofition, carries it.

Some weak friend or defigning foe, upon the plea of preventing extremes, then offers a few modifications and reftrictions, of a nature directly fubverfive of the purpofe intended to be anfwered by the firft mover ; and thefe, and for the fake of accommodation, are affented to by the majority : thus the whole fcheme is rendered ineffectual. In a fimilar fpirit, arbitrators fplit a difference, and do juftice to neither party-juries bring in verdicts which determine nothing, and leave the court to aft as it pleafes-confultations of learned phyficians keutralize their plans fo as to do neither good nor harm-and divines play off one virtue againtt ancther, till they make their heavers indifferent to both.

Truth may, perhaps, in general, lie fomewhere within oupofite extremes; but it is a çrofs weaknefs to expeet to find it by the mechanical operation of bifecting a iine, or calculating an average. Even in cafes where we are fure that the two extremes are eroneous, as in the reprefentation of the fame character by adverfe parties, it is a futile method of judging of particular actions, to balance the contrary motives to which they lhave been attributed, and frike a medium. It is not in this manner that good and evil are compounded in mankind.

The controverfililt who thinks, by adojting fomewhat from one fytem, and fomewhat from another, to fix himfelf on from ground, and hold cppofte parties in winect, vill generally find that
he has united both againt him, and has weakened his defences on either part. I could adduce many inflances to fhew you, that in the contefts of theological polemics, the middle way is as far, as it is in real warfare, from being the fafeft. The acute Chillingworth could not find a barrier againft. popery, till he had eftablifhed as a fundamental maxim, that the Bible is the only ground of the religion of Protefiants. He perceived, that if church authority were admitted as any thing in the controverfy, the papift would be too hard for him.

Thus you fee that proverbial fayings, the boafted wifdom of ages, are not to be trufted without examination. Aphorifms, in general, indeed, are but dangerous guides. The greater part of them have been formed not fo much from the refults of univerfal reafon and experience, as from the authority of individuals in the infancy of both. A few examples went to eftablifh a rule, and the exceptions ftood for nothing, till at length they have often been found more numerous than the exemplifications.

Farewel!

## L E T TER XXIIT.

ON THE PRINCIPAL FAULTS OF POETICAZ TRANSLATION.

IN order to affit you in deciding for yourfelf the queftion you afk me refpecting the comparative merits of Pope's and Cowper's tranflation of Homer, I fhall lay before you fome remarks on the chief purpofes and principal faults of poetical tranflation, which fuggefted themfelves to my mind in the courfe of my earlier reading.

As the great end of all poetry is to pleafe, that of a poetical tranflation muft in the firf inftance be the fame. But beftes this general purpofe, it has the additional one of gratifying a laudable defire in the reader who does not underftand the original, of gaining fome idea how perfons thourht and wrote in an age or country often very diftant from his own. Hence arifes a neceffity of preferving, not only the fubject matter and the poetical beaties of an original author, but as much as can be done of
his peculiar turn of thinking and mode of expreffion. All the great fchools of arts and letters are marked with a peculiar ftamp of character, cerived from the manners and circumftances of the time and country, which are an interefting fubject of fpeculation. The tranflator, therefore, who fails to refect an image of his original, with its characterftic dintinctions, though he may prefent us with a figure graceful and pleafing in itfelf, has not performed his tafk completely.

One of the leading faults of poetical tranfation from the works of antiquity has been of this kind. Our manners and entiments have become fo very different from thofe of remote ages, that the two purpofes of tranflating agreeably and faithfully, can with great difficuliy be made to coincide. And as the firt wifh of every writer is to be read, he will naturally be led to prefer that mode of tranflating which will make his work the moft generally acceptable. He will therefore rather ftedy to cring it down to the taite of his own times, than to carry his reader back to thofe which have been long forgotte:. Nor can we blame him for fuch an accommodation to the feclings of his cotemperaries as is neceffary to fecure his main end of pleafing. The fault is, that this defign is ufually carried mech faither than is nocectary, and fo far as aimofe eritirely to dcfeat the other purpofe of tranflation.

In trannating an author who lived in a rude and uncultivated period, tro kinh of accommodativa
are neceffary. The ome confifs in foftening or fuppreffing fach inarges and exprefficns as would give difgult to a modem reade: ; the other, in raifin' and adorning fuch parts as from their extreme fimplicity would appear to him rucie and infipid. Both thefe muft be done to a certain degree ; but boik reguire much caution and judgment. The latter, in particular, is a hazardous attempt, cemanding a moft chaftifed and correct tafte for its proper execution; and I am furprifed at the ryguarded latitude which fo rational a critic as Dr. Johnfon allows in this point. Speaking of Pope's Iliad, he fays, "Homer doubtleis owes to his tramflator many Oridicin graces not exactly fuitable to his chawaeter; but to bave added can be no great crime, if notb:ng be takin aquay." What ! can there be a grofer violation of every principle of tafte and good fenfe, than to make wanton additions to a writer's work in a fyle totally different from his own and that of the whole age in which he lived? What is this but introducing atter confufion of times and manners into the reader's icieas, and beinging all the friking variety of literary compofition to one uniform meafure of unmearineo refinement?

That this effect has been actually procuced by Pope's firit of tranfation, may eafly be fhewn in various parts of his works and thofe of his imitators, and efpecially in that partnerfin concem, his odytey. The original poem is, in my opinion,
almoft folely valuable from the curious pictures it difplays of the ftate of focicty, both public and domeftic, at the period to which it refers. It was therefore effential to preferve thefe in their genuine and characteriftic colouring; and no graces of modern decoration couid atone for the want of this point of refemblance in the copy. Nothing is a more frequent topic in the notes of this tranfation, than the pleafure derived from feenes of fimple nature; and many cenfures are paffed upon the faftidious delicacy of French critics who are fhocked with the plain unrefined manners of Homer's perfonages. But it is impofible to violate fimplicity more outrageoufly than has been done by the Englifh tranflator, efpecially of fome of the books; and I am forry that the book containing the adventure of Nauficaa, one of the moft pleafing in the whole pocm, is of the number thus traveftied. It is among thofe afcribed to Broome, but Pope is anfwerable for the workmanfhip of his journeymen. Of this fault, I fhall felect a few friking examples, after premifing a remark on one of its principal fources.

All the words appertaining to royalty, as king, prince, court, palace, \&c. have fo long conveyed to the minds of civilized people ideas of dignity and grandeur, that it is difficult, even for a philofopher, to hear them with thofe impreffions only which they excited in the early fages of fociety. Yet without fuch a kind of abftraction, it
is evident that the circumftances with which fuch terms are affociated in relations of primitive life mult frequently appear highly incongruous, and produce the effect of burlefque. The only means of avoiding this confequence in modern views of antiquity are, either to lower the ideas of royalty, or to exalt the dignity of the fimple manners with which it was then accompanied. The former is the moft effectual, and indeed the true method; for if we were taught to conceive of a ling of Ithaca as of a chief in the Sandwich Iflands, or an Indian Sachem, we fhould not be furprifed to find the fwineherd one of his principal officers and confidants. But what is then to become of the elevated character of the epopœa, and how are we to be interefted in the fate of heroes of fo low a clafs? Our tranflator has therefore taken the contrary method, and labours to throw an artificial veil of majefty over things in their own nature mean and trivial. Thus whea Eumæus is introduced making himpllf a pair of brogues out of a raw hide, we are told in the note, " that we muft not judge of the dignity of men from the employments they followed three thoufand years paft, by the nctions we have of thofe employments at prefent ;" and this admonition is followed by fome obfervations on the dignity of axts in their infancy, and on the cookery of Achilles, and on the cuftom of the 'Iurkifh emperors to learn fome mechanic trade. Now what is this but a laboured attempt to delude ?

The real dignity of any condition can only depend on the qualities requifite to fill it, or the habits of thinking and acting acquired in exercifing its functions. A keeper of fwine and maker of fhoes mult ever dcrive his manners and ideas from the ftye or the workflop; and his relative confequence in any fociety only exhibits the relative advance of that fociety in power and civilization. Can any thing therefore be more abfurd, than a remark of the fame annotator, on the circumftance, that Melanthius the goatherd, bringing a fupply of meat to the fuitors, is made to fit at table wiith them ? " We may gather from hence the truth of an obfervation formerly made, that Melanthius, Eumxus, \&ic. were Perfins of dijfindion, and their offices Pghts of honour: we fee Melanthius who had charge of the goats of Ulyfies is a companion for princes." This fame Melanthius, jut before, on meeting with Eumreus, is by Homer reprefented as infulting him in the groffeft terms, and telling this perfon of difinncion that he fhall foon have to carry him out of the iffand and fell him for a flave. That fuch men were made companions by the fuitors, is indeed a proof how little the fuitors were elevated above them, but furely does not prove that the goatherd and fwineherd were any thing more than goatherds and fwineherds.

This incongruous alliance of modern ideas afixed to the terms of royalty, with the circumfances antiently annexed to the office, has contributed
more than any thing to give a ludicrous air to many piflages of Pope's Odyifey, and to milead the Englifh reader in his notions of the fate of manners i: that period. Thus, when Minerva in a dream tells Nauficaa to prepare for her nuptials, fur that the beft among the Phocacians, her kinfmen, have for fome time been paying their court to her, the trannator metamorphofes thefe petty chieftains intu fo many potent kings.

Virgin, awake! thy marriage hour is nigh, See fiom their thrones thy kindred monarchs figh.

The preparation for this royal wedding was that the princefs fhould fpend a day in wafhing her foul clothes, and fhe is admonifhed by the Goddefs to ank from her father a carriage drawn by mules, " for (fays fhe with great fimplicity) it will be handfomer for you to ride than to walk, as the wahing pits are at a good diftance from the town." A fearcher after real manners will be pleafed with this ftroke of nature in uncultivated life; but he can only be difgufed by the tranflator's burlefque attempt at difguifing it.

In pomp ride forth, for pomp becomes the Great, And Majefty der ves a giace from itate.

Nauficaa and her maids mount this " royal car" or wain loaded with foul clothes; and her careful mother puts good flore of provifion into a cheft, and fills a goat-1kin with wine. They likewife take
a golden cruife full of oil, that they might anoint themfelves after the work was over. Thefe fimple circumftances are thus dreffed out by the tranf. lator.

The Queen, affiduous, to her train affigns
The fumptnous viands and the flavorous wines. The train prepare a cruife of curious mold, A craife of fragrance, form'd of burnin'd gold; Odour divine, whofe foft refiefling ftreams Sleek the fmooth fkin, and fcent the fnowy limbs.

In this fiyle is the whole adventure related; and while actions and difcourfes denoting the very infancy of civilization pafs in review before you, the language perpetually excites images derived from the courts of modern Europe. Where Nauficaa in Homer tells Ulyffes that he will find her mother fitting on the hearth within the blaze of the fire, leaning againt a pillar, the tranfator fays for her,

Seek thou the Queen along the rooms of fate ;
and where the original goes on to fay, that her maids (ufing a word properly meaning female flaves) are fitting behind her, the politer copy gives her an attendance of ladies of honour ;

Around a circle of bright damfels fines.
This is fufficient to exemplify that common fault of modern tranflation, difguiling the original ky a
fictitious colouring. It is, I conceive, when carried to the degree of the examples above cited, a fault of the greatef magnitude, depriving the reader of the amufement and information he would receive from a true reprefention of ancient modes of thinking and feeaking, and giving him nothing infead but an incongruous mixture of fimplicity in ation with refinement in language.

Another fault in tranfation, हenerally accompanying the former, though of fomewhat different origin, is the fpirit of casasceration and hyperbole, which conitantly enceavours to improve upon the original imare or fentiment by pafling it to an extravagazce beyon! the bounds of truth and propricty. This is fo frequent an error, that it woull be eafy to multiply examples of it from erea our moft celcbrated writers. Dryden's tranflation of Virgil abounds with it. Thus, in the fory of Cacus, when Herculus rolls dowa upoa his cave the fragment of a rock, the Roman poet thinks it fufficient to fay, " that the wide ether refounded, and the affrighted river rolled backwards." But Dryden makes the river fairly fink into the ground, and the fky equally terriỉed, run, no one can tell whither !

The fly firunk upwards with unuhal dread, And trembing Tiber div'd bersath his bed.

Thus, too, where Virgil fays no more than that Turnis lopt off a wamior's head at a blow, and left the trunk on the fand, Dryden adds,
——————.-- the Latin ficlds are Arunk
It ith ftreans that iffued from the blee ding trunk.
But the mof ludicrous hyperbole of this kind that I have met with, is in Rowe's tranfation of the Pharfalia. Luean, defcribing an army reduced to great ftraits for want of provifion, reprefents the foldires, after having eaten the fields quite bare, as pluckng with their teeth the withered herbs from their ramparts. This is extravagant encugh, according to his ufual manner; but his tranfator far outdoes him :

Then rav'nous on their camp's defence they fail, And grind with greedy jaws the turfy wall.

It is confidering this fault of tranfation in too favourable a light to charge it upon an extiberant warmth of imagination, beyord the cortrol of judgment. This might in fome meafure have been the cafe with a Dryden; but a writer of the coldeft imagination may eafly, from the forcs of poetical phrafeology, borrow flowers of hyperbole to interweave at random into the tiffue of a gaudy tranflation, where he is at no expence for original ideas. This figure is indeed the moit common with the moft ordinary writers. Pope, as far as I have remarked, is extremely fparing in its ufe; while
his coadjutors Broome and Fenton feem to think it the very characteriftic of poetical language. A line of the latter in the fourth book of the Odyffey will amuse you. It is part of the defcription of the palace of Menclaus.

Above, beneath, arould the palace finiles The fumlefs treafure of exhaufted mines.

With refpef to the prolixity, the unmeaning fuperfluities, and the conftrained expreffions, fo commonly to be met with in tranflations, as they indicate mere want of poetical talents, they are fcarcely objects of criticifm. They are evidently much increafed by the ufe of rhyme, which aggravates all the difficulties of bringing the fenfe of the tranflation into a form and compafs refembling that of the original. Yet as long as rhyme is more pleafing to the readers of Englifh poetry in general than blank verfe, I would not affert that tranflation ought to be deprived of its aid, more than original compelition. It never fhould be forgotten, that the firf parpofe of writing is to be read; and that if this be not anfwered, a book may be an addition to the furniture of a librayy, without being any to the fock of literary amulement in a country. By this criterion, after all, every performance mult be tried; not, indeed, by merely counting the number of its readere, but by eftimating the pleafure derived from it by thofe who from habit and education are beft prepared for fuch enjoyments. Many of the poR. 3
etical writings of antiquity are, I believe, incapable of pleafing in a tranflation, upon whatever plan it be conducted. When a man of true genius is led to engage in fuch a tafk, we are bound rather to lament the wafte of his powers, than to wafte our own time in trying to relifh the fruit of his injudicious labours.

Adieu!

## LETTER XXIV.

## ON RUINS.

I
DO not wonder, my dear Son, at the enthu• fiafm with which you relate your vifit to the celebrated ruins of Abbey. The natural charms of the fcenery in the midft of which they are placed, their own intrinfic majefty and beauty, the rarity of fuch a fpectacle, and the train of ideas affociated with it, all contribute to render it one of the moft interefting objects of a traveller's curiofity. I cannot but think, however, that the extraordinary paffion for ruins of every kind which at prefent prevails, has in it a good deal of the rage of a predominant fafhion, and goes beyond all bounds of fober jndgmert. And as in a former letter I ventured to appreciate another point of modern tafte, with which this is confiderably connected, the new ftyle of gardening, I fhall, in this, canvais fome of the principles on which our admiration of ruins is founded.

The firt impreffion made by the view of a mafs of ruins can fcarcely in any country have been of
the pleafing kind. It muft have been that of wafte and defolation-of decayed art and loft utility. If the " fmiling works of man" in their perfect ftate were always objects of delight, their forlorn and dilapidated condition muit have excited melancholy emotions. Thus we find that the horrors of the howling wildernefs were in the poetical reprefentations of the earlieft writers aggravated by the picture of ruined edifices; nor can we, I imagine, difcover in all antiquity, traces of any other ideas affociated with thefe fpectacies. But melancholy itfelf is a fource of pleafure to a cuitivated mind, and images of grandeur and fublimity rife to the fancy on contemplating the operation of fome mighty caufe, whofe effects do not too nearly intereft us. Hence the refined tafte of modern times occupied at leifure in extracting from every object the whole fum of fentiment it is capable of affording, has attached to ruins a fet of ideas, formerly either little attended to, or overwhelmed by acuter fenfations. Nor have they been only regarded as fentimental objects. The neweft and moft fafhionable mode of confidering them, is with refpect to the place they hold in the piturefque; and it is chiefly under this character that they have become fuch favourites with landfcape painters and landfcape writers.

The pleafing effect of ruins on the eye, may be merely the confequence of their having been parts of a grand or beautiful piece of architecture. The
relics of Grecian temples, and theatres, or of Roman baths and palaces, the tall Corinthian pillars which fupported fome coloffal portico, the long ranks of a broken colonade, the high-roofed cathedral aile, and Gochic window, with its rich compartments and delicate tracery, are all objects on which the nobleft arts have beftowed intrinfic value. They are alfo rarities ; and they form a ftiking contraft with the ruftic and folitary fcenes in which ruins are ufually found. No wonder, then, that the barbarous hand is execrated which levels with the duft the fair remnants of a cultivated age, nor that the cye of tafte and knowledge lingers in filent admiration on thefe gems that glitter amid the defart. In this view, however, ruins have no peculiar value as fuch ; on the contrary, the lefs ruinous, the better; and a remain of antiquity in perfect prefervation is the great cefideratum to the lover of the arts.

But ruins, fill as objets of figbt, are not without beauties peceliarly their own, which render them the favourite fubjects of the percil, and the adrniration of all who travel in fearch of the pirurcfque. According to their feelings, the regular lines of art but ill harmonize with the free ftrokes of nattre ; and in a landfcape they prefer the fick-built hovel and thatched cottage to the neat uniformity of an elecrant manfion. But in ruins, even of the moft regular edifices, the lines are fo foftened by decay or interrupted by demolition ; the ftiffnefs of defign
is fo relieved by the accidental intrufion of fpringing fhrubs and pendant weeds ; that even the richeft decorations of art feem not mifplaced amid the wildnefs of uncaltived nature. This mixture, too, produces fomewhat perfectly fingular; and novelty in itfelf is ever a fource of pleafure. The ivy creeping along gothic arches, and forming a verdant lattice acrois the difmantled cafements ; buhes ftarting through the chaims of the rifted tower, and wild flowers embracing its battlements; are the fantaftic flrokes of nature working upon patterns of art, which all the refinement of magnificence cannot imitate. It is, however, obvious, that for a ruin to be worth preferving as a figure in the landfcape, it muft have belonged to a work of fome grandeur or elegance, and fill exhibit the faded features of thofe qualities. A mere mafs of rugsed mafonry, a cracked gable or tottering wall, can give no other impreffions thain thofe of decay and defolation. They may, indeed, fill be pieizrefque in the literal fanfe of the word; that is, they may with fuitable accompaniments be happily introduced into a piriured landfcape; but this is only a confequence of the imperfection of painting as an imitative art, whereby the harfh and prominent features of deformity are fuftened into eafe and fpirit. Who has not feen an old lime-kiln or dilapidated barn wrought by the hand of a mafter into a ftriking piece of fcenery? Yet, I piefume, no perfon of elegant percentions would choofe to have
fuch real objects confront his eye in the walks which he has led round his cultured domains.

With refpect to the fentimental effects of ruins, they are all referable to that principle of affociation which connects animate with inanimate things, and paft with prefent, by the relation of place. There cannot be finer topics for addreffes to the imagination than this circumftance affords; and poetry and oratory are full of examples of its application. The view of a field of battle in which the fate of a mighty kingdom was decided; of gloomy towers once confcious to deeds of horror ; of ruined palaces, the ancient abodes of fplendour and feftivity ; of deferted towns where fcience and arts formerly flourifhed; of the rooflefs choir and mouldering cloifter, once vocal to pious hymns, or facred to contemplation; cannot but powerfully move every fufceptible breaft. The general fentiment infpired by fuch fcenes is that of the mutability of human affairs; and in certain tempers of the foul, nothing can be fo fweetly foothing as the tender yet elevated melaricholy excited by the contrait of the ipectacle before cu: eyes, and that beheld by the imagination.

There is a mood, (I fing not to the vacaut and the young) There is a k!ndly mood of melancholy, That wings the foul, and points her to the fies i When triouhatom clothos the chat! ö inar,

When age defcends with forrus to the grave, 'Tis fwettly foothing fymputily to pain,
A gently warening cal t, health and eafe. Io: mufical, wen ih-cievouring Time, Here fitting on his throne of ruins hear, While winds and tempefts five-p his various lyre, How fweet thy diapafon Nelancholy!

1) ER, Kuins of Rome.

But to enjoy this ftrain of meditation to advantage, it is neceffary that the place or remain fhould refer to fomewhat really interefting-that the relics fhould be fufficient to afiord fome aid to the fancy -and that the cmotions infpired by the recoliected fcene be of a kind not incongruous with thofe we are likely to briag with us to the fpot. I cannot but fufpect, that the undiftiaguining paffion for ruins is only a proof how little their admirers are in general fentimentally affected by them. A gay party rambling through the walks of a delightful pleafure ground, would find an unpleafant damp friking upon their fpiits on approaching an awful pile of religious ruins, did they re y feel the force of its aficciations. Were they rot capable of gazing at them as mere cbjects of curiofity, they would be fenfible of a certain incongruity of place and occafion. Whilf, on the other hand, the genuine cinld of fancy, often too much difpofed to a mulancholy which our climate and habits of thinking nat urall; favour, mizht be lcd ky fuch an adventitious a:d to inculge his penfive humour to a huttrul excefs.

Upon the principle of affociation it will, however, appear, that the greater part of the relics of antiquity in this country can produce but trifling effects on the heart. The ideas they fuggeft are thofe of furms of life offering nothing dignified or pleafing to the mind. The caftellated maufion of the ancient Baron, of which nothing is left but a fhattered tower, frowning over the fruitful vale, reminds us only of the ftern tyranny, brutal ignorance and g.ofs licentioufnefs, which ftained the times of feudal anarchy. And if we look back to the originai ftate of our ordimary monaftic remains, what fhall we fee, but a fet of beings engaged in a dull round of indolent pleafures, and fuperfitious practices, alike debafing to the heart and underftanding? We are rejoiced that their date is pat ; and we can have little inducement to recal them from that oblivion into which they are defervedly fünk, and which beft accords with their primitive infignificance.

But there is a fet of literati who will regard all that can be faid about the picturefque and fentimental effects of ruins as mere trifing, ard will n̈irect your attention folly to their importance as hiforical records. This weighty topic I fhall not attempt to diicuis at any length. But I may venture to fuggeft, that much of their fuppofed value in this refpe? proceeds from the notion, that what would be of no fort of confequence if modern, acquires importace marely from its antiquity.

In a narrative of the king of Pruffa's campaigns, we are content with tracing all his confiderable actions, and entering into his leading defigns, without attempting to afcertain the precife foot of every encampment, or the fcene of every firmifh. But if the antiquary, from the veftiges of a ditch and remains of a rampart, can render it probable that Agricola in his march againt the Caledonians occupied fuch or fuch an eminence, he felicitates himfelf as the difcoverer of a fact of high moment, and paffes, among his brethren, as a moft able and ingenious elucidator of the early hifory of Britain. Now, this is fo harmlefs a piece of literarj parade, that it may be fpared a rigorous ferutiny. But, in return, the farmer fhould be ailowed an equal attention to the improvement of his land, and not be treated as a barbarian if he indiftinguifhably levels both sallum and fofla with his plough.

Since the art of writing has fubfifed, all the important tranfactions of civilized nations have been tranfmitted in the page of the hitorian, with a copiouinefs and accuracy fo infinitely fuperior to what can be done by monumental remains, that the utmoft we can expect to gain from the ftudy of the latter with this view, is the obfcure intimation of fome fact, thrown afide, as it were, by the cotemporary chronicler, as not worth the pains cf recording. Whether in the prefent flate
of knowledge it be an object of importance to collect fcraps and rubbifi which were rejected in their day even by monks and friar3, I leave you to determine for yourfelf.

Farewel!

## ( 208 )

## LETTER XXV.

## REMARKS ON AN ARGUMENT IN FAVOUR OF THZ EEALITY CE SZEGTRAL APPEARANCES.

```
LEAR SON,
```

IIN a former letter I hinted to you, that fuperftitious belief was yet very far from being banifhed from this country; and that there was a difpofition in fome perfons, far removed from the vulgar, to favour it. The late Dr. Johnfon was of this number. A narrow ediucation and native glcom of temper, might probably be the circumfances which or:ginally enflaved his ftrong mind to the terrors of fupertition; but I am convinced that he alfo fuppofed a connexion to exift between the belief of fupernatural events in general, and thofe on which the evidence of revelation is founced; and therefore was theoretically as well as practically credulous. This appears from the arguments he frequently employed in fupport of fuch pretended events; by which we know that he was able to make an imprefiion oan the minds of fome of his

Pubmiffive followers, whatever were their effects on his own. One of thefe, in favour of the reality of apparitions of the dead, which he feems to fanction by putting it in the mouth of the Sage in his Rajelas, has a popular plaufibility well calculated to give it weight. As it is alfo of a general nature, and applicable to a variety of illufions which have impofed on the credulity of mankind, I think it worthy of a particular examination.
" That the dead are feen no more, faid Imlac, I " will not undertake to maintain, againft the con"s current and unvaried teftimony of all ages and " nations. There is no people, rude or learned, " among whom apparitions of the dead are not " related and believed. This opinion, which per" haps prevails as far as human nature is diffufed, " could become univerfal only by its truth : thofe " that never heard it of one another, would not have " agreed in a tale which nothing but experience " could make credible."

Refpecting this argument of the univerfality of an opinion, it may be faid, that as there are many truths which it greatly helps to confim, fo, maty errors have at all times taken fhelter under it. The caufe of this diverfity it is of importance to exanine.

That a great part of mankind acree in giving eredit to a thing, even though it be fomezhat which comes under their perfonal cbfervation, witl de a very flight argument of its tisth, provided
there be a manifeft fource of error in the cafe, which is of a nature to operate equally upon all. Thus, the once univerfal and ftill common notion, that the earth is ftationary, while the fun and other luminaries move round it, is not in the leaft flrengthened by the rumbers who adopt it, fince all have formed their belief upon the very fame teftimony, that of their fenfes, which is liable to the fame error in all as in one. The fame may be efferted of the fuppofition of a fupernatural voice fpeaking in thunder ; of lightning being the weapon of an angry Deity; of the place of future punifhment being a dark cavern under ground; and of various other opinions in which uniform affocistions of ideas have occafioned uniform deduetions. To apply this principle in the prefent cafe. When mankind, from whatever caufes, had admitted the belief of a ftate of exiltence continued beyond the prefent life, they mut have endeavoured to form fome conception of the mode of that exiftence. Now, as the body lay before their eyes, a lifclefs mafs, or was deftroyed by fire, corruption, or other material agents, they mult neceffarily have had recourle to fome fubfance of a rarer and fubtler texture, which efcaping from this grofs and periffable part, might cariy with it fuch impreffed marks and qualities, as would preferve the ftamp of perfonal identity. How metaphyfical foever this procefs of thin!liag may appear, it mult actuaily have been gone through by the medet people, if they thoughe
at all on the fubject. Further; that form and fogare were capable of being impreffed upon matter of much greater tenuity than their own bodies, they mutt experimentally have known, from the familiar inftances of Jaadorws, and the reflexion of their image from water or mirrors. In thefe cafes they would plainly perceive, that a jomething, refembling themfelves, might, in fome meafure, ftand apart from their bodies.

Thus, I conceive, it almoft neceffarily happened, that all nations formed fimilar ideas of the corporeal attributes of thofe who had paffed through death without total extinction of being, It was no longer grofs body in which they were clad:-that, it was manifett, was left behind. But as, in thinking of the dead, it was impoffible to abitract from them fhape, lineaments, looks, and geftures, thefe properties were annexed to a thin, airy, or fhadowy body, which, while it might be an object of fighb, and perhaps to bearing, was none to the touch.

Ter conatus ibi collo dare brachia circum, Ter fruitra comprenfa manus effugit imago, Par levibus ventis, volucrique fimillima fornno.


Then thrice around his neck his arms he threw, And thrice the flitting fhadow flip'd away, Like winds, or empty dreams that fly the day.
Dryden.

This uniformity of conception refpecting men in another ftate of exifterice being eftablifhed, it is, I imagine, an eafy ftep to the fuppofition of their fenfible appearance under fach a form. Reveries and dreams of the fancy in perfons of heated imaginations are fo extremely like realities, that they are readily taken for fuch. A mourning mother, filled with the vivid image of her loft child, might eafily, in the dark and filent hours of night, when juft finking into difturbed flumber, imagine that the beloved form actually ftood before her. The long-revered face of an aged parent, might be fancied to clothe itfelf in a vifible garb of light, in order to confole, admonifh, or inform the troubled and folitary child. Still more readily, the murderer, appaled by confcious guilt, and in continual dread of an avenger, might body forth the mangled corple of the fain, to upbraid him with terrific looks and gefures for the bloody deed. All this appears to me fo perfectly natural, and fo correfpondent to the univerfal hiftory of the human mind, that I only wonder fo ferw perfons, among thofe who are thoroughly perfuaded of the reality of apparitions, can be met with, who pretend themfeives to have been witneffes of them. And furely, the gradual diminution of thefe fuppofed events, now amounting in enlighted countries almoft to a total ceffation, is a much ftronger argument againit them, than the moft general concurrence in their belief among ignorant and credulous peon!e, can be in their favour.

In the deep windings of the grove, no more 'The hag obfcene, and grifly phantom dwell; Nor in the fall of mountain ftream, or roar Of winds, is heard the angry fpirit's yell; No wizard nutters the tremendous Spell, Nor finks convulive in prophetic fwoon; Nor bids the noife of drums and trumpets fwell, To eafe of fancied pangs the labouring moon, Or chafe the flade that blots the blazing orb cfnoon.
Minstrel.

Of the various fupertitions which the poet here reprefents as put to flight by Reafon, fome have been nearly as univerfal as the belief of apparitions of the dead ; yet it will not, furely, be now afferted of them, that they have "become univerfal by their truth." ${ }^{*}$

It may be further obferved, that with regrard to fuppofed feectral appearances, the idea of them has, in different countries and ages, received fuch variations, as might be expected from the operation of the fancy modified by variety of circumftances. One remarkable diverfity is, that fimilar things are reprefented as paffing in a vifion and in reality; and fometimes it is not eafy to fay which of the two is intended. The famous defcent of Eneas, after all the difcuffion of critics, remains liable to a doubt of this kind. It is, however,

* Cicero adduces this very fame arguinent of the univerfality of belief as an indubitable proof of the veracity of the Delphic Oracle.-DEDIVIN. lib. I.
clearly in a vifion that Eneas is alarmed by the flade of Hector announcing the irruption of the Greeks into Troy; and that he is admoniffed by the menacing form of his father Anchifes to relinquifh Dids. On the other hand, Dido herfelf, at the dead of night, but not in her fleep, hears voices calliag upon her from her hufband's tomb; and the real fhade of Creufa, in a form larger than life, appears to confole Eneas. Ovid, in his beautiful itory of Ceyx and Alcyone, dreffes up a vifionary being in the form of the drowned hufband to acquaint the fleeping wife with his fate. She ftarts awake; and, as the poet very naturally defcribes it, looks round for the image fhe had juft feen before her.
—————et primo fi fit circumfpicit illic Qui modo vifus erat.
Mгт. 天I. 9;3.

This circumftance points out the origin of many of thefe delufions of fancy. The mind ftrongly impreffed with an image which has been haunting it during fleep, is fcarcely able to difpel the phantom, whillt the violent emotion which roufes from fleep, ftill, in the midft of darknefs and folitude, keeps pofieffion of the feelings. The fenfation on waking from a dream of this kind refembles the tingling of a bell after the ftroke, or the flafh in the clofed eye which has been gazing at the fun. The impreffion for a time continues, but with lefs and lefs force in proportion to the diftance from its original fource,

It would be eafy to multiply inftances in which the poets, thofe faithful recorders of popular fupertitions, have thus wavered between vifion and reality in their reprefentation of the commerce with aerial beings.

Variations in the fuppofed form and manner under which the dead have appeared, and in the purpofe of their apparition, will be found in all nations, correfponding to the manners, religious fyitem, and natural fcenery, of each country. Thus, fome hear the fhriek of ghofts in the howling form, fee them ftalk gigantic in the grey mift upon the hill, and recognize their voices cheering the hounds through the dark foreft, or over the wild heath. Others behold them clad in complete armour, minsling in the fhock of battle, and announcing to the hero his approaching fate. Where the want of funeral rites was confidered as the greateft of evils, the departed fipirit was feen naked, fiivering, and with piteous looks and accents earnefly requefting the boon of a little earth to cover its bedily remains. Later fyitems have prefented cherubic forms of embodied light, haggard fhades blackened with infernal fire, and difmal fpectres entreating to be relieved from the torments of purgatory ; and I have heard of a crew of Englifh failors, who were confident they faw their Wapping landlord pafs by them on Mount Vefuvius, and march into hell through a fmoking crevice of the moun tain.

I fhall now leave it to yourflf to determine, whether univerfal truth, or univerfal illufion, is moft likely to affume fuch different garbs; and whether it becomes a man of fenfe and a philofopher, to reverfe the cafe of the appellant from king Philip, and appeal from the world fober and enlightened, to the world ignorant and fanatical ?

## ( 217 )

## LETTER XXVi.

## ON CHEAP PLEASURES.

DEAR SON,

YoOU well know how much in vain philofophers of all ages have endeavoured to detach man from the love of pleafure, and to fix his attention on fome fole and higheft good, which might render all others foreign and fuperfluous. The voice of nature within him has proved too ftrong to be filenced by artificial precepts; and mankind have ever made it a great object of their lives to enjoy as much and as various pleafure as they have been capable of procuring. Taking the word in its large fenfe, and extending the plan of enjoyment far enough, both as to fpecies and duration, I fee no reafon to find fauit with the purpofe ; and I expect no benefit to arife from eflablifhing one fyftem of morals for the fchools, and another for real life. Suppoing, then, the eard of obtaining plea. fure to be, within certain limits, an allowable one, the means are a fit fuoject on which thofe who
are experienced in the world may communicate their obfervations to thofe who have its leffons yet to learn. It is an interefting topic, and its difcunfion is fairly within the compals of human reafon and knowleage.

The advise of contracting our defires, fo much infifted on by all the moral preceptors of antiquity, is a very important one towards the attainment of true felicity. It would, however, be a mitake to fuppofe that the fuppreffion of defire, in itfelf, leads to happinefs. There can be no enjoyments withont defres; for in their gratification, all enjoyment, as well intellectual as fenfual, confifts. Thofe fects, therefore, which infifted on the entiee abolition of defire, as neceffary to happinefs, were influenced by an artificial philofophy, which fet out with mifmderfanding man's real nature and defination. But, on the other hand, unfatisfied defires, or rather, fuch as we have no reafonable profpect of being able to fatisfy, are the fource of the greatent calamitics of life. The true art of haypinefs, thea conffts in proportioning defires to mean, or, in other wods, in arquiring a relifh for prozi raju. pleajures.

There is fearcely a itation in life in which fome atiention to this point is inct neceffary; for defire is as much difoored to excecd the range of prefent enjoyment in the higeft, ss in the lowef. But it is mare peculialy recellayy in thofe conditions, where an enlarged phan of education, and free in-
fercourfe with the fuperior ranks in fociety, have foftered lively ideas of gratifications which fortune commonly refufes the means of obtaining. What are termed the genteel profeffions are eminently of this kind ; and numbers belonging to them pay a fevere tax for the privileges annexed to their fituation, in the perpetual torment of unattainable wifies.

The profeflion you have chofen, my Son, in a peculiar manner forbids indulging thofe defires which are connected with the poffeffion of opulence. To be made happy it is requifite that you flould be made chacifly fo; and I pleafe myfelf with thinking that many fourccs of enjoyment will befully accefible to you, which will fcarcely leave you behind the moft fortunate in the power of fecuring genuize pleafures. Taking for granted that you will feek, and will fint, the highen of all gratifications in the performance of your profeffonal duty, I fhall now fuggett to you fome of thofe voluntary objects of purfuit, which may moft happily empley your leifure.

At the head of all the pleafures which offer themfelves to the man of liberal education, may confidently be placed that derived from looks. In variety, durability, and facility of attaimment, no other can ftand in competition with it; and even in intenfity it is inferior to few. Inagine that we had it in our power to call up the fhades of the greatef and wifert men that cver exifted, and
oblige them to converfe with us on the moft interefting topics-what an ineftimable privilege should we think it !-how fuperior to all common enjoyments! But in a well furnihed library we, in fact, poffefs this power. We can queftion Xenophon and Cæfar on their campaigns, make Demoltheres and Cicero piead before us, join in the audiences of Sccrates and Plato, and receive demonfrations from Euclid and Newton. In bocks we have the choicef thoughts of the ableft men in their beft crefs. We can at pleafure exclude dulnefs and impertinence, and open cur dicors to wit and good fenfe a'cne. It is neeclefs to rereat the high commendations that have been beftowed en the fitely of letters by perfons, who had free accefs to every cther fource of gratification. Inferd of quoting Cicero to you, I hall in plain terms give jou the refult of my own experience on this fubjes. If domefic enjoyments have cortributed in the firt degree to the happinefs of my hife (and I foold be ungrateful nct to acknowlecige that they have), the pleafures of reading have beyond all queftion held the fecond place. Without books I have never been able to pafs a fingle day to my entire fatisfaction: with them, no day has been fo dark as not to have its pleafure. Even pain and ficknefs have for a time been charmed away by them. By the eafy provition of a book in my pocket, I have frequently worn through long nights and cays in the moft difagreeable parts of my
profeffion, with all the difference in my feelings between calm content and fretful impatience. Such occurrences have afforded me full proof both of the poffibility of being cheaply plea ${ }^{\text {ed }}$, and of the corifequence it is of to the fum of human felicity, not to neglect minute attentions to make the moft of life as it paffes.

Reading may in every fenfe be called a chsap amufement. A tafte for books, indeed, may be made expenfive enough; but that is a tafte for editions, biadings, paper and type. If you are fatisfied with getting at the fenfe of an author in forine commodious way, a crown at a ftall will fupply your wants as well as a guinea at a fhop. Learn, too, to diftinguifh between books to be perufed, and books to be pofefed. Of the former you may find an ample ftore in every fubfcription library, the proper ufe of which to a fcholar is to furnifh his mind without loading his fhelves. No apparatus, no appointment of time and place, is neceffary for the enjoyment of reading. Erom the midft of buftle and bufinefs you may, in an inftant, by the magic of a book, plunge into fcenes of remote arges and countries, and difengage yourfelf from prefent care and fatigue. "Sweet pliability of man's fpirit (cries Sterne, on relating an occurrence of this kind in his Sentimental Journey), that can at once furrender itfelf to illulions, which cheat expectation and forrow of their weary mor.ents!"

The next of the procurable pleafures that $I$ thalf point out to yout is that of converfation. This is a pleafure of higher zeft than that of reading; fince in converfing we not only receive the fentiments of cthers, but impart our own ; and from this reciprocation a fpirit and iuterelt arife which books cennot give in an equal degree. Fitnefs for converfation muft depend upon the ftore of ideas laid up in the mind, and the faculty of commusicating them. Thefe, in a great degree, are the refults of education: and the habit of fociety, and to a certain point they are favoured by fuperiority of condition. But this is only to a certain point; for when you arrive at that clafs in which fenfuality, indolence, and diffipation, are foftered by excefs of opulence, you lofe more by: diminifhed energy of mind, than you gain by fuperior refinement of manner and elegance of expreffion. And, indeed, there are numbers of the higher ranks among us, whofe converfation has not even the latter qualities to recommend it; but to poverty of fentiment adds the utmoft coarfenefs of language and behaviour. There is a radical meannefs in debauchery, which ever in the moft elevated conditions of all, communicates the taint of vulgarity. To hear the high-bred party loudly contending in the praifes of their dogs and horfes, and difcuffing gambling queftions, intermixed with grofer topics, you could not poffibly difcover by the Ayle and matter, whether you were liftening to che maners above, or the groome below. It is
by no means unfrequent to find the left company, the zvorjl converfution. Should your character and fituation for ever exclude you from fuch focieties you need not repine at your lofs. It will be amply compenfated by the opportunities you are likely to enjoy of free intercourfe with the moft culcivated and rational of both fexes, among whom decency of manners and variety of knowledge will always be valued, though very moderately decorated with the advantages of fortune.

I would not, however, inculcate too faftidious a tafte with refpect to the fubject and ftyle of converfation, provided it poffefs the effentials of found fenfe and ufeful knowledge. Among thofe who have enjoyed little of the benefit of education, you will often find perfons of natural fagacity and a turn for remark, who are capable of affording both entertainment and inftruction. Who would not wifh to have been acquainted with Franklin when a journeyman printer, even though he had never rifen to be one of the moit diftinguifhed characters of the age? Information, indeed, may be procured from almoft any man in affairs belonging to his particular way of life; and when we fall into company from which little is to be expected with regard to general topics, it is bef to give the converfation a turn towards the technical matters with which they may be acquainted, whence fome profit may be made out of the moft unpromifing materials. Man, toc, in every condition, is as
fubject well worthy of examination; and the fpeculatift may derive much entertainment from obferving the manners and fentiments of all the various claffes of mankind in their feveral occupations and amufements.

Another fource of cheap pleafure is the fiudy of nature. So many advantages with refpect to health, tranquility of mind, ufeful knowledge and inexhauntible amufement, are united in this ftudy, that 1 fhould not fail moft warmly to recommend it to your notice, had you not already acquired a decided tafte for its purfuits. Here, again, I can fpeak from my own experience; for the fludy of Englifh botany caufed feveral fummers to glide away with me in more pure and active delight than almoft any other fingle object ever afforded me. It rendered every ride and walk interefting, and converted the plodding rounds of bufinefs into excurfions of pleafure. From the impreffion of thefe feelings, I have ever regarded as perfectly fuperfluous the pains taken by fome of the friends of natual hiftory, to fnew its utility in reference to the common purpofes of life. Many of their obfervations, indeed, are true, and may ferve to gain patrons for the fudy among thofe who meafure every thing by the ftandard of economical value ; but is it not enough to open a fource of copious and cheap amufement, whicin tends to harmonize the mind, and elevate it to worthy conceptions of nature and its author ? If I offer a man happinefs at an eafy
rate, unalloyed by any debafing mixture, can I confer oa him a greater bleffing ? Nothing is more favourable to enjoyment than the combination of bodily exertion and ardour of mind. This, the refearches of natural hiftory afford in great perfection : and fuch is the immenfe variety of its objefte, that the labours of the longef life cannot exhault them.

The ftudy of nature is in itfelf a cheap fudy; yet it may be purfued in a very expenfive manner, by all the apparatus of cabients, purchafed collections, prints and drawings. But if yot will content yourfelf with the great book of nature and a few of its ablef expofitors, together with the riches your own induftry may accumulate, you will find enough of it within your compafs to anfwer all reafonable purpoles of inflruction and amufement. We are both aequainted witiz an excellent naturalif,*" who, by a proper application of the time and money he has. been able to fpare out of a common writing fehool, has made himpelf the poffeffor of more curious and accurate knowledge than falls to the lot of many owners of the moft conlly treafures. The recollection of his modeft merit and fcientific content will ever, I am fure, endear to you thefe fertile fores of cheap delight.

A tafte for the fublime and beatifuil of nature, as exhibited in her larger works, and refulting from the varied combinations of her external forms, is alfo productive of many exquifite pleafures, which few

* Mir. Wigg of Yarmonth.
perfons are at all times precluded from enjoying. To feel thefe in a fupreme degree, a mind enriched by literature and expanded by fancy and reflection is neceffary ; and, in particular, a high relih for poetry is almoft an effential accompaniment. Much pains do not feem requifite in cultivating this fpecies of enjoyment, for it obtrudes itfelf unfought upon every elegant mind, and the danger is, left the defire fhould too foon exhauit its objects. More uneafy longings after what lay beyond my reach, have preyed upon my imaghation on reading defcriptions of the ftriking fcenes of nature vifited by travellers, than on reflecting on all the other advantages which fortune and leifure have to beftow. Yet, ceriainly, I would not wifh to have been lefs fenfible than I am to this fource of pleafurable emotions. They may be rendered more ditinct aad varied, by calling in a tafte for what is properly termed the picturefque, or a reference of the natural fcene to its imitations and improvements by the pencil. But this I conceive to be almoft neceffarily connected with practical fkill in the art of painting ; and unlefs it were made fubfervient to the purpofes of this art, I fhould apprehend that more might be loft by opening an inlet to fafidious nicety, than would be gained by viewing things with a more learncd eyc.

This remark would naturally lead me to confider the pleafures to be derived from the practice of ornamental arts, and from the contemplation of their productions in others. But though I am fuily fenfible $\boldsymbol{u}_{\text {s }}$ the pleafing addition thefe make to the general
itock of human enjoyment, yet with refpect to moft individuals, they fcarcely come within the catalogue of cheap pleafures. A tafte for them mult be formed early in life, muft be cultivated with much affiduity, and at confiderable expence both of time and money. They are not of ail times and places, but require apparatus and opportunity. They are with difficulty kept within bounds, and are continually difpofed to defert the eafy and fimple, in purfuit of what is more complex and elaborate. A tafte for mufic appears to me, as far as I can judge from obfervation alone, to be eminently of this kind. Where it is marked out by nature, as in fome cafes it manifefly is, and can be cultivated early and advantageoufly, it is capable, I doubt not, of affording the moft exquifite delights ; but then it will probably take place of all other ornamental acquirements. And though fuch a facrifice may be worth making under the circumftances defcribed, yet to make it with a view of creating a taite for any purfuit merely amufive, is, I think, to eftinate falfely the value of things. If, however, experience fhews that mufical pleafures may be enjoyed in moderation, and fo as to make an agreeable variety, without occupying the place of any thing preferable, my objections are at an end. The fame may be faid of drawing, and various other taftes and acquifitions, concerning which, accident and inclination, if regulated by prudence, may be fuffered to determine the choice.

I have now, I think, pointed out to you fources which will fupply fufficient materials of eafily procurable pleafure, if you bring to them what is abfolutely effential to the fuccefs of any external means of happinefs-a mind in harmony with itfelf. This, nothing but confcious worth and virtue can beftow. This, "tibi ipfe parabis."

Farewel!

## IETTER XXVIF.

ON ATTACHAENT TO COENTRY.

You,myfelf, that one of the earlieft paffions which difclofes itfelf in a courfe of liberal education, is Patriotifin. In the moral fyftem of the Greeks and Romans, love to country food fo high in the clafs of duties, that he who reads their writers, and is imprefled with admiration of their illu?rious characters, cannot fail of regarding it as one of the qualities which moft ennobles a man. I wall recollect the period, when Atories of Curtii and Decii, and the lofty fentences of orators and poets, inculcating the mof devoted attachment to country, kindled a flame of enthufiaftic rapture in my breaft ; and I verily believe there was nothing in which I could not have imitated the great exemplars of this virtue. Every thing in a youth which carries him out of felf, and difpofes him to make facrifices to principle, deferves encouragement ; but when a duty becomes a paffion, it is ever ready to pafs its bounds, and encroach
upon fome other duty equally facred. In my own cafe, I confefs that I was difpofed to go all the lengths of a true Roman ; and that the glory and intereft of my country became in my eyes paramount to all confiderations of general juftice and benevolence. I adopted in its full meaning the term natural enemies, and in confequence (as thefe fentiments were imbibed during the courfe of a widely-extended war in which we were engaged) heartily hated a great portion of mankind. I am at prefent flocked at the extremes io which I was carried by this firit, which cortainly was not derived from parental inftruction and example. But it will ferve to illuffrate the power of carly imprefions ; and alfo to prove, that the imagination being fo much more concerned than the reafon in forming thofe imprefions, it is of the higheit importance in education that proper objects fhould be put in its way. The influence of thefe afociations continued with me after better principles ought to have taken its place ; and national prejudices of every fort had a long reign over my mind.

Circumftances have probably operated in a different manner upon your feelings; but where a point of great confequence to the formation of character is concerned, it is not right to truf to their cafual operation. Let us cxamine, then, if we cannot difcover fome determinate principles to regulate our attachment to country. Thcre are two ways in which this arection may exert an in-
fluence over us;-2s it fways our opinions, and as it directs our conduct.

The opinions of men are perpetually at the mercy of their pafions. Efteem and contempt run parallel with love and hatred ; and it is as hard to find merit in a foe, as to difcover defects in a friend, or, ftill more, in ourfelves. But opinions thus biaffed are in reality prejudices, and he whofe purpofe is the purfuit of truth, cannot too foon get rid of them. In the comparative eftimate commonly made of our own and other countries, the groffef of partialities prevail, which, though they may occafionally prove ufeful to the community, yet are always degrading to the individual. Lord Chefterfield, in a paper in the Wrid, on the ufe of prejudices, introduces an honef cobler: who, among other fimilar opinions, entertains a fuil perfuafion that one Englifhman can beat three Fenclmen; and his Lordhip afks, if it would be right to attempt convincing him that this is an erroneous notion. I fhall not meddle with this quettion ; I fall only fay, that I do not wifh yous to be the cobler. I know, however, feveral perfons, much above his condition, nay even men of learning and talents, who eftimate in nearly the fame ratio our faperiority over other nations, in fcience, literature, and every other valuable endowment. It is common to fay, I am proud of being a:a Englfhman. This is an accurate expreffion, for the emotion of pride has a great concern
in thefe fentiments. In valuing our country, we fet a value upon ourfelves; and flight grounds fe:ve us for affertiag a pre-eminence in which we perfonally partake. But for that very reafon, we ought to fufpect the validity of our conclufions, efpecially when we fee the univerfai propenfity to thefe local preferences, which cannot all be wellfounded. Ordinary writers cannot compofe a hifory of the town or county in which thicy were born, or the fchool where they learned their grammar, without many ridiculous attempts to give them extraordinary comequence. Waving been confderably converfant with topographical publizations, I have had an eppoitunity of obferving the workings of this iittle fpirit in all its modes; and nothing lias contributed more to make me folicitous in cictecting my own prejuciices, and labouring for their removal.

If, then, afeer a fober and accurate enquiry, you foould find reafon to conclude that your ccuntry does not fo mach excel all others in learnine iadufuy, and liberality as you were inclined to fuppofe, let no prepoffefion in its favour becauie it is yours, prevent you from admitting the fact with all its confequences. Rather try to fearch out the coufes which may have impeded our procrefs, or even occafioned a retrograde motion;-and doubt not that you will thereby render yourdels a better friend to your country, as well as a wifer main, than if you were to perfere:e in fupporting a flatitering dclubion.

Let me, however, warn you (and myfelf at the fame time) that there is an oppofite fource of error. Circumftances may put us in a temporary ill humour with our country; and as the quarrels of kindred are the moft inveterate, we may indulge too bitter a refentment on the occafion. In this ftate of mind, we fhall be apt to depreciate her advantages, and think worfe of her in every refpect than fhe deferves. In the comparifon with other countries, we fhall look at her defects alone, and give her rivals credit for more excellence than they really poffefs. This is not only a very unpleafant difpofition to ourfelves and others, but leads to error as certainly as the oppofite temper. Of one thing, too, we may be well affured-that a country in which our language, habits, and modes of living and thinking have been formed, is better qualified to make $u s$ happy, than another which may be intrinfically preferable; and therefore the opinions that we have imbibed in its favour are not, with refpect to ourfelves, errors. If the Greenlander's chief delights are feal firhing and eating whale's fat, he does right in refufing to exchange his icy region for a climate more bleffed with folar infiuence.

If we now proceed to confider the conduce that a reafonable attachment to country fhould preferibe, I queftion not but we fhall perfectly agree in the mural principles by which it is to be regulated. It canot be doubted, that by the diftribu-
tion which Providence has made of mankind into feparate communities, connested in a peculiar manner by ties of mutual advantage, a correfpondent limitation of the focial duties in their general courfe was intended. Our powers of action being confined, the fphere in which they operate mult alfo have its boundaries. Country is the wideit extent to which moft men can diffufe the influence of their conduct. We are therefore bound firlt and preferably to promote the welfare of our country, becaufe we can promote it to more effect than that of any other. But this, I think, is not the only fource of our obligations to patriotifm.

The debt of gratitude which we have incurred to our country has been very differently eftimated by different moralits; nor, perhaps, is it eafy to lay down any univerfal rule for calculating it. That we have breathed her common air, and been received upon her bofom, feems no great matter for obligation-it is rather a debt owing to the Author of Nature, than to her. The nurture and education we have had, are, in moft cafes, the gift of our parents, who have perhaps employed their utmoft exertions to procure them for v3. We have been protected by the public force ; but of this force we ourfleses, either by our perions or contributions, have formed a part; and if we have only been fecured in the enjoyment of fich advantages as the labour of our head or hands naghe
reafonably entitle us to, we may fairly be reckoned to have balanced accounts with our country. Thofe, indeed, who poffefs advantages much beyond the common fhare, for which they contribute nothing adequate in return, and which are held merely through favour of their country's inflitutions, feem to cwe it peculiar fervice and attachment. They are penfioners of the ftate, and are in honour bound to exert themfelves in a particular manner for its benefit. The foil which feeds them, as it nourihes the untoiling race of vegetables, may claim their arms at all times for its defence. But it feems enough that one who has done as much for fociety, as fociety for him, fhould comply with thofe conditions, which the laws under which he continues to live, impofe upon him.

Thefe views of the fubject are, I think, juf, if country be regarded in the abitract, as a kind of geographical idea perfonified; or if a community be confidered as an affemblage of men, totally unconnected in every other refpeet, than the purpofe for the fake of which they have formed their union. But is it not in fact fomething more? Does not country comprehend all thofe individuals to whom we lie under every obligation that one human being cas incur to another? Cicero fays, finely and jufly, " Omnes omnium caritates patria una complexa eft." I may owe nothing to England, but I owe every thing to Englifhmen. When I reflect, that there fcarcely cxilts on earth an object of my affection and
gratitude which this ifland does not contain, and that all their particular interefts are involved in its general intereft, can I doubt that here the active duties of my life are centered, and that I ought to wifh for, and by all juftifiable means to promote, the happinefs of thofe who inhabit this fpot of the globe? Thus, the patriotifm that I loft by placing it on too extenfive but unfound a foundation, I recover again by narrowing and ftrengthening its bafis. It re-appears, indeed, in a form fomewhat different. It no longer makes me folicitous for laurels and trophies to decorate the Genius of Britain; for well I know how dearly they are paid for out of the comforts of individuals. Still lefs does it prompt me to wifh fuccefs to its unjuft projects; for I would not defire that my beft friend fhould thrive by fuch means. But it makes me ardently defirous of my country's improvement in knowledge, virtue, freedom, and the arts of peace; for every advance in theie refpects mult be of real benefit, not only to a large number of my fellowcreatures, but to that portion of them which includes all whom I love.

If you feel inclined to propofe the queftion, What, upon this fyltem, would become of your patriotifm flould the majority of your friends be compelled to migrate into another land ?-I will anticipate it by freely confeffing, that the fentiment would follow then--" Ubi cor, ibi patria." But fuch an event is inconceivable, unlćss fuch principles and practices foould come to prevail here, as would juftify not only
indifference, but averfion, to a felf-degradud country. I think I could, without murmuing, or a wifh to defert my native foil, fubmit to the neceffary diffreffes brought on by a decline of its profperity, though originally occafioned by its own fault, provided it were attended with juft fentiments, and melioration of character. But if it fhould grow more unprincipled as more diftrefed, and take refuge from the evils of politicai diffenfion in voluntary blindnefs and flavery, I fhould think every bond cancelled which attached individuals to fuch a community.

But I will not conclude with fo inaufpicious a fuppofition. I rather hope that we fhall be permitted to love and efteem our country, as much from reafon, as we have done from habit and prejudice. Such, I am fure, muft be the wifh of every good heart.

Adien!

## ( 238 )

## LETTER XXVIII

## QN INDEPENDENCE.

## DEAR SON,

0
NE of the principal purpofes I had in view when I pointed out to you the fources of cheap pleafure, was to lay a foundation for your independence in life. This invaluable poffefion, which fo many avow to be the great object of their lives, yet which fo few attain, is well worthy of being made the topic of a feparate letter.

Let us firt confider how far the idea of independence can be reafonably carried. It was, you know, the boaft of ancient philofophy, that by following its precepts, men might attain a felicity over which nothing external had power; and in the high-flown language of Stoicifm, the truly wife man was reprefented as equally fufficient for his own happinefs with the Gods themfelves. If this affertion, when accurately examined, had lefs of impiety than at firft fight appears (fince it was founded rather on the imagined elevation of the
human mind to an unattainable degree of perfection, than on a debafement of the divine mind), it was, however, chargeable with originating in falfe conceptions by the nature and condition of man. In fact, we are incapable, by our utmof efforts, of raifing ourfelves above the influence of contingencies, and the moft effential comforts of our exiftence will ever be greatly dependent on things evitbout ourfelves. After all the deductions that the moral fatirit could make from our defires on account of their vanity, he could not deny, that the "found mind in a found body" was a fit object of petition, fince we could not fecure it for ourfelves. It is further certain, that the focial and domeftic pleafures, thofe pureit and mof fatisfactory of all delights, next to that of confcious virtue, are all at the mercy of the perfons with whom we live. With how fmall a fare of bodily comforts life might fubfit, and ftill be worth pofeffing, we have not been in the way of trying ; but certainly we are not prepared to refign with indiference thofe we enjoy; and yet their continuance does not abfolutcly depend upon ow own efforts. No man, therefore, friatly fpeaking, is independent. The author of our being has connected us by mutual wants to each other ; and haz given no one the power of faying, I will be happy in fite of my fellow creatures. Experience, hewever, fhews, that fome men are in a ligh degree independent compared to cthers; and fiom a fuperio-
rity in this refpect arife fome of the nobleft prerogatives of the human character.

That man may be faid to enjoy independerce relatively to other men, who wants nothing which they can withhold. If either his utility to them is fuch as to command all the return from them that he wifhes, or if what they have to beftow is a thing on which he fets no value, he is in every ufeful fenic independent on them. And if this be his fituation with refpect to the world in generul, he is fo far independent on the world. Now, an independence of this kind has ineftimable advantages. It makes a man walk through life erect and fearlef3, beftows on him all due liberty of fpeaking and acting, levels before him all the artificial diftintions which keep one human being at a diftance from mother, and by procuring him his own refpect, goes a great way in acquiring for him that of others, or enables him to difpenfe with it. He who is independent cannot be greater. He looks down on the moft profperous of thofe, who in the purfuit of wealth and honour enflave themfelves to the will of another, and feels an internal dignity to which they can never arrive. In order to induce bim to act in any particular manner, his reafon muft be conrinced, or his good will conciliated; whereas the bare command of a fuperior is to them a fufficient motive. The imperious necefities which confrain them on every fide, have no force upon him. When Whifton, in the honeft fraiz-
nefs of his heart, reproached Sir Richard Steele with giving a vote in parliament contrary to his declared opinion, "Mr. Whitton (faid Sir Richard), you can walk on foot, but I cannot." This was a fair confeffion of inferiority; and after it, if Steele riding in his chariot could for an inftant fancy himfelf greater than Whiton on foot, he deferved to forfeit all title to a place among the liberal and enlightened fpirits of his time. Whiton, doubtlefs, knew how to eftimate bim. "Poor man! (would he probably fay, on feeing him drive by) how low have your avaits reduced you!"* Horace has atoned for all his adulation by the independent fpirit which continually breaks forth in his works, and which led him, in one of his epitles to Mxcenas, very plainly to hint that he was ready to refign all he had conferred upon him, rather than give up his free-agency.

Hac ego fi compellar imagine, cun fa religno.
But I need not longer dwell upon the value of

* Whifon was probably in another fenfe the moit mdepeadent of the two. The poct Linieres, fays Menaçe, being reproached with always walking on foot, replied extempore in the following epigran.

Je vois dilituftres cavaliers Avec laquais, carolfe \& pares ; Mais ils doivent leurs equipages, Et je ne dois pas mes fouliers.
independence; let us proceed to enquire how it is to be obtained.

In the firft place, it certainly is not the neceflary refuit of a man's abfolute fituation in life. Raife lis rank and fortune as high as you pleafe, if his ambition, avarice, or love of pleafure, rife beyond them, he becomes as dependent as the wretch who reccives his daily bread at the will of a mafter. Nay, fo much does the habit of looking fur remote and eiaborate fources of enjoyment gain upon the difpofition, and furpafs all common means of gratification, that the highef rauks have in amoft all countries been ditinguifned by their furerior fervility. In the mof brilliant periods of the French monarchy, there was not a perfon of quality whore whole exifence did not depend upon the nod of the court; and thouch almoft uncontroled lords of wide comains abounding with delights, a cold look at the levee froze evcry fpring of pleafure in their fouls. That a man was nothing in France but for the king, and by the king, (four le roi, et par le roi) came to be the received maxinn and no methods were thought too mean for the haughtieft of mortals to enploy, in order to preforve their intereft at court. Veyy vain, therefore, it is to propofe indepencerce as the prize of a life frent in the fucceffel pracice of "focoping to rife." The objeet is loft in the purfuit, for its true feat is in the mind.

To be content with a little, and to fecure trat litule by the exertions of ufful indufyy, is the
only certain method of becoming independent. Both thefe points mult concur; for neither can the wants of life, however few, be fupplied by ourfelves without induftry; nor can this quality alone procure content. The Indian fakeer who fits all day with his arms indifflubly knit, to receive the food that devotees put into his mouth, is no more independent than the butling mifer of Horace, who runs to the Indies through fear of the demon of poverty. Thofe, however, who have made the cultivation of their miuds the great o! ject of life, have chiefy purfued the plan of contracting the: defires, and forcing nature to be fatisfied with as few things as poffible; for confidering all the time as loit which was fpent upon provicing for bodily wants, they beran with bringing thefe into the fmailet compafs in their power. This was the dilcipline of the mot celebrated among the ancient philofophers, of which your reading will fuggeft tu you many remarkable examples. Some were, no doubt, aduated by vanity in this matter, and made an oftentaticus difplay of their fuperiority to common wants and defires; yet it cannot be denied, that the higheft characters of antiquity, men who not only harangued in the fchools, but acted upon the grent theatre of the world, were much indebted to habits of abftimence and frugality for their greatnefs. Many of the moft illuftrious Greeks, and all the Romans of the firtt ages, were rendered fuperior to the allurements of profperity and the threats
of adverfty, by the poffeffion of an independence of mind founded on the abftemious virtues.

The afcetics of the Chriftian church have perliaps carried this plan farther than any of the heathen philofophers; and though the general principle of thefe mortifications has been abject fuperfition, yet they have enabled fome of the more active among the monafic orders to overcone difficulties in the way of their religious zeal, which the mot arcent courage, not inured to fuch dificipline, muft have funt under. Individuals in inefe focietiss, confding in their ability of fuftaining all the hardhips that men any where fuftain, and of fubfiting upon as little as they any where fubfit upon, have penetrated in their mifions into regions inacceffible to other natives of a civilized countr, and have ftruck ceen farages with admiration of their patience and temperance. Even in the midf of power and fplendour, fome of them, like Ximenes, have practifed the auitere regimen of the cloifer; and therce have been capable of defying every thing that a change of fortune could inflit upon them. How many at this day are probably receiving the benefit of habits of enjoying life upon a little! It is unpleafant to refiect, that a ciafs of men who have been able to free themfelves to fuch a degree from fubjection to corporeal demands, fhonid yet fubmit without refin. ance to the molt imperious defpotifm exercifed over their minds.

But it would be abfurd to propofe to one who is deftined to live in cultivated fociety, and to form a part of it, an independence founded on renunciation of the common comforts and pleafures of life. Had you ftrength of mind to attain to this, I certainly fhould not wifh it for you, unlefs it were neceffary to enable you to accomplifh fome point of high utility to mankind-which, in your cafe, is a very improbable fuppofition. But what I do wih, is, that you may as much as pofible become the mafter of your own happinefs-that you may ever value that true dignity of character which confifts in the free affertion of principle, beyond all the petty objects of gratification to which it is fo commonly facrificed-and that you be content with fuch a fhare of the goods of fortune, as your induftry and ufefulnefs may fairly purchafe. I do not defire for yoy that proud independence of fpirit which is difpofed to reject as an infult the kind offices of honourable friendihip. You will, I truft, poffefs qualifications which may entitle you to thefe, withonit incurring a debt of gratitude beyond the power of equally honourable fervices to repay. And it has ever been my fentiment, that one who is ready to confer benefits on his inferiors in condition, needs not, ray has no right, to fcruple acsepting them from his fuperiors. Every generous mind feels that no pleafure equals that of conferring favours on the deferving : this pleafure, thercfore, as it is cagerly coveted, fhould
be cheerfully imparted. With refpect to your prow feffional labours, there is little doubt that they will be worthy of their reward. Whatever additional adrantages your fituation may afford you, it will, I hope, be in your power to compenfate for them by additional exertions to befow pleafure and profit on thofe with whom you are connected. Many animating examples will prefent themfelves to you, of perfons in your fation, beloved, refpected, and ferved, who have yet never in their lives derogated from a manly independence of character. But all thefe have been perfons of moderate defires, as well as of active induftry. And from every thing I have feen of the world, I am convinced, that more is to be done towards obtaining happinefs in general, and its precious ingredient, freedom of action, in particular, by contracting the bounds of our wifhes, than by the utmoit extenfion of our powers in flling a plan of unlimited erjoyment. This, I believe, is not 'fafhionable doctrine; but it is that which the experience of my own heart fuggefts. It would too, I am fure, have been fupported by the fuffrage of your grandfather,* whofe memory I know you fo jufly revere. Though by no means what is called a high-pirited man, he preferved daring life an honourable independence, by the fimple method of making notling effential to his happinefs which did not come within the reach of

[^0]his ufful and low-priced fervices. I wifh you better health, frorger spirits, and perhaps more encouragement from the world, than he had; more knowledge, fuperior talents, higher worth, and a more truly philofophic temper, I need not wifh you, though paternal affection is little inclined to be a niggard in its wifhes.

Adieu!

## ( 248 )

## LETTER XXIX.

## ON THE CHOICE OF A WIFE.

DEAR SON,

THERE is no fpecies of advice which feems to come with more peculiar propriety from parents to childrert, than that which refpects the marriage Itate; for it is a matter in which the firf muft have acquired fome experience, and the laft cannot. At the farne time, it is found to be that in which advice produces the leaft effect. For this, various caufes may be affigned; of which, no doubt, the principal is, that paffion commonly takes this affair under its management, and excludes reafon from her fhare of the deliberation. I am inclined to think, however, that the neglect with which admonitions on this head are treated, is not unfrequently owing to the manner in which they are given, which is often too gencral, too formal, and with too little accommodation to the feelings of young perfons. If, in defcanting a little upon this fubject, I can awoid thefe errors, I flatter myfelf
you are capable of befowing fome unforced attention to what an affectionate defire of promoting your happinefs, in fo effeatial a point, may prompt.

The difference of opinion between funs and fathers in the matrimonial choice may be flated is. a fingle pofition-that the former have in their minds the firt month of marriage, the latter, the whols of its duration. Perhaps you will, and with juftice, deny that this is the difference between us two, and will affert that you, as well as $I$, in thinking of this connexion, reflect on its lafting confequences. So much the better! We are then agreed as to the mode in which it is to be confiderec, and I have the acivantage of you only in experience and more extenfive obfervation.

I need fay little as to the thare that perional charms ought to have in fixing a choice of this kind. While I readily admit, that it is defirable, that the object on which the eyes are moft frequently to diveil for a whole life, fhould be ant agreeable one ; you will probably as freely acknowledge, than more than this is of too fanciful and fugitive a nature to come into the computation of permanent enjoyment. Perhaps in this matter I might look more narrowly for you, than you would for yourfelf, and require a fuitablenefs of years and vigour of conftitution, which might continue this advantage to a period that you do not yet contemplate. But dropping this fart of
the fubjeet, let us proceed to confider the two mains points on which the happinefs to be expected from a female affociate in life mut depend-her quaiifications as a companior, and as a belper.

Were you engaged to make a voyage round the world on the condition of tharing a cabin with an vaknown meffmate, how folicitous would you be to difcorer his charater and difpoficion before jou ftt fall! If, on enquiry, he fhould prove to be a perfon of good fenfe and cultivated manners, and efpecially of a temper inclined to pleafe and be pleafed, how fortunate would you think yourfelf! Bu: if in adaition to this, his taites, ftudies, and opiuions, thould be found conformable to yours, yurr fatisfaction would be complete. Ycu cculd not doubt that the cicoumitance wlich brought you tojether, would lay the foundation of an intimate and delightful friendinip. On the other hand, if he were reprefented, by thofe who thoroughly knew him, as wcak, igrorant, obttinate and quarrelifome, of manners and difpofitions tozally oppofite to your own, you would probably rather give up your project, than fubmit to live fo many menths confined with fuch an affociate.

Apply this comparifon to the domeftic compan:on of the voyage of life-the intimate of all hours-the partaker of all fortunes-the farer in pain and pleafure-the mother ard inftructrefs of your offspring. Are you not ftrack with a fenfe of the infinite confequence it muft be of
to you, what are the qualities of the heart and "rdertanding of one who flands in this relation; and of the comparative infignificance of exterval charms and ornamental accomplifhments? But as it is fearcely probable that all you would wifh in thefe particulars can be obtained, it is of importance to afcertain which qualities are the moft effential, that you may make the beft compromife in your power. Now, taftes, manners, and opinions, being things not original, but acquired, cannot be of fo much confequence as the fundnmental properties of good fenfe and good temper. Poffeffed of thefe, a wife who loves her hußand, will fafion herfelf in the others according to what fhe perceives to be his incliation; and if, after all, a confiderable diverfity remain between them in fuch points, this is not incompatible with domeftic comfort. But fenfe and temper can rever be difpenfed with in the companion for life: they form the bafis on which the whole edifice of happinefs is to be raifed. As both are abfoltiteiy effential, it is needlefs to enquire which is fo in the highe? degree. Fortunately, they are ofte:ier mat with together than feparate; for the juft and reafonable eitimation of things which true good fenfe infrires, almoft neceffarily produces that equanimity and moderation of firit in which grodtemper propely conffits. There is, indeed, a hind of thoughtlefs good nature which is not unfrequcatly coupled with weaknefs of undertaacines;
but having no power of felf-direction, its operations are capricious, and no reliance can be placed on it in promoting folid felicity. When, however, this eafy humour appears with the attractions of youth and beauty, there is fome danger left even men of fenfe fhould overlook the defects of a fhallow capacity, efpecially if they have entertained the too common notion, that women are no better than play-things, defigned rather for the amufement of their lords and mafters, than for the more ferious purpofes of life. But no man ever married a fool without feverely repenting it; for though the pretty triffer may have ferved well enough for the hour of dalliance and gaiety, yet when folly aflumes the reins of domeftic, and efpecially of parental, control, fhe will give a perpetual heart-ache to a confiderate partner.

On the other hand, there are to be met with inftances of confiderable powers of the underftanding, combined with waywardnefs of temper, fufficient to deftroy all the comfort of life. Malignity is fometimes joined with wit, haughtinefs and caprice with talents, fourneis and fufpicion with fagacity, and cold referve with judgment. But all thefe being in themfelves unamiable qualities, it is leis neceffary to guard againt the poffeffors of them. They generally render even beauty unattractive; and no charm but that of fortune is able to overcome the repugnance they excite. How much more fatal than even folly they are to all
domenic felicity, you have probably already fee: enough of the matrimonial ftate to judge.

Many of the qualities which fit a woman for a companion, alfo adapt her for the ofice of a belper ; but many additional ones are requifite. The original purpofe for which this fex was created, is faid, you know, to have been, providing man with a belp-mate; yet it is, perhaps, that notion of a wife which leaft occupies the imagination in the feafon of courthip. Be affured, however, that as an office for life, its importance ftands extremely high to one whofe fituation does not place him above the want of fuch aid; and fitnefs for it frould make a leading confleration in his choice. Romantic ideas of domeftic felicity will infallibly i.1 time give way to that true flate of things, which will fhew that a large part of it mant arife from wellordered affairs, and an accunulation of petty comforts and conveniences. A cleaa and quiet firefike, regular and agreeable meals, decent appare!, a houfe managed with order and economy, rady for the reception of a finend or the accommodation of a flranger, a fiiful as well as affectionate nurfe ia time of feknefs-all thefe things compofe a very confiderable part of what the nuptual ftate was in:tended to afford us; and without them, no charms of perfon or undertanding will long continue to beftow delight. The arts of houfewifery frould be regarded as proferipual to the woman who inteads to bacome a wife; and to felect one for
that ftation who is defitute of them, or dif? inclined to exercife them, however otherwife accomplifhed, is as abfurd, as it would be to choofe for your lawyer or phyfician, a man who excelled in every thing rather than in law or phylic.

Let me remark, too, that knowledge and goodwill are not the only requifites for the office of a helper. It demands a certain energy both of body and mind which is lefs frequently met with among the females of the prefent age than might be wifhed. How much foever infirm and delicate health may intereft the feelings, it is certainly an undefirable attendant on a connexion for life. Nothing can be more contrary to the qualification of a help-mate, than a condition which conftantly requires that affifance which it never can impart. It is, I am fure, the fartheft thing from my intention to harden your heart again $\mathfrak{A}$ imprefiions of pity, or flacken thofe fervices of affectionate kindnefs by which you may foften the calamitous lot of the moft amiable and deferving of the fpecies. But a matrimonial choice is a choice for your own benefit, by which you are to obtain additional fources of happinefs; and it would be mere folly in their ftead voluntarily to take upon you new incumbrances and diftreffes. $A k: n$ to an unnerved frame of body, is that fluinking timidity of mind, and exceffive nicety of fecling, which is too much encouraged under the notion of female delicacy;

That this is carried beyond all reafonable bounds i.m modern education, can farcely be doubted by one who confiders what exertions of fortitude and felf-command are continually required in the courfe of female daty. One who views fociety clofely, ia its interior as well as its exterior, will know that occations of aharm, fuffring and difguf come much more frequently in the way of women than cf morb. To them belong all offees about the weak, the fick, and the dying. When the houfe becomes a fcene of wretcheduefs from any caufe, the man often runs abroad, the woman mutt ftay at home and face the wort. All this takes place i. cultivated fociety, and in claffes of life raifed above the common lewn. In a favare flate, and in the lower conditions, women are compelled to undergo even the moft laborious, as well as the moft difagreeable tafks. If nature, then, has made them fo weak in temper and conflitution as many fuppofe, he has not fuited means to ends with the forefight we generally difcover in her plans.

I confefs myfelf decidedly of the opiaion of thofe who would rather form the two fexes to a refenblance of character, than contraft ther. Virtue, wifdon, prefence of mind, patience, vigour, capacity, application, are not femual qualities; they belong to mankind-to all who have duties to perform and evil's to endure. It is furcly a moit degrading icea of the female fex, that sher mut owe their infuerce to trick and funfer,
in counterfeit or real weaknefs. They are too effential to our happinefs to need fuch arts; too much of the pieafure and of the bufnefis of the world depends upon them, to give reafon for apprelenfion that we fhall ceafe to joia parnemip with them. Let them aim at excelling in the qualitics peculianly adepted to the parts they have to $a c$, and they may be excufed from affeced kanguor and coquetry. We fall not think them lefs amiable for being our beft helper:.

Having thus cndeavoured to give you jut ideas of the principal reçufites in a wife, efpecially in a wife fir one in your condition, I have done all that lies within the compafs of an advifer. From the imfaence of panion I cannot guard you: I can only deprecate its power. It may be more to the purpofe to diffuade you from bafy engagenents, becaufe in making them, a perfon of any refolution is not to be regarded as merely paffive. Though the head has lof its rule over the heart, it may retain its command of the hand. And furely if we are to paufe before any action, it fhould be before one on which " all the colour of remaining life" depends. Your reafon muft be convinced, that to form a foid judgment of fo many qualities as are requifte in the conjugal union, is no affair of days and weeks, of cafual vifits or public exhibitions. Study your cbject at bome-fee ber tried in her proper deparment. Let the
progrefs be, liking, approving, loving, and lafty, declaring; and may you, after the experience of as many years as I have had, be as happily convinced, that a choice fo formed is not likely to deceive!

You may think it ftrange, that I have not touched upon a confideration which generally takes the lead in parental eftimates of matrimonial viewsthat of fortunc. But I have been treating on the svoman only, not on any thing extraneous to her. Fortune acquired with a wife, is the fame thing as fortune got any other way. It has its value, and certainly no fmall one, in procuring the defirable comforts of life; and to rufh into a flate in which warts will be greatly increafed, without a reafonable profpect of being able to fupply thofe wants, is an act, not merely of careleffnefs, but of downright folly. But with refpect to the fources whence their fupply is to be fought, that is a particular enquiry to each individual; and I do not think fo ill of your prudence as to apprehend that you will not give it all the attention its importance demands. Another confideration, that of the family connexions formed by marriage, is of a fimilar kind. Its great importance cannot be doubted; but it is an affair to be determined on by the dictates of common prudence, juft as in forming thofe connexions after any other mode ; though, indeed, in no other can they be formed equally Arong. One who is mater of his deliberations,
may be trufted to decide thefe points, as well as any others that occur in the practice of life. That your decifions may always fhew you to be poffeffed of a due power of felf-direction, is the earneft wih of

Iour truly affectionate, \&cco

## LETTER XXX.

## VALEDICTORT.

AND now, my dear Son, I feel it time to clofe this feries of letters; not that fubjects are exhaufted, but that other things demand my attention. You will perceive that their topics, fo far as they relate to morals and the conduct of life, have been of a kind, fupplementary to thofe inftructions which you have received in a fyftematic way from books and lectures. Of fuch inftructions it was the chief purpofe to eftablifh principles-a point of moft effential confequence, which I hope and believe has been fufficiently fecured in your education. My view in writing was rather to place in a ftrong and familiar light fome fubordinate truths belonging to the experimental practice of life, which, thouch not of the fundamental importance of the former, yet are of no fmall weight in promoting a man's happinefs and utility. With refpect to the letters relative to points of tafte and literature, it has been their chief aim to obviate prejudices, and to give that turn to your thoughts
which might enable you to judge and to enjoy for yourfelf, without firt appealing to the decifion of a dictator. For freedom of thinking is the fame thing in matters of greater and of fmaller moment; and though I hold it of little confequence bow a perfon is pleafed, provided he be innocently fo, yet I would not wifh him, even in his pleafures, implicitly to follow the decrees of cuftom and authority, left it fhould induce a habit of the fame paffive compliance in affairs of capital importance. But I need fay no more concerning the drift of letters which, I fhould hope, fufficiently explain themfelves, and do not ill correfpond to my favourite motto, of " free fentiments in fimple language."

It has happened, that the termination of this epifolary commerce, is alfo the period of your finally quitting the patemal roof, and launching out into profeffional life. What an interefting period to us both! How extenfive a field of action now opens to your view! What duties to be performed-what leffons to be learned--what new connexions to be formed, and new fcenes to be engaged in! How much attention will be requifite in order to avoid being in fome meafure bewildered in the variety of objects that will prefent themflives to you; and how much will it behove you to fix your eyes fledfafly on the two cardinal points of duty and improvement! You will meet with (doubt it not!) firens of various
kinds to tempt you out of your courfe. Be on your guard againt them all, and priacipally againt the "improba firen deficia"--for that is the charmer whofe voice has ever proved moft enfnaring to thofe of your profeffion. Many and many acmonitions and counfels fhould I add, were my pon to utter all my heart conceives on this cccafion-but to prepare you for it is not, I trutt, a bufinefs now to do. To yourfelf I commit you, with "Providence your guide." My dear A:thur, a long farcwel!

Your moft affectionate

> friend and father,
J. 4.

Lenden, Nov. 8, 1793.
:



[^0]:    * The late Rev. Dr. Alkin, of Warringtom,

